



SPP *Southwest Power Pool*

***System Impact Study SPP-2001-322
For Transmission Service
Requested By
OGE Energy Resources, Inc.***

From KCPL to EES

***For a Reserved Amount Of 50MW
From 1/1/02
To 1/1/03***

SPP Transmission Planning

Table of Contents

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2. INTRODUCTION	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	5
A. DESCRIPTION.....	5
B. MODEL UPDATES.....	5
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	5
4. STUDY RESULTS.....	6
A. STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS	6
TABLE 1 – SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE KCPL TO EES 50MW TRANSFER.....	7
TABLE 2 – NON - SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE KCPL TO EES 50MW TRANSFER	7
TABLE 3 – PREVIOUSLY ASSIGNED AND IDENTIFIED SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE KCPL TO EES 50MW TRANSFER.	8
5. CONCLUSION	9

1. Executive Summary

OGE Energy Resources, Inc. has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from KCPL to EES. The period of the transaction is from 1/1/02 to 1/1/03. The request is for OASIS reservation 307285 in the amount of 50MW.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the additional 50MW transfer while maintaining system reliability.

New overloads caused by the 50MW transfer were identified along with determining the impact of the transfer on any previously assigned and identified facilities.

The KCPL to EES transfer impacts a facility that has been identified as a limiting constraint for previously studied transfers. Due to the inability to upgrade this limiting constraint within the reservation period using normal construction practices, the ATC is zero for the requested KCPL to EES 50MW transfer.

The SPP and effected member companies shall use due diligence to coordinate the addition of necessary facilities or transmission system upgrades to provide the requested transmission service. OGE Energy Resources, Inc. is to compensate SPP for such costs pursuant to the terms of section 27 of the SPP Open Access Transmission Tariff.

Expedited procedures for new facilities and upgrades are available to OGE Energy Resources, Inc. per section 19.8 of the SPP Open Access Transmission Service Tariff.

Engineering and construction of any new facilities or modifications will not start until after a transmission service agreement and/or construction agreement is in place and effected member companies receive the appropriate authorization to proceed from the SPP after receiving authorization from the transmission customer.

2. Introduction

OGE Energy Resources, Inc. has requested an impact study for transmission service from KCPL to EES.

The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the transfer to less than 50MW. This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses.

The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the 50MW transfer on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP system.

ATC analyses shows the amount of First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capabilities (FCITC) between the given study systems and what the limitations are, if any, for transferring up to 50MW.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Two analyses were conducted to determine the impact of the 50MW transfer on the system. The first analysis was conducted to identify any new overloads caused by the 50MW transfer. The second analysis was done to ensure that available capacity exists on previously identified circuits.

The first analysis was to study the steady-state analysis impact of the 50MW transfer on the SPP system. The second step was to study Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the facilities identified in the steady-state analysis impact. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to thermal overloads with a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within emergency ratings after a contingency.

The second analysis was done to determine the impact of the transfer on previously assigned and identified facilities.

B. Model Updates

SPP used three seasonal models to study the 50MW request. The SPP 2001 Series Cases 2001/02 Winter Peak, 2002 Summer Peak, and 2002/03 Winter Peak were used to study the impact of the 50MW transfer on the SPP system during the transaction period of 1/1/02 to 1/1/03.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect future firm transfers during the request period that were not already included in the January 2001 base case series models.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the created models and the ACCC function of PSS\|E, single and select double contingency outages were analyzed. Then full AC solution was used to obtain the most accurate results possible. Any facility overloaded, using MVA ratings, in the transfer case and not overloaded in the base case was flagged. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A.

4. Study Results

A. Study Analysis Results

Tables 1, 2, and 3 contain the analysis results of the System Impact Study. The tables identify the seasonal case in which the event occurred; the emergency rating of the overloaded circuit (Rate B), the contingent loading percentage of circuit with and without the studied transfer, the estimated ATC value using interpolation if calculated, any SPP identification or assignment of the event, and any solutions received from the transmission owners.

Table 1 shows the new facility overloads caused by the 50MW transfer. Upgrades associated with these new overloads can be directly assigned to the KCPL to EES 50MW transfer.

Table 2 documents overloads on Non SPP Regional Tariff participants' transmission systems caused by the 50MW transfer.

Table 3 documents the 50MW transfer impact on previously assigned and identified facilities.

Table 1 – SPP Facility Overloads caused by the KCPL to EES 50MW Transfer

Study Year	From Area - To Area	Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC % Loading	TC % Loading	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	ATC (MW)	Assignment
01WP		NONE				NONE	50	
02SP	WERE-WERE	CRAIG JUNCTION TO TIMBERLANE, 115KV 57237 CRAIG J3 115 to 57273 TIMBRLN3 115 CKT 1	92	100.0	100.2	EAST SAAP JUNCTION TO MOONLIGHT JCT SWITCHING, 115KV 57239 ESAAPJ 3 115 to 57255 MOONLTJ3 115 CKT1	50	New Spring Hill 161/115 kV transformer to be in service prior to 2002 SP
02WP		NONE				NONE	50	

Table 2 – Non - SPP Facility Overloads caused by the KCPL to EES 50MW Transfer

Study Year	From Area - To Area	Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC % Loading	TC % Loading	Outaged Branch Causing Overload
01WP		NONE				NONE
02SP	EES-EES	98273 4OAKGROV 138 to 98283 T300/331 138 CKT 1	135	100.0	100.2	98554 3GYPSY 115 to 98596 3LULING 115 CKT1
02WP		NONE				NONE

Table 3 – Previously Assigned and Identified SPP Facilities Impacted by the KCPL to EES 50MW Transfer.

Study Year	From Area - To Area	Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC % Loading	TC % Loading	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	ATC (MW)	Assignment
01WP		NONE				NONE	50	
02SP	EES-SWPA	MIDWAY TO BULL SHOALS, 161KV 99825 5MIDWAY# 161 to 52660 BULL SH5 161 CKT 1	162	109.0	109.6	BUFORD TAP TO BULL SHOALS, 161KV 52661 BUFRDTP5 161 to 52660 BULL SH5 161 CKT1	0	Assigned to SPP-2000-108, Possible In-Service Date 4/1/03
02WP		NONE				NONE	50	

5. Conclusion

The KCPL to EES 50MW transfer causes an increase in loading on a previously identified facility. The ATC is zero for the KCPL to EES request due to the following:

- 2002 Summer Peak (6/1/02 – 10/1/02) – The ATC is zero due to the loading of the Midway to Bull Shoals 161kV line. The possible in-service date for upgrades on this facility is 4/1/2003.

Facility restrictions exist in SPP that limit the requested KCPL to EES 50MW reservation to an ATC of zero. The ATC of the existing transmission system cannot be increased as required to provide continuous service over the reservation period. Therefore, the requested reservation will be refused.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

1. Tap adjustment – Stepping
2. Area interchange control – Tie lines only
3. Var limits – Apply automatically
4. Solution options - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

1. MW mismatch tolerance –1.0
2. Contingency case rating – Rate B
3. Percent of rating – 100
4. Output code – Summary
5. Min flow change in overload report – 1mw
6. Excl'd cases w/ no overloads form report – YES
7. Exclude interfaces from report – NO
8. Perform voltage limit check – YES
9. Elements in available capacity table – 60000
10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table – 99999.0
11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report – 0.02
12. Sorted output – None

Newton Solution:

1. Tap adjustment – Stepping
2. Area interchange control – Tie lines only
3. Var limits - Apply automatically
4. Solution options - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts