

# System Impact Study SPP-2001-137 For Transmission Service Requested By Calpine Power Services Company

From AEPW To WR

For a Reserved Amount Of 185 MW From 1/1/03 To 1/1/04

SPP Coordinated Planning

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-137) March 14, 2002 Page 1 of 11

# **Table of Contents**

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2. INTRODUCTION	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	5
A. DESCRIPTION	5
B. MODEL UPDATES	5 5
4. STUDY RESULTS	6
A. STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS	6
TABLE 1 – SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE AEPW TO WR 185 MW TRANSFER	7
TABLE 2 – NON - SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE AEPW TO WR 185 MW TRANSFER	8
TABLE 3 – PREVIOUSLY ASSIGNED AND IDENTIFIED SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE AEPW TO WI   185 MW TRANSFER	R 9
5. CONCLUSION	10
APPENDIX A	11

## **1. Executive Summary**

Calpine Power Services Company (CALP) has requested a system impact study for longterm Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from AEPW to WR. The period of the transaction is from 1/1/03 to 1/1/04. The request is for OASIS reservation 247371, totaling 185 MW.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the additional 185 MW transfer while maintaining system reliability.

New overloads caused by the 185 MW transfer were identified along with determining the impact of the transfer on any previously assigned and identified facilities.

The AEPW to WR transfer impacts facilities that have been identified as limiting constraints for previously studied transfers. <u>Table 3</u> lists the previously assigned and identified facilities impacted by the 185 MW transfer. Facilities found in <u>Table 3</u> limit the ATC to zero. The La Cygne to Stillwell, La Cygne to West Gardner Flowgate limits the ATC to zero in the 2003 Summer (6/1/03 to 10/1/03). The Flowgate cannot be relieved through system upgrades until 4/1/05.

## 2. Introduction

Calpine Power Services Company (CALP) has requested an impact study for transmission service from AEPW to Westar Energy (WR).

The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the transfer to less than 185 MW. This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses.

The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the 185 MW transfer on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP system.

## 3. Study Methodology

#### A. Description

Two analyses were conducted to determine the impact of the 185 MW transfer on the system. The first analysis was conducted to identify any new overloads caused by the 185 MW transfer. The second analysis was done to ensure that available capacity exists on previously identified circuits.

The first analysis was to study the steady-state analysis impact of the 185 MW transfer on the SPP system. The second step was to study Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the facilities identified in the steady-state analysis impact. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to thermal overloads with a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within emergency ratings after a contingency.

The second analysis was done to determine the impact of the transfer on previously assigned and identified SPP facilities.

#### **B.** Model Updates

SPP used six seasonal models to study the AEPW to WR 185 MW transfer. The SPP 2002 Series Cases: 2002/03 Winter Peak, 2003 April Minimum, 2003 Spring Peak, 2003 Summer Peak, 2003 Fall Peak, and 2003/04 Winter Peak were used to study the impact of the 185 MW transfer on the SPP system during the transaction period of 1/1/03 to 1/1/04.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect future firm transfers during the request period that were not already included in the January 2002 base case series models.

#### C. Transfer Analysis

Using the created models and the ACCC function of PSS\E, single and select double contingency outages were analyzed. Then full AC solution was used to obtain the most accurate results possible. Any facility overloaded, using MVA ratings, in the transfer case and not overloaded in the base case was flagged. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A.

## 4. Study Results

#### A. Study Analysis Results

<u>Tables 1, 2, and 3</u> contain the analysis results of the System Impact Study. The tables identify the seasonal case in which the event occurred; the emergency rating of the overloaded circuit (Rate B), the contingent loading percentage of circuit with and without the studied transfer, the estimated ATC value using interpolation if calculated, any SPP identification or assignment of the event, and any solutions received from the transmission owners.

<u>Table 1</u> shows the new SPP facility overloads caused by the 185 MW transfer. Available solutions are given in the table.

<u>Table 2</u> documents overloads on Non SPP Regional Tariff participants' transmission systems caused by the 185 MW transfer.

<u>Table 3</u> documents the 185 MW transfer impact on previously assigned and identified SPP facilities. Available solutions are given in the table.

<b>Table 1</b> – SPP Facility	Overloads caused by the	e AEPW to WR 18	35 MW Transfer	

Study Year	From Area To Area	Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC %Loading	TC %Loading	Outaged Branch That Caused Overload	ATC	Solution
02WP		No Branches Identified					185	
03AP		No Branches Identified					185	
03G		No Branches Identified					185	
		EAST CENTERTON TO GENTRY REC, 161 KV				FLINT CREEK TO ELM SPRINGS REC, 161 KV		
03SP	AEPW-AEPW	53133 ECNTRTN5 161 to 53187 GENTRYR5 161 CKT 1	368	99.8	100.3	53139 FLINTCR5 161 to 53194 ELMSPRR5 161 CKT 1	78	Undetermined
		CHIKASKIA TAP TO BRAMAN, 69 KV				KILDARE4 TO WHITE EAGLE, 138 KV		
03FA	OKGE-OKGE	54751 CHIKSTP269.0 to 54750 BRAMAN 269.0 CKT 1	38	97.8	103.6	54760 KILDARE4 138 to 54761 WHEAGLE4 138 CKT1	71	Undetermined
		ANZIO TO FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION, 115 KV				WEST JCT CITY JCT EAST TO WEST JUNCTION CITY, 115 KV		
03FA	WERE-WERE	57321 ANZIO 3 115 to 57328 FT JCT 3 115 CKT 1	92	93.2	102.8	57343 WJCCTYE3 115 to 57342 WJCCTY 3 115 CKT 1	131	Undetermined
03WP		No Branches Identified					185	

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-137) March 14, 2002 Page 7 of 11

Study Year	From Area To Area	Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC %Loading	TC %Loading	Outaged Branch That Caused Overload
02WP	EMDE-AECI	59471 NEO184 5 161 to 96748 2NEOSAC 69.0 CKT 1	56	99.6	100.1	54430 MIAMI 269.0 to 54436 MIAENEO269.0 CKT1
02WP	AECI-AECI	96089 5JAMESV 161 to 96673 2JAMESV 69.0 CKT 1	64	99.9	100.2	96089 5JAMESV 161 to 96673 2JAMESV 69.0 CKT2
03AP		No Branches Identified				
03G	EES-EES	99764 5NEWPO 161 to 99817 5ISES 1 161 CKT 2	372	100.0	100.3	99764 5NEWPO 161 to 99817 5ISES 1 161 CKT1
03SP	SWPA-EES	52660 BULL SH5 161 to 99825 5MIDWAY# 161 CKT 1	162	106.7	107.3	52660 BULL SH5 161 to 52661 BUFRDTP5 161 CKT1
03SP	SWPA-AECI	52690 CARTHG 269.0 to 96751 2REEDS 69.0 CKT 1	36	99.8	101.4	59578 AUR355 269.0 to 59606 MTV420 269.0 CKT1
03SP	SWPA-AECI	52690 CARTHG 269.0 to 96751 2REEDS 69.0 CKT 1	36	99.7	101.4	59468 AUR124 5 161 to 59499 CPK446 5 161 CKT1
03SP	SWPA-AECI	52690 CARTHG 269.0 to 96751 2REEDS 69.0 CKT 1	36	99.3	100.7	52692 SPRGFLD5 161 to 59479 LAR382 5 161 CKT1
03SP	SUNC-SUNC	56393 PLYMELL3 115 to 56392 PIONTAP3 115 CKT 1	143	99.9	100.6	56420 FLETCHR3 115 to 56448 HOLCOMB3 115 CKT1
03FA		No Branches Identified				
03WP	NPPD-NPPD	64895 MCCOOK 869.0 to 64894 MCCOOK 7 115 CKT 1	28	99.7	100.1	64894 MCCOOK 7 115 to 64895 MCCOOK 869.0 CKT2
03WP	AECI-AMRN	96096 5MARIES 161 to 31024 MARIES 138 CKT 1	100	99.5	100.5	30233 CALIF 161 to 31409 OVERTON 161 CKT1

# <u>**Table 2**</u> – Non - SPP Facility Overloads caused by the AEPW to WR 185 MW Transfer

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-137) March 14, 2002 Page 8 of 11

Study Year	From Area To Area	o Branch Over 100% Rate B		BC %Loading	TC %Loading	Outaged Branch That Caused Overload	АТС	Assignment	Estimated Cost
	i – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –					1			
	1	, I	1		'	1	'		
02WP	<b>↓</b> ]	No Branches Identified	<b> </b> '	<b></b>	''	<u>ا</u>	185	<b>↓</b>	
	1	,	1		'	1	'	1	
	1		1		'	1	1 '	1	
03AP	r	No Branches Identified	<b>├</b> ────'	───	───┘	<u></u> ا	185	l	
	1	. I	1		'	1	'		1
03G	1	No Branches Identified	1		'	1	185	1	
	t		[]	<u> </u>	·	1		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	LACYGNE TO STILWELL. 345 KV	1		'	LACYGNE TO WEST GARDNER, 345 KV	'	SPP Flowgate, Upgrade Assigned to SPP-2000-108, Date Required	
03SP	KACP-KACP	57981 LACYGNE7 345 to 57968 STILWEL7 345 CKT 1	1251	105.5	106.2	57981 LACYGNE7 345 to 57965 W.GRDNR7 345 CKT1	0	Lead-time 36 Months	17,000,000
					,				
	1	BULL SHOALS TO MIDWAY, 161 KV	1		'	BULL SHOALS TO BUFFORD TAP, TOT NY	'	Entergy Owned Tie Line, SWPA Limitation at Bull Shoais, Upgrade Assigned to SPP-2000-108, Date Required 6/1/05: Replace 600	
03SP	SWPA-EES	52660 BULL SH5 161 to 99825 5MIDWAY# 161 CKT 1	167	103.5	104.1	52660 BULL SH5 161 to 52661 BUFRDTP5 161 CKT1	0	Amp disconnect switches, metering CTs and wave trap	150,000
	1	COFFEYVILLE TAP TO DEARING, 138 KV	1		'	DELAWARE TO NEOSHO, 345 KV	'	Upgrade Assigned to SPP-2000-108, Date Required 6/1/05	
	1		1		'	1	'	Replace wave trap @ Dearing New Summer Emer. Rating 232 MVA	
03SP	AEPW-WERE	53972 COFFEYT4 138 to 57002 DEARING4 138 CKT 1	210	99.8	108.3	53929 DELWARE7 345 to 56793 NEOSHO 7 345 CKT1	5	10.5% Increase	20,000
	1	DYESS TO EAST ROGERS, 161 KV	1		!	FLINT CREEK TO GENTRY REC, 161 KV	1 1		
0260			244	09.5	100.4	52420 FUNTORE 161 to 52487 CENTRYRE 161 OKT1	150	SPP Flowgate, Solution Undetermined	
0354	AEPVV-AEPVV	53131 DYESS 5 161 to 53135 ERUGERS5 161 UNI 1	244	98.5	100.4	53139 FLINTCR5 161 to 53187 GENTRY R5 161 CKTT	150	SFF Flowgale, Solution Undetermined	
	1	EXIDE JUNCTION TO SUMMIT, 115 KV	1		'	NORTHVIEW TO SUMMIT, 115 KV	'		
03FA	WERE-WERE	57368 EXIDE J3 115 to 57381 SUMMIT 3 115 CKT 1	181	100.0	108.3	57371 NORTHVW3 115 to 57381 SUMMIT 3 115 CKT1	0	Upgrade Assigned to SPP-1999-017, Date Required 12/1/03: Remove sag limitation	300,000
	1				+	 	· · · ·	~	
	1	EXIDE JUNCTION TO SUMMIT, 115 KV	1		'	EAST MCPHERSON TO SUMMIT, 230 KV	'	1	
03FA	WERE-WERE	57368 EXIDE J3 115 to 57381 SUMMIT 3 115 CKT 1	181	93.2	108.4	56872 EMCPHER6 230 to 56873 SUMMIT 6 230 CKT1	83	ű	u
	1	COFFEYVILLE TAP TO DEARING, 138 KV	1		'	DELAWARE TO NEOSHO, 345 KV	'	Upgrade Assigned to SPP-2000-108, Date Required 6/1/05	
	1		1				1 1	Replace wave trap @ Dearing New Winter Emer. Rating 270 MVA	
03WP	AEPW-WERE	53972 COFFEYT4 138 to 57002 DEARING4 138 CKT 1	210	92.5	101.0	53929 DELWARE7 345 to 56793 NEOSHO 7 345 CKT1	162	28.6% Increase	See Previous

# <u>**Table 3**</u> – Previously Assigned and Identified SPP Facilities Impacted by the AEPW to WR 185 MW Transfer

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2001-137) March 14, 2002 Page 9 of 11

## 5. Conclusion

The La Cygne to Stilwell Flowgate limits the ATC to zero due to the inability to upgrade the constraint as required. For the 2003 Summer (6/1/03-10/1/03), the ATC is zero due the loading of the La Cygne to Stilwell, La Cygne to West Gardner Flowgate. The estimated lead-time of the Flowgate upgrade is 36 months, putting the estimated in service date at 4/1/05.

The AEPW to WR 185 MW reservation is limited to zero ATC on one or more facilities, and the time frame of the limitation is such that facilities cannot be upgraded; therefore, the request must be refused.

# Appendix A

#### PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

#### BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply immediately
- 4. Solution options  $\underline{X}$  Phase shift adjustment

\_ Flat start

\_Lock DC taps

#### \_Lock switched shunts

#### ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

- 1. MW mismatch tolerance -0.5
- 2. Contingency case rating Rate B
- 3. Percent of rating -100
- 4. Output code Summary
- 5. Min flow change in overload report -1 mw
- 6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report YES
- 7. Exclude interfaces from report NO
- 8. Perform voltage limit check YES
- 9. Elements in available capacity table 60000
- 10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table 99999.0
- 11. Min. contrg. case Vltg chng for report -0.02
- 12. Sorted output None

Newton Solution:

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options  $\underline{X}$  Phase shift adjustment
  - \_ Flat start
    - \_Lock DC taps
    - \_Lock switched shunts