

System Impact Study SPP-2014-016 For Transmission Service Requested By: MOWR

From MPS to MPS

For a Reserved Amount Of 25 MW For 9/1/2014 – 10/1/2014

SPP IMPACT STUDY (SPP-2014-016) August 7, 2014 1 of 6

1. Executive Summary

MOWR has requested a system impact study for monthly firm transmission service from DOGWOOD.MJMEUC to MOWR_MOPEPMPS. The period of the transaction is from 9/1/2014 00:00 CDT to 10/1/2014 00:00 CDT. The request is for reservation 80063343.

The 25 MW transaction from MPS has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: LACNEOEMPWIC, IATSTRSTJHAW, and IATSTRIATEAT. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

2. Introduction

MOWR has requested a system impact study for transmission service from DOGWOOD.MJMEUC to MOWR_MOPEPMPS.

There are 3 constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- LACNEOEMPWIC: LaCygne Neosho 345 kV line for the loss of the Emporia Wichita 345 kV line
- IATSTRSTJHAW: latan Stranger Creek 345 kV line for the loss of the St. Joe Hawthorn 345 kV line
- IATSTRIATEAT: latan Stranger Creek 345 kV line for the loss of the latan Eastowne 345 kV line

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. TARA calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2014 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Transmission Adequacy & Reliability Assessment (TARA), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by TARA is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of the request, three flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are as follows:

Table 1

Flowgate	Duration	Sensitivity (%)	Impact (MW)
5022 : LACNEOEMPWIC	9/5/2014 - 10/1/2014	4.9%	1
5228 : IATSTRSTJHAW	9/1/2014 - 9/5/2014	3.4%	1
5462 : IATSTRIATEAT	9/1/2014 - 9/5/2014	3.1%	1

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for each flowgates in question and the amount of redispatch capacity needed.

Table 2

5022 : LACNEOEMPWIC					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	Redispatch Required		
NE Coal	LaCygne	35%	3		
Riverton	LaCygne	34%	3		
Stateline	LaCygne	34%	3		
NE Coal	West Gardner	25%	4		
Riverton	West Gardner	25%	4		
Stateline	West Gardner	25%	4		
NE Coal	South Harper	24%	4		
Riverton	South Harper	24%	4		
Stateline	South Harper	23%	4		

5228 : IATSTRSTJHAW						
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	Redispatch Required			
Lawrence EC	latan	72%	1			
Jeffrey EC	latan	71%	1			
Tecumseh EC	latan	69%	1			
Lawrence EC	Lake Road	58%	2			
Jeffrey EC	Lake Road	57%	2			
Tecumseh EC	Lake Road	55%	2			

SPP IMPACT STUDY (SPP-2014-016) August 7, 2014 5 of 6

5462 : IATSTRIATEAT					
Increment	Decrement	Sensitivity	Redispatch Required		
Jeffrey EC	latan	85%	1		
Lawrence EC	latan	85%	1		
Tecumseh EC	latan	84%	1		
Jeffrey EC	Sibley	8%	13		
Jeffrey EC	Northeast KCPL	7%	13		
Lawrence EC	Sibley	7%	14		
Lawrence EC	Northeast KCPL	7%	14		
Tecumseh EC	Sibley	7%	14		
Tecumseh EC	Northeast KCPL	7%	15		

5. Conclusion

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, agreement to the redispatch costs must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.