



Aggregate Facility Study

SPP-2013-AG3-AFS-5

1/16/2015

SPP Engineering, SPP Transmission Service Studies



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Executive Summary	2
Introduction.....	3
Financial Analysis	5
Third-Party Facilities	6
Make-Whole Payment	6
Study Methodology	7
Description	7
Model Development.....	8
Transmission Request Modeling.....	8
Transfer Analysis	8
Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation.....	9
Study Results	9
Study Analysis Results	9
Example A:.....	11
Example B:.....	11
Example C:.....	11
Study Definitions	12
Conclusion	12
Appendix A	13
BASE CASE SETTINGS:	13
ACCC CASE SETTINGS:.....	13

Executive Summary

Pursuant to Attachment Z1 of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 1,855 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been studied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability, as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z1 is the sharing of costs among Transmission Customers using the same facility. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility.

Attachment Z2 further provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating: “Transmission Customers paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Service Upgrades or that are in excess of the Safe Harbor Cost Limit for Network Upgrades associated with new or changed Designated Resources and Project Sponsors paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Sponsored Upgrades shall receive revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. Generation Interconnection Customers paying for Network Upgrades shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z1.”

- The AFS determined that the total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E&C) cost is \$9 million. No third party facility upgrades are assignable to Transmission Customers.
- Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is \$64 thousand.

To accommodate the requested SPP Transmission Service, third-party facilities must be upgraded when the third-party transmission provider determines that they are constrained. Third-party facilities include both first-tier neighboring facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were not identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable.

SPP will tender an Aggregate Completion Agreement on January 16, 2015. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), SPP must receive from the Customer by January 31, 2015, an executed Aggregate Completion Agreement. The Aggregate Completion Agreement will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to withdraw the request or leave the request in study mode.

At the conclusion of the ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered identifying the terms and conditions of the confirmed service.

All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the Customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon validation of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

Introduction

Important milestones and dates in SPP's Aggregate Transmission Study process:

- All requests for long-term transmission service with a signed study agreement received before October 1, 2013 for 2013-AG3 have been included in this third Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) of 2013.

The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 6. Detailed results depict individual upgrade costs by study and potential base plan allowances determined by Attachments J and Z1. The [OATT](#) may be accessed at SPP's website by going to [SPP.org](#)>Org Groups>Governing Documents.

To understand the extent to which Base Plan Upgrades may be applied to both Point-to-Point (PTP) and Network Transmission Services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is:

“[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis.”

Network and PTP service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

1. Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have duration of at least five years.
2. During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of:
 - a. The planned maximum net dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or

- b. The requested capacity; shall not exceed 125% of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z1 Section VI.A, PTP customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service Customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned Network Upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z2.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances, due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or Customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer because SPP, the Transmission Provider, determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned Network Upgrades. Table 7 lists costs allocated per request for Service Upgrades assigned in this AFS..

By taking the transmission service subject to interim redispatch, the Transmission Customer agrees to provide interim redispatch. Once the Transmission Provider identifies the possible redispatch pairs, the Transmission Customer can enter into bilateral agreements to provide redispatch. Should the need to implement redispatch arise in order to maintain Network reliability, it is up to the Transmission Customer to contact parties with whom they have entered into redispatch agreements to implement that service. Such redispatch shall occur in advance of curtailment of other firm reservations impacting these constraints. In the absence of implementation of interim redispatch as requested by the Transmission Provider for Transmission Customer transactions resulting in overloads on limiting facilities, the Transmission Provider shall curtail the Transmission Customers schedule.

Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated Customer's E&C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, Network Upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. If the Customer chose Option 2, Redispatch, in the Aggregate Completion Agreement, the present worth analysis of revenue requirements will be based on the deferred term with redispatch in the subsequent AFS. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E&C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities; salvage value of removed non-usable facilities; and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be accelerated, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses though the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include:

1. The levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation,
2. The levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and
3. The levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both:
 - a. The reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and
 - b. A reservation, if any, in which the project was previously accelerated.

In the case of a Base Plan Upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a requested upgrade, achievable base plan avoided revenue requirements shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.B methodology. A deferred Base Plan Upgrade is defined as a different requested Network Upgrade needed at an earlier date that negates the need for the initial Base Plan Upgrade within the planning horizon. A displaced Base Plan Upgrade is defined as the same Network Upgrade being displaced by a requested upgrade needed at an earlier date.

A 40-year service life assumption is utilized for Base Plan funded projects, unless another assumption is provided by the Transmission Owner. A present worth analysis of revenue requirements on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was

performed to determine avoided Base Plan revenue requirements due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan Upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The difference in present worth between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades is assigned to the transmission requests impacting this upgrade based on the displacement or deferral.

Third-Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider's OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facility upgrade E&C cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system Network Upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this study, as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. An agreement between the Customer and third party owner detailing the mitigation of the third party impact must be provided to the Transmission Provider prior to tendering of a Transmission Service Agreement. These facilities also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT. Upgrades on the Southwest Power Administration network requires prepayment of the upgrade cost prior to construction of the upgrade.

Third-party facilities are evaluated for only those requests whose load sinks within the SPP footprint. The Customer must arrange for study of third party facilities for load that sinks outside the SPP footprint with the applicable Transmission Providers.

Make-Whole Payment

Make-whole payment (MWP) is a potential cost that may be allocated to a Withdrawn Request inside an Aggregate Facilities Study (AFS). The MWP for the Withdrawn Request(s) is determined as the sum of the increase in Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs (DAUC) for the remaining requests in the AFS. If a MWP is required, the customer(s) with the Withdrawn Request(s) shall be obligated to pay such costs pursuant to the ACA.

If multiple requests are withdrawn at the conclusion of this study iteration, then the impact of each Withdrawn Request on the shared upgrades causing an increase in DAUC for the remaining requests in the AFS with shared costs shall be determined. Upgrade costs for facilities allocated solely to the Withdrawn Request(s) will not be included in the MWP calculation. If a MWP is required for a

Withdrawn Request, the customer shall enter into a Sponsored Upgrade Agreement with SPP in accordance with Attachment J and will be eligible for revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. For additional details, refer to the Tariff language.

The MWP has not been calculated in this report posting. However, one can be assessed by the following:

1. Refer to Table 3 of the relevant AFS and identify the Service Upgrades allocated to the request.
2. For Service Upgrades where “Allocated E&C Cost” is less than the “Total E&C Cost,” sum the “Total Revenue Requirements.”
3. The sum calculated in (2) is the maximum potential MWP.

In most cases, the MWP will not include costs of non-shared upgrades. Non-shared upgrade costs may be included in the event that SPP grants service in a subsequent study that required the use of the non-shared upgrade.

Study Methodology

Description

The facility study analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier non-SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was performed consistent with current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements. SPP conforms to NERC Reliability Standards, which provide strict requirements related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. NERC Standards require all facilities to be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP Model Development Working Group (MDWG) models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 105% and 95%. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is 105% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 103.5% and 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above; first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above; any defined contingencies for these control areas; and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier non-SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECL, AMRN (Ameren), and

ENTR (Entergy) control areas. A 2 % TDF cutoff was applied to WAPA. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

Model Development

SPP used eight seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers over a variety of requested service periods. The following SPP Transmission Expansion Plan 2014 Build 1 Cases were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system:

- 2015 Summer Peak (15SP)
- 2015/16 Winter Peak (15WP)
- 2016 Summer Peak (16SP)
- 2016/17 Winter Peak (16WP)
- 2020 Summer Peak (20SP)
- 2020/21 Winter Peak (20WP)
- 2025 Summer Peak (25SP)
- 2025/26 Winter Peak (25WP)

The Summer Peak models apply to June through September and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the current modeling information. One group of requests was developed from the aggregate to model the requested service. From the seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 0 includes projected usage of transmission included in the SPP 2014 Series Cases. Scenario 5 includes transmission service not already included in the SPP 2014 Series Cases.

Transmission Request Modeling

Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation transfers. Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation because the requested Network Integration Transmission Service is a request to serve network load with the new designated network resource, and the impacts on Transmission System are determined accordingly. Point-To-Point Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Generation transfers. Generation to Generation transfers are accomplished by developing a post-transfer case for comparison by dispatching the request source and redispatching the request sink.

Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and 1st-Tier) and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Curtailement and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period in which SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on and may impair Transmission System reliability, SPP will take whatever actions are reasonably necessary to maintain reliability. If SPP determines Transmission System reliability can be maintained by redispatching resources, it will evaluate the interim curtailement of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer's use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Curtailement of existing confirmed service is evaluated to provide only interim service. Curtailement of existing confirmed service is only evaluated at the request of the transmission Customer.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount was greater than 1 MW, the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit.

Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). Relief pairs from the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units with a greater than 3% TDF on the limiting constraint were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with highest generation shift factors. If the aggregate redispatch amount for the potential relief pair was determined to be three times greater than the lower of the increment or decrement, then the pair was determined not to be feasible and is not included. Transmission Customers can request SPP to provide additional relief pairs beyond those determined. The potential relief pairs were not evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and first tier systems. The SPP Reliability Coordinator would call upon the redispatch requirements before implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

The Aggregate Study analyzes the most probable contingencies and does not account for every situation that may be encountered in real-time operation. Because of this, it is possible that the customer may be curtailed under certain system conditions to allow system operators to maintain the reliability of the transmission network.

Study Results

Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 6 contain the AFS steady-state analysis results. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term Transmission Service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (based on Customer selection of redispatch if available) and the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact.

Table 2 identifies total E&C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E&C cost assignments, potential base plan E&C funding (lower of allocated E&C or Attachment J Section III B criteria), point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. In addition, Table 2 identifies SWPA upgrade costs which require prepayment in addition to other allocated costs.

Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E&C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to the Customer but required for service to be confirmed, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS or Generation Interconnection Network Upgrades, and any required third party upgrades.

Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide Transmission Service for the AFS, minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, earliest date upgrade is required (DUN), estimated date the upgrade will be completed, in service (EOC), and estimated E&C cost.

Table 5 lists identified third-party constrained facilities.

Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service. MW amounts listed for redispatch are maximum values observed in a long term study and may only be available in a reduced amount or unavailable at any given time.

Table 7 lists costs allocated per request for Service Upgrades assigned in this AFS.

The potential base plan funding allowable is contingent on meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J. If the additional capacity of the new or changed Designated Resource exceeds the 125% resource to load forecast for the year of start of service, the requested resource is not eligible for base plan funding of required Network Upgrades and the full cost of the upgrades is assignable to the Customer.

If the request is for wind generation, the total requested capacity of wind generation plus existing wind generation capacity shall not exceed 20% of the customer's projected system peak responsibility in the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the customer. If the five-year term and 125% resource to load criteria are met, (as well as the 20% wind resource to load criteria for wind generation requests) the requested capacity is multiplied by \$180,000 to determine the potential base plan funding allowable. The maximum potential base plan funding allowable may be less than the potential base plan funding allowable, due to the E&C cost allocated to the customer being lower than the potential amount allowable to the Customer. The Customer is responsible for any assigned upgrade costs in excess of potential base plan E&C funding allowable. Network Upgrades required for wind generation requests located in a zone other than the Customer POD shall be allocated as 67% base plan region-wide charge and 33% directly assigned to the Customer.

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and

no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of “OR” pricing.

However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of “OR” pricing would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

Example A:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$47 million, with the difference of \$27 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is \$54 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount (so-called “or pricing”) of \$101 million base rate of which \$54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$86 million (\$140 million less \$54 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example B:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million with the difference of \$64 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is \$128 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount of \$128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$12 million (\$140 million less \$128 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example C:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$25 million with revenue requirements of \$50 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher amount of PTP base rate of \$101 million must be paid and the \$50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The 125% resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of Designated Resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP attestation statements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested Designated Resource is committed for a minimum five year duration.

Study Definitions

- The date upgrade needed date (DUN) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests.
- End of construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service.
- Total engineering and construction cost (E&C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the Transmission Owner.
- The Transmission Customer's allocation of the E&C cost is based on the request (1) having an impact of at least 3% on the limiting element, and (2) having a positive impact on the upgraded facility.
- Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated without upgrading facilities.
- Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional Transmission System. Due to these constraints, Transmission Service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The Transmission Provider will tender an Aggregate Completion Agreement on January 11, 2015. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer by January 31, 2015, an executed Aggregate Completion Agreement. The Aggregate Completion Agreement will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated E&C costs assigned to the Customer. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. The Transmission Provider will issue notifications to construct Network Upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner after filing of necessary service agreements at FERC.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASE SETTINGS:

- Solutions: Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)
- Tap adjustment: Stepping
- Area Interchange Control: Tie lines and loads
- Var limits: Apply immediately
- Solution Options:
 - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASE SETTINGS:

- Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)
- MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
- System intact rating: Rate A
- Contingency case rating: Rate B
- Percent of rating: 100
- Output code: Summary
- Min flow change in overload report: 3mw
- Excl'd cases w/ no overloads from report: YES
- Exclude interfaces from report: NO
- Perform voltage limit check: YES
- Elements in available capacity table: 60000
- Cutoff threshold for available capacity table: 99999.0
- Min. contng. Case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
- Sorted output: None
- Newton Solution:
- Tap adjustment: Stepping
- Area interchange control: Tie lines and loads (Disabled for generator outages)
- Var limits: Apply immediately
- Solution options:
 - Phase shift adjustment
 - Flat start
 - Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date without interim redispatch (ACA Parameter 3)	Deferred Stop Date without interim redispatch	Start Date with interim redispatch	Stop Date with interim redispatch	Minimum Allocated ATC (MW) within reservation period	Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period
AECC	2013-AG3-001	78754116	OKGE	CSWS	150	7/1/2014	7/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	150	15SP
AECC	2013-AG3-002	78754144	OKGE	OKGE	150	7/1/2014	7/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	150	15SP
AEPM	2013-AG3-003	78775996	OKGE	CSWS	200	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	0	16SP
AEPM	2013-AG3-004	78776033	SPS	CSWS	200	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	0	16SP
AEPM	2013-AG3-005	78776041	OKGE	CSWS	199	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	0	16SP
ETEC	2013-AG3-006	78774012	CSWS	CSWS	31	1/1/2015	1/1/2024	6/1/2015	6/1/2024	6/1/2015	6/1/2024	0	15SP
GRDX	2013-AG3-007	78753946	CSWS	GRDA	136	10/1/2015	10/1/2020	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	0	16SP
GRDX	2013-AG3-008	78773345	MPS	GRDA	240	4/1/2016	4/1/2021	6/1/2019	6/1/2024	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	0	16SP
GRDX	2013-AG3-009	78773355	MPS	GRDA	100	4/1/2016	4/1/2021	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	0	16SP
LESM	2013-AG3-021	78773742	OKGE	LES	100	11/26/2015	11/26/2020	11/26/2015	11/26/2020	11/26/2015	11/26/2020	100	16SP
OGE	2013-AG3-024	78759765	OKGE	OKGE	16	10/1/2014	6/1/2030	6/1/2015	2/1/2031	6/1/2015	2/1/2031	16	15SP
OMPA	2013-AG3-025	78697838	OKGE	OKGE	4	10/1/2014	12/1/2040	6/1/2015	8/1/2041	6/1/2015	8/1/2041	0	15SP
SPSM	2013-AG3-027	78751808	SPS	SPS	250	12/1/2015	12/1/2035	6/1/2018	12/1/2035	8/1/2017	12/1/2035	0	16SP
TEXL	2013-AG3-028	78773933	CSWS	CSWS	50	1/1/2015	1/1/2025	6/1/2015	6/1/2025	6/1/2015	6/1/2025	0	15SP
TEXL	2013-AG3-029	78773967	CSWS	CSWS	27	1/1/2015	1/1/2030	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	0	15SP
UCU	2013-AG3-030	78748020	MPS	KCPL	2	5/1/2014	5/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	2	14SP
1855													
Note 1: Start and Stop Dates with interim redispatch are determined based on customers choosing option to pursue redispatch to start service at Requested Start and Stop Dates or earliest date possible.													
Note 2: Start dates with and without redispatch are based on the assumed completion dates of previous Aggregate Transmission Service Studies currently being conducted. Actual start dates may differ from the potential start dates upon completion of the previous studies.													
Note 3: Request is unable to be deferred due to fixed stop dates.													
Note 4: Transmission customer did not select "remain in the study using interim redispatch" option.													

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	¹ Letter of Credit Amount Required (ACA Parameter 5)	² Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	Notes	⁴ Additional Engineering and Construction Cost for 3rd Party Upgrades (ACA Parameter 2)	^{3,5} Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Point-to-Point Base Rate Over Reservation Period	⁶ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding	Directly Assigned Upgrade Cost (DAUC) (ACA Parameter 1)
AECC	2013-AG3-001	78754116	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
AECC	2013-AG3-002	78754144	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
AEPM	2013-AG3-003	78775996	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
AEPM	2013-AG3-004	78776033	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
AEPM	2013-AG3-005	78776041	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
ETEC	2013-AG3-006	78774012	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
GRDX	2013-AG3-007	78753946	\$138,841	\$45,818	\$93,023		\$0	\$64,097	\$0	\$64,097	\$64,097
GRDX	2013-AG3-008	78773345	\$191,159	\$0	\$191,159		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
GRDX	2013-AG3-009	78773355	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
LESM	2013-AG3-021	78773742	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
OGE	2013-AG3-024	78759765	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
OMPA	2013-AG3-025	78697838	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
SPSM	2013-AG3-027	78751808	\$9,056,700	\$0	\$9,056,700		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
TEXL	2013-AG3-028	78773933	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
TEXL	2013-AG3-029	78773967	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
UCU	2013-AG3-030	78748020	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$0	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$
Grand Total			\$9,386,700		\$9,340,882			\$64,097			\$

Note 1: Letter of Credit required for financial security for transmission owner for network upgrades is determined by allocated engineering and construction costs less engineering and construction costs for upgrades when network customer is the transmission owner less the E & C allocation of expedited projects. Letter of Credit is required for upgrades assigned to PTP requests. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The Letter Of Credit Amount listed is based on meeting OATT Attachment J requirements for base plan funding.

Note 2: If potential base plan funding is applicable, this value is the lesser of the Engineering and Construction costs of assignable upgrades or the value of base plan funding calculated pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B criteria. Allocation of base plan funding is contingent upon verification of customer agreements meeting Attachment J, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if Point-to-Point base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

Note 3: Revenue Requirements (RR) are based upon deferred end dates if applicable. Deferred dates are based upon customer's choice to pursue redispatch. Achievable Base Plan Avoided RR in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.C methodology. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects. A present worth analysis of RR on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan RR due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The incremental increase in present worth of a Requested Upgrade on a common year basis as a Base Plan upgrade is assigned to the transmission requests impacting the upgrade based on the displacement or deferral. If the displacement analysis results in lower RR due to the shorter amortization period of the requested upgrade when compared to a base plan amortization period, then no direct assignment of the upgrade cost is made due to the displacement to an earlier start date.

Note 4: For Point-to-Point requests, total cost is based on the higher of the base rate or assigned upgrade revenue requirements. For Network requests, the total cost is based on the assigned upgrade revenue requirement. Allocation of base plan funding will be determined after verification of designated resource meeting Attachment J, Section II B Criteria. Additionally E & C of 3rd Party upgrades is assignable to Customer. This includes prepayments required for any SWPA upgrades. Revenue requirements for 3rd Party facilities are not calculated. Total cost to customer is based on assumption of Revenue Requirements with confirmation of base plan funding. Customer is responsible for negotiating redispatch costs if applicable. Customer is also responsible to pay credits for previously assigned upgrades that are impacted by their request. Credits can be paid from base plan funding if applicable.

Note 5: RR with base plan funding may increase or decrease even if no base plan funding is applicable to a particular request if another request that shares the upgrade is now full base plan funded resulting in a different amortization period for the upgrade and thus different RR.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 AECC 2013-AG3-001

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
AECC	78754116	OKGE	CSWS	150	7/1/2014	7/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78754116	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78754116	China Draw Road Runner SVCs	6/1/2017	8/1/2017		
	IMC Area Rebuild and Capacitors Additions	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78754116	Ashdown REC (AECC delivery point)	12/1/2011	12/1/2011		
	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - OKAY 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2011	12/1/2011		
	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - PATTERSON 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2011	12/1/2011		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MANDEVILTP4 - SE TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MCNAB REC - Turk 115KV CKT 1 #2 (AEP)	12/1/2011	12/1/2011		
	OKAY - TURK 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2011	12/1/2011		
	SE TEXARKANA - TURK 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	SUGAR HILL - TURK 138KV CKT 1	12/16/2010	12/16/2010		
	Sunnyside to tap on Pooleville - Ratliff 138kv Ckt 1	6/30/2014	6/1/2014		
	TURK 138/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2011	12/1/2011		
	Valliant 345 kv (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 AECC 2013-AG3-002

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
AECC	78754144	OKGE	OKGE	150	7/1/2014	7/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78754144	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 AEPM 2013-AG3-003

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
AEPM	78775996	OKGE	CSWS	200	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78775996	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78775996	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78775996	CHERRY6 230.00 - Harrington Station East Bus 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	Gracemont 138kV line terminal addition	10/15/2011	10/15/2011		
	Harrington Mid - Nichols 230 kv Ckt 2	12/1/2012	12/1/2012		
	Harrington West - Nichols 230kV Ckt 1	12/1/2012	12/1/2012		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	MATHEWSON - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1 (AEP)	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Valliant 345 kv (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	10/12/2012	10/12/2012		
	WOODWARD - IODINE 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 AEPM 2013-AG3-004

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
AEPM	78776033	SPS	CSWS	200	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78776033	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78776033	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78776033	Gracemont 138kV line terminal addition	10/15/2011	10/15/2011		
	Harrington Mid - Nichols 230 kv Ckt 2	12/1/2012	12/1/2012		
	Harrington West - Nichols 230kv Ckt 1	12/1/2012	12/1/2012		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	MATHEWSON - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1 (AEP)	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Valliant 345 kv (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	10/12/2012	10/12/2012		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 AEPM 2013-AG3-005

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
AEPM	78776041	OKGE	CSWS	199	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	1/1/2016	1/1/2036	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78776041	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78776041	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78776041	Gracemont 138kv line terminal addition	10/15/2011	10/15/2011		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	MATHEWSON - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kv Ckt 1	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kv Ckt 1 (AEP)	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Valliant 345 kv (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	10/12/2012	10/12/2012		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 ETEC 2013-AG3-006

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
ETEC	78774012	CSWS	CSWS	31	1/1/2015	1/1/2024	6/1/2015	6/1/2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78774012	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78774012	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78774012	Gracemont 138kV line terminal addition	10/15/2011	10/15/2011		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1 (AEP)	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Valliant 345 kV (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	10/12/2012	10/12/2012		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
GRDX 2013-AG3-007

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
GRDX	78753946	CSWS	GRDA	136	10/1/2015	10/1/2020	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	\$ 93,023	\$ -	\$ 138,841	\$ 194,233
									\$ 93,023	\$ -	\$ 138,841	\$ 194,233

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78753946	HANCOCK - MUSKOGEE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021			\$ 93,023	\$ 64,097	\$ 138,841	\$ 330,000	\$ 194,233
					Total	\$ 93,023	\$ 64,097	\$ 138,841	\$ 330,000	\$ 194,233

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78753946	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO2) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	CPP TRANSF #2 - WILGRO 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	7/25/2016		No
	STILLWATER KINZIE (KINAUTO1) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78753946	FAIRFAX 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78753946	Fairfax - Pawnee 138kV Ckt 1	6/30/2014	6/1/2014		
	Osage - Shidler 138kV	1/15/2014	1/15/2014		
	Pawnee 138 kV	6/30/2014	6/1/2014		
	Shidler 138 kV	2/8/2014	2/8/2014		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
GRDX 2013-AG3-009

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
GRDX	78773355	MPS	GRDA	100	4/1/2016	4/1/2021	7/25/2016	7/25/2021	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78773355	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773355	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO2) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	CPP TRANSF #2 - WILGRO 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	7/25/2016		No
	STILLWATER KINZIE (KINAUTO1) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2019		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
LESM 2013-AG3-021

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
LESM	78773742	OKGE	LES	100	11/26/2015	11/26/2020	11/26/2015	11/26/2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78773742	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773742	China Draw Road Runner SVCs	6/1/2017	8/1/2017		
	IMC Area Rebuild and Capacitors Additions	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773742	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	MATHEWSON - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Valliant 345 kv (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 OGE 2013-AG3-024

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
OGE	78759765	OKGE	OKGE	16	10/1/2014	6/1/2030	6/1/2015	2/1/2031	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78759765	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78759765	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 OMPA 2013-AG3-025

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
OMPA	78697838	OKGE	OKGE	4	10/1/2014	12/1/2040	6/1/2015	8/1/2041	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78697838	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78697838	OMPA-MARLOW - RUSH SPRINGS TAP 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78697838	ALTUS SW - NAVAJO 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	BLUCAN5 4 138.00 - PARADISE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2013		
	CACHE - SNYDER 138KV CKT 1	5/21/2008	5/21/2008		
	CANTON - TALOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2013		
	DEARING 138KV	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	Fairfax - Pawnee 138kv Ckt 1	6/30/2014	6/1/2014		
	FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	12/1/2006	6/1/2008		
	KNOBHILL 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2008		
	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	MATHEWSON - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	Osage - Shidler 138kv	1/15/2014	1/15/2014		
	Pawnee 138 kv	6/30/2014	6/1/2014		
	Shidler 138 kv	2/8/2014	2/8/2014		
	TALOGA (TALOGA) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	10/1/2010	6/1/2013		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - IODINE 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
SPSM 2013-AG3-027

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
SPSM	78751808	SPS	SPS	250	12/1/2015	12/1/2035	6/1/2018	12/1/2035	\$ 9,056,700	\$ -	\$ 9,056,700	\$ 27,253,147
									\$ 9,056,700	\$ -	\$ 9,056,700	\$ 27,253,147

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Base Plan Funding for Wind	Directly Assigned for Wind	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78751808	EDDY_NORTH 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	10/1/2016	6/1/2018		Yes	\$ 9,056,700	\$ -	\$ 9,056,700	\$ 9,056,700	\$ 27,253,147
					Total	\$ 9,056,700	\$ -	\$ 9,056,700	\$ 9,056,700	\$ 27,253,147

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78751808	AMOCO SWITCHING STATION - SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2017	10/1/2017		
	Andrews - Hobbs 345 kV Ckt 1 Voltage Conversion	6/1/2022	6/1/2022		
	China Draw Road Runner SVCs	6/1/2017	8/1/2017		No
	IMC Area Rebuild and Capacitors Additions	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	LIVSTNRIDGE3115.00 - WIPP SUB 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	PCA Interchange - Quahada 115kV line	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	PECOS INTERCHANGE (WH BCS15041) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	Potash Junction 345/115KV Transformer	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		
	SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE (WH XDS70381) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78751808	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2016	6/1/2017		Yes

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78751808	CHERRY6 230.00 - Harrington Station East Bus 230KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 TEXTL 2013-AG3-028

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
TEXTL	78773933	CSWS	CSWS	50	1/1/2015	1/1/2025	6/1/2015	6/1/2025	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78773933	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773933	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773933	Gracemont 138kV line terminal addition	10/15/2011	10/15/2011		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1 (AEP)	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Valliant 345 kV (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	10/12/2012	10/12/2012		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
 TEXTL 2013-AG3-029

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
TEXTL	78773967	CSWS	CSWS	27	1/1/2015	1/1/2030	6/1/2015	6/1/2030	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78773967	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773967	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	6/1/2021	6/1/2021		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78773967	Gracemont 138kV line terminal addition	10/15/2011	10/15/2011		
	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1 (AEP)	10/1/2005	10/1/2005		
	Valliant 345 kV (AEP)	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	10/12/2012	10/12/2012		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number
UCU 2013-AG3-030

Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date Without Redispatch	Deferred Stop Date Without Redispatch	Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable	Point-to-Point Base Rate	Allocated E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
UCU	78748020	MPS	KCPL	2	5/1/2014	5/1/2019	6/1/2015	6/1/2020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available	Allocated E & C Cost	Total E & C Cost	Total Revenue Requirements
78748020	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total						\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Earliest Start Date	Redispatch Available
78748020	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	Longview - KC South 161kV Ckt 1	1/31/2011	1/31/2011		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
OKGE	HANCOCK - MUSKOGEE 161KV CKT 1	Replace Muskogee Terminal Equipment	6/1/2021	6/1/2021	\$330,000.00
SPS	EDDY_NORTH 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Add a second 345/230 kV, 560 MVA Auto Transformer at Eddy County from the existing 345kV to the south Eddy 230kV bus (i.e. the 345/230kV autos will connect to the separate 230kV buses). At the minimum, the 345kV will require a 3-position ring bus with po	10/1/2016	6/1/2018	\$9,056,700.00

Construction Pending Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AECC	CHAMBER SPRINGS - FARMINGTON AECC 161KV CKT 1 AECC	Upgrade 1272 AAC bus at Farmington REC. Replace bus at Farmington REC and rebuild 400 feet of the 161 kV line going to Chamber Springs.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
AECI	FAIRFAX 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Replace with 84 MVA unit	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
SPS	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	Build 6.3 mile 115 kV line from Mustang to Shell CO2 Build a new 6.9 mile 115kV line between the Mustang and Shell CO2 substations.	6/1/2016	6/1/2017

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	OMPA-MARLOW - RUSH SPRINGS TAP 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.59 miles with 1533.3 ACSR/TW	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
GRDA	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO1) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade the 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER to 210 MVA	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
GRDA	CLAREMORE (CLRAUTO2) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Upgrade the 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER to 210 MVA	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
GRDA	CPP TRANSF #2 - WILGRO 69KV CKT 1	upgrade relay and Breaker at the CPP station	6/1/2016	7/25/2016
GRDA	STILLWATER KINZIE (KINAUTO1) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Replace Stillwater Kinzie Transformer with 112/140 unit	6/1/2017	6/1/2019
SPS	AMOCO SWITCHING STATION - SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	Replace wave trap at Amoco and Sundown 230 kv	10/1/2017	10/1/2017
SPS	Andrews - Hobbs 345 kv Ckt 1 Voltage Conversion	Convert existing 30.5-mile 230 kv line from Andrews to Hobbs to 345 kv. Re-terminate line on 345 kv bus at Hobbs. Ratings will be based on current conductors - bundled 795 ACSR.	6/1/2022	6/1/2022
SPS	China Draw_Road Runner SVCs	New 200 Mvar SVC at China Draw 115kv; new 200 Mvar SVC at Road Runner 115kv	6/1/2017	8/1/2017
SPS	IMC Area Rebuild and Capacitors Additions	Reconductoring IMC #1 Tap-Intrepid West, IMC #1-Livingston Ridge, Intrepid West-Potash Junction, Byrd-Monument, Ponderosa Tap-Whitten, National Enrichment Plant-Targa 115 kv lines, Upgrading terminal equipment at Byrd 115 kv substation. A wave trap will	6/1/2017	6/1/2017
SPS	LIVSTNRIDGE3115.00 - WIPP SUB 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 2.75 miles of transmission line.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
SPS	PCA Interchange - Quahada 115kv line	Rebuild 11.08 miles of transmission line.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
SPS	PECOS INTERCHANGE (WH BCS15041) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
SPS	Potash Junction 345/115kv Transformer	Replace 345/230kv transformer with a 345/115kv transformer.	6/1/2021	6/1/2021
SPS	SUNDOWN INTERCHANGE (WH XDS70381) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade Transformer to 250 MVA	6/1/2021	6/1/2021

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Network Upgrades requiring credits per Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	Ashdown REC (AECC delivery point)	Replace switches 6276 and 6277 with 3000 A, 138 kV switches and replace the conductor between them with 1590 ACSR.	12/1/2011	12/1/2011
AEPW	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - OKAY 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild fourteen (14.3) miles of 115 kV line to 138 kV and reconductor with 1590 ACSR.	12/1/2011	12/1/2011
AEPW	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - PATTERSON 138KV CKT 1	Reconductor line and convert line to 138 kV. Convert Patterson station to breaker-and-a-half configuration.	12/1/2011	12/1/2011
AEPW	CACHE - SNYDER 138KV CKT 1	Replace Snyder wavetrap	5/21/2008	5/21/2008
AEPW	MANDEVILTP4 - SE TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1	Build new Turk-SE Texarkana 138 kV line and add SE Texarkana 138 kV terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	MCNAB REC - Turk 115KV CKT 1 #2 (AEP)	Build a new two mile, 138kV, 1590 ACSR line section (operated at 115kV) from Turk Substation to the existing Okay-Hope 115kV line to form a Turk - Hope 115kV line.	12/1/2011	12/1/2011
AEPW	OKAY - TURK 138KV CKT 1	Build a new two mile, 138kV, 1590 ACSR line section from Turk Substation to the existing Okay-Hope 115kV line and rebuild twelve miles of 115kV line to Okay Substation to 138kV, 1590 ACSR, to form a Turk - Okay 138kV line.	12/1/2011	12/1/2011
AEPW	Osage - Shidler 138kV	Osage Substation: Replace Shidler 138kV line terminal primary and redundant relaying with SEL uProcessor based relays, install 3-138kV PTs, Install 1-138kV CB, Install metering, Install 2000A line Trap	1/15/2014	1/15/2014
AEPW	SE TEXARKANA - TURK 138KV CKT 1	Build new Turk-SE Texarkana 138 kV line and add SE Texarkana 138 kV terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	Shidler 138 kV		2/8/2014	2/8/2014
AEPW	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1 (AEP)	Expand Southwestern Station for termination of WFEC 2.75 mile 138kV Transmission Line from Washita Switch Station	10/1/2005	10/1/2005
AEPW	SUGAR HILL - TURK 138KV CKT 1	Build new 24 mile Turk - Sugar Hill 138 kV line and add Sugar Hill 138 kV terminal.	12/16/2010	12/16/2010
AEPW	TURK 138/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Build Turk 138-115 kV station and relocate autotransformer (and spare) from Patterson to this new Turk station	12/1/2011	12/1/2011
AEPW	Valliant 345 kV (AEP)	Valliant 345 kV line terminal	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
GRDA	Fairfax - Pawnee 138kV Ckt 1	Construct Approx. 15 miles of 138kV transmission line from Fairfax to a new substation on the Cleveland-Stillwater 138kV line near Pawnee. & Fairfax Substation: Install 138kV line terminal and any additional modifications that are necessary to connect to	6/30/2014	6/1/2014
GRDA	Pawnee 138 kV	New three breaker ring bus on the Cleveland-Stillwater 138kV line near Pawnee. Station will have terminals to Cleveland, Stillwater, and Fairfax.	6/30/2014	6/1/2014
KACP	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	KCPL Sponsored Project to Reconductor Line to be In-Service by 6/1/2006	6/1/2006	6/1/2006
MIPU	Longview - KC South 161kV Ckt 1	Install new 161kV wavetrap in place of existing wavetrap	1/31/2011	1/31/2011
OKGE	Gracemont 138kV line terminal addition		10/15/2011	10/15/2011
OKGE	KNOBHILL 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Replace bus tie with 100MVA transformer	6/1/2006	6/1/2008
OKGE	MATHEWSON - NORTHWEST 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	MATHEWSON - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	Sunnyside to tap on Pooleville - Ratliff 138kV Ckt 1	Rebuild 0.75 mi of 138kV Transmission Line DBL CKT	6/30/2014	6/1/2014
OKGE	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - IODINE 138KV CKT 1	Tap Iodine to Woodward 138 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Install 345/138 kV XF	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
SPS	CHERRY6 230.00 - Harrington Station East Bus 230KV CKT 1	Replace wavetrap at Harrington East	6/1/2015	6/1/2015
SPS	Harrington Mid - Nichols 230 kV Ckt 2	Reconductor Harrington Mid - Nichols 230kV. Replace switches and breakers to get circuit to 727/727 MVA rating. New limit should be bus rating.	12/1/2012	12/1/2012

Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

SPS	Harrington West - Nichols 230kV Ckt 1	Reconductor Harrington West - Nichols 230kV. Replace switches and breakers to get circuit to 727/727 MVA rating. New limit should be bus rating.	12/1/2012	12/1/2012
WERE	DEARING 138KV	Dearing 138 KV 20 MVAR Capacitor Addition	6/1/2012	6/1/2012
WFEC	ALTUS SW - NAVAJO 69KV CKT 1	Upgrade Terminal Equipment at Altus SW, 300-600A, new rating conductor 53/65MVA	6/1/2013	6/1/2013
WFEC	BLUCANS 4 138.00 - PARADISE 138KV CKT 1	Upgrade Paradise to G03-05T to 1113	6/1/2010	6/1/2013
WFEC	CANTON - TALOGA 69KV CKT 1	UPGRADE CANTON TO TALOGA TO 336.4	6/1/2011	6/1/2013
WFEC	FT SUPPLY 138/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Install 2nd 70 MVA auto at Ft Supply	12/1/2006	6/1/2008
WFEC	HUGO - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1	New 19 miles 345 KV	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
WFEC	Southwestern Station - Washita 138kV Ckt 1	Expand Washita Switch Station from a 4-breaker to a 5-breaker 138kV ring bus & Construct 2.75 mile 138kV Transmission Line to the AEP/PSO Southwestern Station	10/1/2005	10/1/2005
WFEC	TALOGA (TALOGA) 138/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Auto XFMR 56 to 112MVA	10/1/2010	6/1/2013
WFEC	WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138 KV CKT 2	BUILD WASHITA - GRACEMONT 138KV CKT 2 (APPROXIMATELY 7 MILES). ADD LINE TERMINAL AT WASHITA AND PROCURE RIGHT OF WAY. REQUIRED AS SHARED NETWORK UPGRADE FOR INTERCONNECTION OF GEN-2008-037.	10/12/2012	10/12/2012

Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

Transmission Owner	UpgradeName	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
None					

Table 7- Service Upgrade Cost Allocation per Request

Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Allocation Percentage	Allocated E & C Cost
EDDY_NORTH 345/230/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	SPSM	2013-AG3-027	78751808	100.00%	\$9,056,700
				Total:	\$9,056,700

Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Allocation Percentage	Allocated E & C Cost
HANCOCK - MUSKOGEE 161KV CKT 1	GRDX	2013-AG3-007	78753946	42.07%	\$138,841
HANCOCK - MUSKOGEE 161KV CKT 1	GRDX	2013-AG3-008	78773345	57.93%	\$191,159
				Total:	\$330,000