Aggregate Facility Study SPP-2012-AG3-AFS-8

4/30/2014

SPP Engineering, SPP Transmission Service Studies



Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Executive Summary	2
Introduction	3
Financial Analysis	5
Third-Party Facilities	6
Make-Whole Payment	6
Study Methodology	7
Description	7
Model Development	8
Transmission Request Modeling	8
Transfer Analysis	8
Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation	9
Study Results	9
Study Analysis Results	9
Example A:	11
Example B:	11
Example C:	11
Study Definitions	12
Conclusion	12
Appendix A	13
BASE CASE SETTINGS:	13
ACCC CASE SETTINGS:	13

Executive Summary

Pursuant to Attachment Z1 of the Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (SPP) Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 3581 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been studied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability, as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z1 is the sharing of costs among Transmission Customers using the same facility. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility.

Attachment Z2 further provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating: "Transmission Customers paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Service Upgrades or that are in excess of the Safe Harbor Cost Limit for Network Upgrades associated with new or changed Designated Resources and Project Sponsors paying Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs for Sponsored Upgrades shall receive revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. Generation Interconnection Customers paying for Network Upgrades shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z1."

- The AFS determined that the total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E&C) cost is \$105 million. Additionally, no third party facility upgrades are assignable to the customer.
- Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is \$182 million.

To accommodate the requested SPP Transmission Service, third-party facilities must be upgraded when the third-party transmission provider determines that they are constrained. Third-party facilities include both first-tier neighboring facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were not identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable.

SPP will tender an Aggregate Completion Agreement on April 30, 2014. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), SPP must receive from the Customer by May 15, 2014, an executed Aggregate Completion Agreement. The Aggregate Completion Agreement will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to withdraw the request or leave the request in study mode.

At the conclusion of the ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered identifying the terms and conditions of the confirmed service.

Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the Customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon validation of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

Introduction

Important milestones and dates in SPP's Aggregate Transmission Study process:

• All requests for long-term transmission service with a signed study agreement received before October 1, 2012 for 2012-AG3 have been included in this third Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) of 2012.

The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 6. Detailed results depict individual upgrade costs by study and potential base plan allowances determined by Attachments J and Z1. The OATT may be accessed at SPP's website by going to SPP.org>Org Groups>Governing Documents.

To understand the extent to which Base Plan Upgrades may be applied to both Point-to-Point (PTP) and Network Transmission Services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is:

"[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis."

Network and PTP service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

- 1. Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have a duration of at least five years.
- 2. During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of:
 - a. The planned maximum net dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or

b. The requested capacity; shall not exceed 125% of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z1 Section VI.A, PTP customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service Customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades, including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned Network Upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z2.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances, due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or Customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer because SPP, the Transmission Provider, determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned Network Upgrades. Table 7 lists costs allocated per request for Service Upgrades assigned in this AFS..

By taking the transmission service subject to interim redispatch, the Transmission Customer agrees to provide interim redispatch. Once the Transmission Provider identifies the possible redispatch pairs, the Transmission Customer can enter into bilateral agreements to provide redispatch. Should the need to implement redispatch arise in order to maintain Network reliability, it is up to the Transmission Customer to contact parties with whom they have entered into redispatch agreements to implement that service. Such redispatch shall occur in advance of curtailment of other firm reservations impacting these constraints. In the absence of implementation of interim redispatch as requested by the Transmission Provider for Transmission Customer transactions resulting in overloads on limiting facilities, the Transmission Provider shall curtail the Transmission Customers schedule.

Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated Customer's E&C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, Network Upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. If the Customer chose Option 2, Redispatch, in the Aggregate Completion Agreement, the present worth analysis of revenue requirements will be based on the deferred term with redispatch in the subsequent AFS. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E&C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities; salvage value of removed non-usable facilities; and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be accelerated, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses though the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include:

- 1. The levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation,
- 2. The levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and
- 3. The levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both:
 - a. The reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and
 - b. A reservation, if any, in which the project was previously accelerated.

In the case of a Base Plan Upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a requested upgrade, achievable base plan avoided revenue requirements shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.B methodology. A deferred Base Plan Upgrade is defined as a different requested Network Upgrade needed at an earlier date that negates the need for the initial Base Plan Upgrade within the planning horizon. A displaced Base Plan Upgrade is defined as the same Network Upgrade being displaced by a requested upgrade needed at an earlier date.

A 40-year service life assumption is utilized for Base Plan funded projects, unless another assumption is provided by the Transmission Owner. A present worth analysis of revenue requirements on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was

performed to determine avoided Base Plan revenue requirements due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan Upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The difference in present worth between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades is assigned to the transmission requests impacting this upgrade based on the displacement or deferral.

Third-Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider's OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were not identified. Total E&C cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are applicable. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facility upgrade E&C cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system Network Upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this study, as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. An agreement between the Customer and third party owner detailing the mitigation of the third party impact must be provided to the Transmission Provider prior to tendering of a Transmission Service Agreement. These facilities also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT. Upgrades on the Southwest Power Administration network requires prepayment of the upgrade cost prior to construction of the upgrade.

Third-party facilities are evaluated for only those requests whose load sinks within the SPP footprint. The Customer must arrange for study of third party facilities for load that sinks outside the SPP footprint with the applicable Transmission Providers.

Make-Whole Payment

Make-whole payment (MWP) is a potential cost that may be allocated to a Withdrawn Request inside an Aggregate Facilities Study (AFS). The MWP for the Withdrawn Request(s) is determined as the sum of the increase in Directly Assigned Upgrade Costs (DAUC) for the remaining requests in the AFS. If a MWP is required, the customer(s) with the Withdrawn Request(s) shall be obligated to pay such costs pursuant to the ACA.

If multiple requests are withdrawn at the conclusion of this study iteration, then the impact of each Withdrawn Request on the shared upgrades causing an increase in DAUC for the remaining requests in the AFS with shared costs shall be determined. Upgrade costs for facilities allocated solely to the Withdrawn Request(s) will not be included in the MWP calculation. If a MWP is required for a

Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Withdrawn Request, the customer shall enter into a Sponsored Upgrade Agreement with SPP in accordance with Attachment J and will be eligible for revenue credits in accordance with Attachment Z2. For additional details, refer to the Tariff language.

The MWP has not been calculated in this report posting. However, one can be assessed by the following:

- 1. Refer to Table 3 of the relevant AFS and identify the Service Upgrades allocated to the request.
- 2. For Service Upgrades where "Allocated E&C Cost" is less than the "Total E&C Cost," sum the "Total Revenue Requirements."
- 3. The sum calculated in (2) is the maximum potential MWP.

In most cases, the MWP will not include costs of non-shared upgrades. Non-shared upgrade costs may be included in the event that SPP grants service in a subsequent study that required the use of the non-shared upgrade.

Study Methodology

Description

The facility study analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier non-SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was performed to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements are fulfilled. SPP conforms to NERC Reliability Standards, which provide strict requirements related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. NERC Standards require all facilities to be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP Model Development Working Group (MDWG) models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 105% and 95%. The upper bound and lower bound of the emergency voltage range monitored is 105% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 103.5% and 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69 kV and above; first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above; any defined contingencies for these control areas; and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier non-SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier non-SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN (Ameren), and

Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

ENTR (Entergy) control areas. A 2 % TDF cutoff was applied to WAPA. For voltage monitoring, a 0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

Model Development

SPP used six seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers over a variety of requested service periods. The following SPP Transmission Expansion Plan 2012 Build 1 Cases were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system:

2014 Summer Peak (14SP)

2014/15 Winter Peak (14WP)

2018 Summer Peak (18SP)

2018/19 Winter Peak (18WP)

2023 Summer Peak (23SP)

2023/24 Winter Peak (23SP)

The Summer Peak models apply to June through September and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the current modeling information. One group of requests was developed from the aggregate to model the requested service. From the seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 0 includes projected usage of transmission included in the SPP 2012 Series Cases. Scenario 5 includes transmission service not already included in the SPP 2012 Series Cases.

Transmission Request Modeling

Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation transfers. Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation because the requested Network Integration Transmission Service is a request to serve network load with the new designated network resource, and the impacts on Transmission System are determined accordingly. Point-To-Point Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Generation transfers. Generation to Generation transfers are accomplished by developing a post-transfer case for comparison by dispatching the request source and redispatching the request sink.

Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and 1st-Tier) and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period in which SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on and may impair Transmission System reliability, SPP will take whatever actions are reasonably necessary to maintain reliability. If SPP determines Transmission System reliability can be maintained by redispatching resources, it will evaluate the interim curtailment of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer's use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned Network Upgrades. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is evaluated to provide only interim service. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is only evaluated at the request of the transmission Customer.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount was greater than 1 MW, the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit.

Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). Relief pairs from the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units with a greater than 3% TDF on the limiting constraint were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with highest generation shift factors. If the aggregate redispatch amount for the potential relief pair was determined to be three times greater than the lower of the increment or decrement, then the pair was determined not to be feasible and is not included. Transmission Customers can request SPP to provide additional relief pairs beyond those determined. The potential relief pairs were not evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and first tier systems. The SPP Reliability Coordinator would call upon the redispatch requirements before implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

The Aggregate Study analyzes the most probable contingencies and does not account for every situation that may be encountered in real-time operation. Because of this, it is possible that the customer may be curtailed under certain system conditions to allow system operators to maintain the reliability of the transmission network.

Study Results

Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 6 contain the AFS steady-state analysis results. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term Transmission Service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (based on Customer selection of redispatch if available) and the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact.

Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

Table 2 identifies total E&C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E&C cost assignments, potential base plan E&C funding (lower of allocated E&C or Attachment J Section III B criteria), point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. In addition, Table 2 identifies SWPA upgrade costs which require prepayment in addition to other allocated costs.

Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E&C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to the Customer but required for service to be confirmed, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS or Generation Interconnection Network Upgrades, and any required third party upgrades.

Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide Transmission Service for the AFS, minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, earliest date upgrade is required (DUN), estimated date the upgrade will be completed, in service (EOC), and estimated E&C cost.

Table 5 lists identified third-party constrained facilities.

Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service. MW amounts listed for redispatch are maximum values observed in a long term study and may only be available in a reduced amount or unavailable at any given time.

Table 7 lists costs allocated per request for Service Upgrades assigned in this AFS.

The potential base plan funding allowable is contingent on meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as Base Plan Upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J. If the additional capacity of the new or changed Designated Resource exceeds the 125% resource to load forecast for the year of start of service, the requested resource is not eligible for base plan funding of required Network Upgrades and the full cost of the upgrades is assignable to the Customer.

If the request is for wind generation, the total requested capacity of wind generation plus existing wind generation capacity shall not exceed 20% of the customer's projected system peak responsibility in the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the customer. If the five-year term and 125% resource to load criteria are met, (as well as the 20% wind resource to load criteria for wind generation requests) the requested capacity is multiplied by \$180,000 to determine the potential base plan funding allowable. The maximum potential base plan funding allowable may be less than the potential base plan funding allowable, due to the E&C cost allocated to the customer being lower than the potential amount allowable to the Customer. The Customer is responsible for any assigned upgrade costs in excess of potential base plan E&C funding allowable. Network Upgrades required for wind generation requests located in a zone other than the Customer POD shall be allocated as 67% base plan region-wide charge and 33% directly assigned to the Customer.

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and

Southwest Power Pool, Inc.

no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of "OR" pricing.

However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of "OR" pricing would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

Example A:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$47 million, with the difference of \$27 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is \$54 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount (so-called "or pricing") of \$101 million base rate of which \$54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$86 million (\$140 million less \$54 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example B:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$74 million with revenue requirements of \$140 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million with the difference of \$64 million E&C assignable to the Customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is \$128 million and the PTP base rate is \$101 million, the Customer will pay the higher amount of \$128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners, and the remaining revenue requirements of \$12 million (\$140 million less \$128 million) will be paid by base plan funding.

Example C:

E&C allocated for upgrades is \$25 million with revenue requirements of \$50 million and PTP base rate of \$101 million. Potential base plan funding is \$10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher amount of PTP base rate of \$101 million must be paid and the \$50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The 125% resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of Designated Resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP attestation statements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested Designated Resource is committed for a minimum five year duration.

Study Definitions

- The date upgrade needed date (DUN) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests.
- End of construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service.
- Total engineering and construction cost (E&C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the Transmission Owner.
- The Transmission Customer's allocation of the E&C cost is based on the request (1) having an impact of at least 3% on the limiting element, and (2) having a positive impact on the upgraded facility.
- Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated without upgrading facilities.
- Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional Transmission System. Due to these constraints, Transmission Service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The Transmission Provider will tender an Aggregate Completion Agreement on April 30, 2014. This will open a 15-day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer by May 15, 2014, an executed Aggregate Completion Agreement. The Aggregate Completion Agreement will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated E&C costs assigned to the Customer. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. The Transmission Provider will issue notifications to construct Network Upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner after filing of necessary service agreements at FERC.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASE SETTINGS:

• Solutions: Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution

(FDNS)

Tap adjustment: Stepping

Area Interchange Control: Tie lines and loads
 Var limits: Apply immediately

• Solution Options:

X Phase shift adjustment

Flat start

Lock DC taps

Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASE SETTINGS:

• Solutions: AC contingency checking (ACCC)

MW mismatch tolerance: 0.5
System intact rating: Rate A
Contingency case rating: Rate B
Percent of rating: 100
Output code: Summary

Min flow change in overload report: 3mw
Excld cases w/ no overloads from report: YES
Exclude interfaces from report: NO
Perform voltage limit check: YES
Elements in available capacity table: 60000
Cutoff threshold for available capacity 99999.0

table:

Min. contng. Case Vltg chng for report: 0.02
 Sorted output: None

Newton Solution:

• Tap adjustment: Stepping

Area interchange control:
 Tie lines and loads (Disabled for generator

outages)

• Var limits: Apply immediately

• Solution options: \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment

_ Flat start

_ Lock DC taps

__Lock switched shunts

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date without interim redispatch (ACA Parameter 3)	Deferred Stop Date without interim redispatch	Start Date with interim redispatch	Stop Date with interim redispatch	Minimum Allocated ATC (MW) within reservation period	Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period
CRGL	2012-AG3-047	77029025	WFEC	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2020	6/1/2019	6/1/2025	6/1/2019	6/1/2025	0	14SP
CRGL	2012-AG3-048	77029027	SPS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2020	6/1/2019	6/1/2025	6/1/2019	6/1/2025	0	14SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-038	77410319	CSWS	CSWS	1171	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1171	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-039	77410327	CSWS	CSWS	79	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	79	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-040	77410336	CSWS	CSWS	500	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	500	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-041	77410342	EES	CSWS	30	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	30	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-042	77410350	CSWS	CSWS	406	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	0	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-043	77410353	CLEC	CSWS	38	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	38	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-044	77410357	SPA	CSWS	128	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	128	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-045	77410360	SPA	CSWS	1	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1	18SP
ETEC	2012-AG3-046	77410382	CSWS	CSWS	52	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	1/1/2015	1/1/2040	52	18SP
EXGN	2012-AG3-057	78865941	ERCOTN	ERCOTN	198	5/1/2014	5/1/2019	9/1/2014	9/1/2019	9/1/2014	9/1/2019	198	14SP
EXGN	2012-AG3-058	78865945	ERCOTN	ERCOTN	198	5/1/2015	5/1/2020	5/1/2015	5/1/2020	5/1/2015	5/1/2020	198	18SP
GSECGS	2012-AG3-032	77401449	SPS	SPS	7	6/1/2013	1/1/2039	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	0	14SP
GSECGS	2012-AG3-033	77401452	SPS	SPS	7	6/1/2013	1/1/2039	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	0	14SP
GSECGS	2012-AG3-034	77401453	SPS	SPS	7	6/1/2013	1/1/2039	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	0	14SP
GSECGS	2012-AG3-036	77402184	SPS	SPS	203	1/1/2015	1/1/2041	6/1/2017	6/1/2043	1/1/2015	1/1/2041	0	18SP
KBPU	2012-AG3-029	77155691	WR	KACY	2	4/1/2013	8/1/2030	9/1/2014	1/1/2032	9/1/2014	1/1/2032	0	14SP
KPP	2012-AG3-024	77398979	WR	WR	15	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	0	14SP
KPP	2012-AG3-025	77405406	SPA	WR	1	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	1	14SP
KPP	2012-AG3-026	77405413	KACY	WR	3	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	0	14SP
KPP	2012-AG3-027	77405416	WR	WR	9	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	0	14SP
KPP	2012-AG3-028	77405426	WR	WR	4	6/1/2013	1/1/2015	9/1/2014	4/1/2016	9/1/2014	4/1/2016	0	14SP
MIDW	2012-AG3-013	77410531	WR	WR	28	6/1/2015	6/1/2038	6/1/2015	6/1/2038	6/1/2015	6/1/2038	0	18SP
SPSM	2012-AG3-009	77341873	WFEC	SPS	36	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	0	23SP
SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	WFEC	SPS	44	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	0	23SP
					3581								

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2012-AG3-AFS-8) April 30, 2014 Page 14

Table 1 - Long-Term Transmission Service Requests Included in Aggregate Facility Study

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	POR	POD	Requested Amount	Requested Start Date	Requested Stop Date	Deferred Start Date without interim redispatch (ACA Parameter 3)	Deferred Stop Date without interim redispatch	Start Date with interim redispatch	Stop Date with interim redispatch	Minimum Allocated ATC (MW) within reservation period	Season of Minimum Allocated ATC within reservation period
----------	--------------	-------------	-----	-----	---------------------	-------------------------	------------------------	---	---	--	---	--	---

Note 1: Start and Stop Dates with interim redispatch are determined based on customers choosing option to pursue redispatch to start service at Requested Start and Stop Dates or earliest date possible.

Note 2: Start dates with and without redispatch are based on the assumed completion dates of previous Aggregate Transmission Service Studies currently being conducted. Actual start dates may differ from the potential start dates upon completion of the previous studies.

Note 3: Request is unable to be deferred due to fixed stop dates.

Note 4: Transmission customer did not select "remain in the study using interim redispatch" option.

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	¹ Letter of Credit Amount Required (ACA Parameter 5)	² Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	Notes	⁴ Additional Engineering and Construction Cost for 3rd Party Upgrades (ACA Parameter 2)	³⁵ Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Rate Over Reservation Period	⁴ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding	(DAUC) (ACA Parameter 1)
CRGL	2012-AG3-047	77029025	\$41,912,237	\$41,912,240	\$0	6,8	\$0	\$91,188,530	\$27,913,851	\$91,188,530	\$63,274,679
CRGL	2012-AG3-048	77029027	\$41,750,000	\$41,750,000	\$0	6,8	\$0	\$90,799,460	\$27,913,851	\$90,799,460	\$62,885,609
ETEC	2012-AG3-038	77410319	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-039	77410327	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-040	77410336	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-041	77410342	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-042	77410350	\$1,680,000	\$0	\$1,680,000		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-043	77410353	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-044	77410357	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-045	77410360	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
ETEC	2012-AG3-046	77410382	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
EXGN	2012-AG3-057	78865941	\$0	\$0	\$0	7	\$0	\$0	\$22,250,171	\$22,250,170	
EXGN	2012-AG3-058	78865945	\$0	\$0	\$0	7	\$0	\$0	\$22,250,171	\$22,250,170	\$ -
GSECGS	2012-AG3-032	77401449	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
GSECGS	2012-AG3-033	77401452	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
GSECGS	2012-AG3-034	77401453	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
GSECGS	2012-AG3-036	77402184	\$8,232,181	\$0	\$8,232,181		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
KBPU	2012-AG3-029	77155691	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$371,904	\$371,904	
KPP	2012-AG3-024	77398979	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
KPP	2012-AG3-025	77405406	\$0	γU	\$0		\$0	Ų		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
KPP	2012-AG3-026	77405413	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
KPP	2012-AG3-027	77405416	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
KPP	2012-AG3-028	77405426	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
MIDW	2012-AG3-013	77410531	\$0	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
SPSM	2012-AG3-009	77341873	\$4,409,668		\$4,409,668		\$0	\$0		Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	\$7,154,914	\$0	\$7,154,914		\$0	\$0	·	Schedule 9 & 11 Charges	\$ -
Grand Total			\$105,139,000		\$21,476,763			\$181,987,990			

Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	¹ Letter of Credit Amount Required (ACA Parameter 5)	² Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	Notes	for 3rd Party	^{3 5} Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Rate Over Reservation Period	⁴ Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding	Upgrade Cost
----------	--------------	-------------	--	---	--	-------	---------------	--	---------------------------------	---	--------------

Note 1: Letter of Credit required for financial security for transmission owner for network upgrades is determined by allocated engineering and construction costs for upgrades when network customer is the transmission owner less the E & C allocation of expedited projects. Letter of Credit is required for upgrades assigned to PTP requests. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect cost recovery based on revenue allocation. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are fully base plan funded. The Letter Of Credit Amount listed is based on meeting OATT Attachment J requirements for base plan funding.

Note 2: If potential base plan funding is applicable, this value is the lesser of the Engineering and Construction costs of assignable upgrades or the value of base plan funding calculated pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B criteria. Allocation of base plan funding is contingent upon verification of customer agreements meeting Attachment J, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if Point-to-Point base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

Note 3: Revenue Requirements (RR) are based upon deferred end dates if applicable. Deferred dates are based upon customer's choice to pursue redispatch. Achievable Base Plan Avoided RR in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.C methodology. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects. A present worth analysis of RR on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan RR due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The incremental increase in present worth of a Requested Upgrade on a common year basis as a Base Plan upgrade is assigned to the transmission requests impacting the upgrade based on the displacement or deferral. If the displacement analysis results in lower RR due to the shorter amortization period of the requested upgrade when compared to a base plan amortization period, then no direct assignment of the upgrade cost is made due to the displacement to an earlier start date.

Note 4: For Point-to-Point requests, total cost is based on the higher of the base rate or assigned upgrade revenue requirements. For Network requests, the total cost is based on the assigned upgrade revenue requirement. Allocation of base plan funding will be determined after verification of designated resource meeting Attachment J, Section II B Criteria. Additionally E & C of 3rd Party upgrades is assignable to Customer. This includes prepayments required for any SWPA upgrades. Revenue requirements for 3rd Party facilities are not calculated. Total cost to customer is based on assumption of Revenue Requirements with confirmation of base plan funding. Customer is responsible for negotiating redispatch costs if applicable. Customer is also responsible to pay credits for previously assigned upgrades that are impacted by their request. Credits can be paid from base plan funding if applicable.

Note 5: RR with base plan funding may increase or decrease even if no base plan funding is applicable to a particular request if another request that shares the upgrade is now full base plan funded resulting in a different amortization period for the upgrade and thus different RR.

Note 6: Mutually exclusive with 77029025 and 77029027. System impacts were identified by only modeling mutually exclusive request 77029025.

Note 7: Mutually exclusive with 78865941 and 78865945.

Note 8: ATSS cost allocation includes all customers' mutually exclusive requests in SPP-2012-AG3.

Customer Study Number CRGL 2012-AG3-047

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
CRGL	77029025	WFEC	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2020	6/1/2019	6/1/2025	-	\$ 27,913,851	\$ 41,912,23	7 \$ 91,188,529
									\$ -	\$ 27,913,851	\$ 41,912,23	7 \$ 91,188,529

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allo	cated E & C		Total	Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requi	irements
77029025	ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion	9/1/2014	6/1/2019		No	\$	32,500,000	\$ 65,000,000	\$	70,682,215
	FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			\$	162,237	\$ 225,000	\$	389,068
	OKLAUNION 345KV SVC	9/1/2014	6/1/2019		No	\$	9,250,000	\$ 18,500,000	\$	20,117,246
					Total	\$	41,912,237	\$ 83,725,000	\$	91,188,529

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
7702902	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77029025	BLUE CANYON - PARADISE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2013		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

^{*207} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity.

Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

^{*}ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion is subject to regulatory and permitting requirements.

Customer Study Number CRGL 2012-AG3-048

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
CRGL	77029027	SPS	ERCOTN	207	1/1/2014	1/1/2020	6/1/201	9 6/1/2025	\$ -	\$ 27,913,851	\$ 41,750,000	\$ 90,799,461
									\$ -	\$ 27,913,851	\$ 41,750,000	\$ 90,799,461

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Alloc	cated E & C		Total	Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requi	rements
77029027	ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion	9/1/2014	6/1/2019		No	\$	32,500,000	\$ 65,000,000	\$	70,682,215
	OKLAUNION 345KV SVC	9/1/2014	6/1/2019		No	\$	9,250,000	\$ 18,500,000	\$	20,117,246
					Total	\$	41,750,000	\$ 83,500,000	\$	90,799,461

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77029027	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

^{*207} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity.

^{*}ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion is subject to regulatory and permitting requirements.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

				Requested	Requested Start		Deferred Start Date Without	Deferred Stop Date Without	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	•	Date		Redispatch	Redispatch				Requirements
ETEC	77410319	CSWS	CSWS	1171	1/1/2015	1/1/2040			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
									\$ -	Ś -	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410319	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

					Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation		POR	POD		Date		Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	77	410327	CSWS	CSWS	79	1/1/2015	1/1/2040			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
'										\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410327	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$.

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number ETEC 2012-AG3-040

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	Deferred Stop Date Without	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	77410336	CSWS	CSWS	500	1/1/2015	1/1/2040)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$

Danamatian	Lla cura da Nicora	DUN			•	Allocated E & C	T-+- F 0 C C+	Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410336	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	ς

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77410336	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	Deferred Stop Date Without	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer Reservation		POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	77410342	EES	CSWS	3	0 1/1/201	5 1/1/2040)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	. \$

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410342	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	77410350	CSWS	CSWS	406	1/1/2015	1/1/2040			\$ 1,680,000	\$ -	\$ 1,680,00	0 \$ 6,437,273
				_					\$ 1,680,000	\$ -	\$ 1,680,00	0 \$ 6,437,273

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Alloca	ated E & C		Total Re	venue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requiren	nents
77410350	ADORA REC - ADORA T 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			\$	1,680,000	\$ 1,680,000	\$	6,437,273
					Total	\$	1,680,000	\$ 1,680,000	\$	6,437,273

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77410350	CHAPEL HILL REC - WELSH REC 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	Welsh REC - Wilkes 138KV Ckt	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
774103	50 ALUMAX TAP - BANN 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2008		
	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - OKAY 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - PATTERSON 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	BEELINE - EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MANDEVILTP4 - SE TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MANDEVILTP4 - TURK 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	MCNAB REC - TURK 115KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	SUGAR HILL - TURK 138KV CKT 1	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	R POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	774103	CLEC	C CSWS	38	3 1/1/2015	1/1/2040)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
	•	·							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410353	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR			-		Redispatch	Redispatch	1			Requirements
ETEC	77410357	SPA	CSWS	128	1/1/2015	1/1/2040			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410357	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	Ś -	\$ -	Ś -

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	77410360	SPA	CSWS	1	1/1/2015	1/1/2040			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
		•							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410360	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	Ś -	\$ -	\$.

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

				Requested	Requested Start			•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
ETEC	77410382	CSWS	CSWS	52	1/1/2015	1/1/2040			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410382	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number EXGN 2012-AG3-057

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
EXGN	78865941	ERCOTN	ERCOTN	198	5/1/201	5/1/2019	9/1/201	.4 9/1/2019	\$ -	\$ 22,250,171	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ 22,250,171	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78865941	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

^{*207} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity.

CustomerStudy NumberEXGN2012-AG3-058

								Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
					Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation		POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
EXGN	788	65945	ERCOTN	ERCOTN	198	5/1/2015	5/1/2020			\$ -	\$ 22,250,171	\$ -	\$
										\$ -	\$ 22,250,171	\$ -	\$

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
78865945	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Available capacity will be allocated on a first come first served basis in accordance with Attachment Z1 III.b. of SPP OATT.

^{*207} MW of available ERCOT North to South capacity.

Customer Study Number GSECGS 2012-AG3-032

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	·	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	77401449	SPS	SPS	7	6/1/2013	1/1/2039	9/1/201	4 4/1/2040	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77401449	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	Ś -	\$

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401449	BAILEY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2014	10/1/2016		
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	9/1/2014	3/1/2015		
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	9/1/2014	3/1/2015		
	GRASSLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	9/1/2014	6/1/2015		
	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	9/1/2014	1/1/2015		
	Multi - Pleasant Hill- Potter 230 kV Ckt 1	9/1/2014	12/30/2014		
	NEWHART INTERCHANGE PROJECT	9/1/2014	1/1/2015		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401449	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401449	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

*Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number GSECGS 2012-AG3-033

				Requested	Requested Start			•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	-	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	77401452	SPS	SPS	7	6/1/2013	1/1/2039	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77401452	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	Ś -	\$ -	\$

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401452	BAILEY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2014	10/1/2016		
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	9/1/2014	3/1/2015		
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	9/1/2014	3/1/2015		
	GRASSLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	9/1/2014	6/1/2015		
	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	9/1/2014	1/1/2015		
	Multi - Pleasant Hill- Potter 230 kV Ckt 1	9/1/2014	12/30/2014		
	NEWHART INTERCHANGE PROJECT	9/1/2014	1/1/2015		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401452	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401452	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number GSECGS 2012-AG3-034

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	77401453	SPS	SPS	7	6/1/2013	1/1/2039	9/1/2014	4/1/2040	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		-							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77401453	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	ς -

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401453	BAILEY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2014	10/1/2016		
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	9/1/2014	3/1/2015		
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	9/1/2014	3/1/2015		
	GRASSLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	9/1/2014	6/1/2015		
	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	9/1/2014	1/1/2015		
	Multi - Pleasant Hill- Potter 230 kV Ckt 1	9/1/2014	12/30/2014		
	NEWHART INTERCHANGE PROJECT	9/1/2014	1/1/2015		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401453	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77401453	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number GSECGS 2012-AG3-036

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
GSECGS	77402184	SPS	SPS	203	1/1/2015	1/1/2043	6/1/201	6/1/2043	\$ 8,232,181	\$ -	\$ 8,232,181	\$ 27,814,939
									\$ 8,232,181	\$ -	\$ 8,232,181	\$ 27,814,939

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Alloc	ated E & C		Total Reve	nue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requireme	ents
77402184	CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes	\$	4,298,222	\$ 10,412,000	\$ 14,4	466,695
	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2015	6/1/2016		Yes	\$	184,779	\$ 240,000	\$ 7	729,481
	DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes	\$	2,638,544	\$ 6,391,600	\$ 8,8	880,651
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes	\$	1,110,636	\$ 2,690,400	\$ 3,7	738,111
					Total	Ś	8.232.181	\$ 19,734,000	\$ 27.8	814.939

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77402184	ALLEN SUB - LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		Yes
	CANYON EAST SUB - RANDALL COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes
	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE (WH XHS70711) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	Grassland - Wolfforth 230 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77402184	CANYON EAST SUB - CANYON WEST SUB 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		Yes
	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

CustomerStudy NumberKBPU2012-AG3-029

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	Deferred Stop Date Without	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KBPU	77155691	WR	KACY	2	4/1/2013	8/1/2030	9/1/201	4 1/1/2032	\$ -	\$ 371,904	\$ -	\$
									\$ -	\$ 371,904	\$ -	Ś

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77155691	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77155691	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	9/1/2014	6/1/2015		

Planned Projects

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77155691	EASTOWN7 345.00 (EASTOWN 345) 345/161/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	10/1/2014	10/1/2014		
	GOODYEAR JUNCTION - MCVICAR3 115kV	9/1/2014	9/1/2014	6/1/2014	
	MCVICAR3 - 17TH & FAIRLAWN 115kV	9/1/2014	12/1/2014		

Credits may be required for the following Network Upgrades in accordance with Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	CIRCLE - RICE_CO 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2012	11/15/2012		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	LYONS - RICE_CO 115KV CKT 1	10/1/2012	4/1/2013		
	LYONS - WHEATLAND 115KV CKT 1 #1	10/1/2012	7/15/2013		
	LYONS - WHEATLAND 115KV CKT 1 #2	10/1/2012	7/15/2013		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	RICE_CO 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	10/1/2012	11/15/2012		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number KPP 2012-AG3-024

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KPP	77398979	WR	WR	15	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
									ς -	ς .	ς -	¢

					•	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77398979	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77398979	Cowskin - Westlink 69 kV Ckt 1	9/1/2014	12/1/2015		
	Hoover South - Tyler 69 kV Ckt 1	9/1/2014	9/1/2014	6/1/2014	
	Tyler - Westlink 69 kV Ckt 1	9/1/2014	6/1/2015		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77398979	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	ALEXANDER - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - MEDICINE LODGE 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	DEARING 138KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Table 3 - Additional Details for Each Request Including All Facility Upgrades Required and Allocated Costs for Each Upgrade

Customer Study Number KPP 2012-AG3-025

				Requested	Req	uested Start	Requested Stop	Deferred Start Date Without	Deferred Stop Date Without	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	e	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KPP	77405406	SPA	WR		1	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/201	4 9/1/2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$.
		-			•					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue	
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements	
77405406	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number KPP 2012-AG3-026

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop		•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KPP	77405413	KACY	WR	3	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77405413	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77405413	BUTLER - WEAVER 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2018		
	East Manhattan - Jeffrey Energy Center 230 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77405413	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	ALEXANDER - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number KPP 2012-AG3-027

				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop		•	Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KPP	77405416	WR	WR	9	6/1/2013	6/1/2023	9/1/2014	9/1/2024	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

					•	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77405416	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77405416	BUTLER - WEAVER 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2018		
	East Manhattan - Jeffrey Energy Center 230 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77405416	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	ALEXANDER - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number KPP 2012-AG3-028

								•	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
KPP	77405426	WR	WR	4	6/1/2013	1/1/2015	9/1/2014	4/1/2016	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
			_	_				<u> </u>	\$ -	ς -	\$ -	ς .

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77405426	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$
					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$.

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77405426	BUTLER - WEAVER 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2018		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77405426	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	ALEXANDER - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number MIDW 2012-AG3-013

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			7
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
MIDW	77410531	WR	WR	28	6/1/2015	6/1/2038			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
									\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

					'	Allocated E & C		Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost	Total E & C Cost	Requirements
77410531	None					\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
•					Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77410531	BUTIER - WEAVER 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2018		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77410531	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	CIRCLE - RICE_CO 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2012	11/15/2012		
	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	6/1/2013		
	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2006	6/1/2006		
	LYONS - RICE_CO 115KV CKT 1	10/1/2012	4/1/2013		
	LYONS - WHEATLAND 115KV CKT 1 #1	10/1/2012	7/15/2013		
	LYONS - WHEATLAND 115KV CKT 1 #2	10/1/2012	7/15/2013		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	RICE_CO 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	10/1/2012	11/15/2012		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number SPSM 2012-AG3-009

				Danisatad	D	Danis at all Chair	Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base	Daliat ta Daliat	Allacated 5.0.0	Tatal Bassass
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
SPSM	77341873	WFEC	SPS	36	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	7		\$ 4,409,668	\$ -	\$ 4,409,668	\$ 19,932,149
									\$ 4,409,668	\$ -	\$ 4,409,668	\$ 19,932,149

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Alloca	ated E & C		Tota	l Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requ	irements
77341873	CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	2,324,136	\$ 10,412,000	\$	10,508,221
	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2015	6/1/2016			\$	30,025	\$ 240,000	\$	159,586
	DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	1,426,714	\$ 6,391,600	\$	6,450,666
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	600,543	\$ 2,690,400	\$	2,715,262
	FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			\$	28,250	\$ 225,000	\$	98,414
					Total	Ś	4,409,668	\$ 19,959,000	Ś	19,932,149

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341873	ALLEN SUB - LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		
	CANYON EAST SUB - RANDALL COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		
	Grassland - Wolfforth 230 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341873	CANYON EAST SUB - CANYON WEST SUB 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		
	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341873	BLUE CANYON - PARADISE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2013		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - IODINE 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

^{*}Credits may be required for applicable generation interconnection network upgrades.

Customer Study Number SPSM 2012-AG3-010

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested Start	Requested Stop	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E & C	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR			Date	Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	Cost	Requirements
SPSM	77341881	WFEC	SPS	44	6/1/2017	6/1/2047	7		\$ 7,154,914	\$ -	\$ 7,154,914	\$ 32,334,021
									\$ 7,154,914	\$ -	\$ 7,154,914	\$ 32,334,021

				Earliest Start	Redispatch	Alloc	ated E & C		Tota	l Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available	Cost		Total E & C Cost	Requ	iirements
77341881	CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	3,789,642	\$ 10,412,000	\$	17,134,279
	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2015	6/1/2016			\$	25,196	\$ 240,000	\$	133,919
	DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	2,326,342	\$ 6,391,600	\$	10,518,195
	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017			\$	979,221	\$ 2,690,400	\$	4,427,396
	FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019			\$	34,513	\$ 225,000	\$	120,233
					Total	\$	7,154,914	\$ 19,959,000	\$	32,334,021

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341881	Multi - Kilgore Switch - South Portales - Market St Portales 115 kV	6/1/2018	6/1/2018		

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341881	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	10/1/2014	6/1/2016		
	CANYON EAST SUB - RANDALL COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		
	Grassland - Wolfforth 230 kV Ckt 1	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		
	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341881	CANYON EAST SUB - CANYON WEST SUB 115KV CKT 1	1/1/2015	6/1/2017		
	Mustang to Shell CO2 115 kV	6/1/2019	6/1/2019		

				Earliest Start	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	DUN	EOC	Date	Available
77341881	BLUE CANYON - PARADISE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2013		
	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - IODINE 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		
	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	1/1/2010	1/1/2010		

 Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
AEPW	ADORA REC - ADORA T 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 1.4 miles 69kV miles.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019	\$1,680,000.00
AEPW	ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion	Add 200 MW HVDC Tie	9/1/2014	6/1/2019	\$65,000,000.00
AEPW	OKLAUNION 345KV SVC	Add +100/-50 SVC on 345 kV bus at Oklaunion.	9/1/2014	6/1/2019	\$18,500,000.00
SPS	CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 13.7 mile 115 kV line.	1/1/2015	6/1/2017	\$10,412,000.00
SPS	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	Replace Terminal Equipment	10/1/2015	6/1/2016	\$240,000.00
SPS	DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.41 mile 115 kV line.	1/1/2015	6/1/2017	\$6,391,600.00
SPS	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 3.54 mile 115 kV line.	1/1/2015	6/1/2017	\$2,690,400.00
WFEC	FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	Replace Terminal Equipment	6/1/2019	6/1/2019	\$225,000.00

Construction Pending Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
SPS	CANYON EAST SUB - CANYON WEST SUB 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 3.73 mile 115 kV line.	1/1/2015	6/1/2017
SPS		Build 6.3 mile 115 kV line from Mustang to Shell CO2 Build a new 6.9 mile 115kV line between the Mustang and Shell CO2 substations.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
SPS	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019

Planned Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
KACP	EASTOWN7 345.00 (EASTOWN 345) 345/161/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer	10/1/2014	10/1/2014
		Build 3.25 miles of 115kV from Goodyear to MacVicar. 223 MVA Rate A.		
WERE	GOODYEAR JUNCTION - MCVICAR3 115kV	245 MVA Rate B.	9/1/2014	9/1/2014
		Build 3.6 miles of 115kV from MacVicar to 17th & Fairlawn. 223 MVA Rate		
WERE	MCVICAR3 - 17TH & FAIRLAWN 115kV	A. 245 MVA Rate B.	9/1/2014	12/1/2014

Expansion Plan Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
		Tap Nashua 345kV bus in Hawthorn - St. Joseph 345 kV line. Build new 345		
KACP	IATAN - NASHUA 345KV CKT 1	kV line from latan to Nashua,Add Nashua 345/161 kV	9/1/2014	6/1/2015
SPS	BAILEY COUNTY INTERCHANGE - CURRY COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	40 miles 115 kV between Bailey and Curry.	9/1/2014	10/1/2016
		Upgrade Deaf Smith County Interchange 230/115 kV Ckt 1 transformer to		
SPS	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	250 MVA.	9/1/2014	3/1/2015
		Upgrade Deaf Smith County Interchange 230/115 kV Ckt 2 transformer to		
SPS	DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	250 MVA.	9/1/2014	3/1/2015
SPS	GRASSLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade Grassland 230/115 kV transformer Ckt 1 to 250 MVA.	9/1/2014	6/1/2015
SPS	LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Install a second 230/115/13.2 kV transformer at Lubbock South.	9/1/2014	1/1/2015
		Build 115 kV line from Zodiac-S Portales-Market-Portales and install		
SPS	Multi - Kilgore Switch - South Portales - Market St Portales 115 kV	necessary terminal equipment.	6/1/2018	6/1/2018
		Build new 16 mile Pleasant Hill - Oasis 230 kV line., Build new 26 mile		
		Pleasant Hill - Roosevelt County 230 kV line., and Add 230/115 kV		
SPS	Multi - Pleasant Hill- Potter 230 kV Ckt 1	transformer 250/250 MVA CKT 1	9/1/2014	12/30/2014
		Tap the Potter Interchange - Plant X Station 230 kV line for new Newhart		
		Substation and install 230/115 kV, 150/173 MVA transformer. New 15 mile		
SPS	NEWHART INTERCHANGE PROJECT	Lampton Interchange - Hart Industrial Substation 115 kV line. New 19 mile Swisher County Interchange - Newhart	9/1/2014	1/1/2015

Reliability Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	CHAPEL HILL REC - WELSH REC 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 4.39 miles with 1533.3 ACSR/TW, replace switches.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
AEPW	Welsh REC - Wilkes 138KV Ckt	Rebuild 23.74 miles with 1533.3 ACSR/TW. Upgrade switches at both ends and wave traps, jumpers, CT ratios, and relay settings at Wilkes.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
SPS	ALLEN SUB - LUBBOCK SOUTH INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 6 miles of 115 kV line from Lubbock South Interchange to Allen Substation.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
		Upgrade 800A wave trap at both Bushland Interchange and Deaf Smith Interchange to at least 428 MVA Winter Rate B. Deaf Smith - Replace		
SPS	BUSHLAND INTERCHANGE - DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	existing wave trap so that the limiting factor of K-11 terminal at Deaf Smith will be no less than 1200 A.	10/1/2014	6/1/2016
SPS	CANYON EAST SUB - RANDALL COUNTY INTERCHANGE 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 18 miles to at least 107 MVA Summer Rate B and 127 MVA Winter Rate B.	1/1/2015	6/1/2017
SPS	CARLISLE INTERCHANGE (WH XHS70711) 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
SPS	Grassland - Wolfforth 230 kV Ckt 1	Build new 230 kV line from Wolfforth to Grassland, and install terminal equipment at Grassland and Wolfforth substations.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
SPS	HITCHLAND INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Build a second 230/115/13.2 kV transformer at Hitchland.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019

 Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

SPS	YOAKUM COUNTY INTERCHANGE () 230/115/13.2KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Upgrade transformer to 250 MVA.	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
WERE	BUTLER - WEAVER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 16.31 miles	6/1/2015	6/1/2018
WERE	Cowskin - Westlink 69 kV Ckt 1	Rebuild 2.1-mile 69 kV line from Cowskin to Westlink.	9/1/2014	12/1/2015
		Rebuild 27-mile 230 kV line from East Manhattan to Jeffrey Energy Center to 345 kV construction but operate as 230 kV using bundled 1590 ACSR conductor. Upgrade terminal equipment at East Manhattan and Jeffrey		
WERE	East Manhattan - Jeffrey Energy Center 230 kV Ckt 1	Energy Center to a minimum emergency rating o	6/1/2019	6/1/2019
WERE	Hoover South - Tyler 69 kV Ckt 1	Rebuild 1.96-mile 69 kV line from Tyler to Hoover.	9/1/2014	9/1/2014
		Rebuild 2.65-mile 69 kV line from Westlink to Tyler. Install terminal		
WERE	Tyler - Westlink 69 kV Ckt 1	equipment at Tyler.	9/1/2014	6/1/2015

 Table 4 - Upgrade Requirements and Solutions Needed to Provide Transmission Service for the Aggregate Study

Network Upgrades requiring credits per Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT.

Transmission Owner	quiring credits per Attachment Z2 of the SPP OATT. Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	ALUMAX TAP - BANN 138KV CKT 1	Replace six (6) 138 kV switches, five at Bann & one at Alumax Tap. Rebuild 0.67 miles of 1024 ACAR with 2156 ACSR. Replace wavetrap & jumpers @ Bann. Replace breaker 3300 @ Bann.	6/1/2008	6/1/2008
AEPW	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - OKAY 138KV CKT 1	Recunductor and convert line to 138 kV and replace switches at Ashdown REC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	ASHDOWN REC (MILLWOOD) - PATTERSON 138KV CKT 1	Reconductor Line & Convert Line to 138 kV and convert Patterson station to breaker-and-a half cofiguration	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	BANN - RED SPRINGS REC 138KV CKT 1	Replace 138 kV breakers 3300 & 3310 Reconductor 1.82 miles with ACCC. Replace wave trap jumpers at	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	Riverside.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
AEPW	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	Vallient 345 KV line terminal	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Build new Turk-SE Texarkana 138 kV line and add SE Texarkana 138 kV		
AEPW	MANDEVILTP4 - SE TEXARKANA 138KV CKT 1	terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Build new Turk-SE Texarkana 138 kV line and add SE Texarkana 138 kV		
AEPW	MANDEVILTP4 - TURK 138KV CKT 1	terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
		Build a new two mile, 138 kV, 1590 ACSR line section (operated at 115 kV)		
		from Turk Substation to the existing Okay- Hope 115 kV line to form a Turk		
AEPW	MCNAB REC - TURK 115KV CKT 1	- Hope 115 kV line.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
AEPW	SUGAR HILL - TURK 138KV CKT 1	Build new Turk-Sugar Hill 138 kV line and add Sugar Hill 138 kV terminal.	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
КАСР	LACYGNE - WEST GARDNER 345KV CKT 1	KCPL Sponsored Project to Reconductor Line to be In-Service by 6/1/2006	6/1/2006	6/1/2006
MIDW	CIRCLE - RICE_CO 230KV CKT 1	Convert from 115kV to 230kV operation	10/1/2012	11/15/2012
MIDW	LYONS - RICE_CO 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 11.7 mile line	10/1/2012	4/1/2013
MIDW	RICE_CO 230/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Add 230/115kV Transformer	10/1/2012	11/15/2012
MKEC	ALEXANDER - PRATT 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	ALEXANDER - SAWYER 3 115.00 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	BARBER - MEDICINE LODGE 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	BARBER - SAWYER 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	BARBER (BARBER 4) 138/115/2.72KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Upgrade transformer	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	FLATRDG3 138.00 - MEDICINE LODGE 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.05 mile line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
MKEC	FLATRDG3 138.00 - HARPER 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 24.15 mile line	12/1/2009	6/1/2013
OKGE	BEELINE - EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP 138KV CKT 1	Reconductor .92miles of line with Drake ACCC/TW.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
OKGE	EXPLORER GLENPOOL TAP - RIVERSIDE STATION 138KV CKT 1 OKGE	Reconductor 1.82 miles line with Drake ACCC/TW.	6/1/2009	6/1/2009
OKGE	NORTHWEST - TATONGA 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	TATONGA - WOODWARD 345KV CKT 1	Build 345 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - IODINE 138KV CKT 1	Tap Iodine to Woodward 138 kV line	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 1	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD - WOODWARD EHV 138KV CKT 2	Build .5 miles of 138 kV and install terminal equipment	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
OKGE	WOODWARD 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Install 345/138 kV XF	1/1/2010	1/1/2010
WERE	DEARING 138KV Capacitor	Dearing 138 kV 20 MVAR Capacitor Addition	6/1/2012	6/1/2012
WERE	LYONS - WHEATLAND 115KV CKT 1 #1	Replace CTs	10/1/2012	7/15/2013
WERE	LYONS - WHEATLAND 115KV CKT 1 #2	Rerate circuit to 1000 amps	10/1/2012	7/15/2013
WFEC	BLUE CANYON - PARADISE 138KV CKT 1	Upgrade Paradise to Blue Canyon to 1113	6/1/2010	6/1/2013
WFEC	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345KV CKT 1 WFEC	New 19 miles 345 KV	7/1/2012	7/1/2012
WFEC	HUGO POWER PLANT 345/138KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	New 345/138 kv Auto	7/1/2012	7/1/2012

Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

Transmission Owner	UpgradeName	Solution	Earliest Date Upgrade Required (DUN)	Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
	None				

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

				Allocation	Allocated E & C
Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Percentage	Cost
ADORA REC - ADORA T 69KV CKT 1	ETEC	2012-AG3-042	77410350	100.00%	\$1,680,000
	-	-	-	Total:	\$1,680,000

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

				Allocation	Allocated E & C
Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Percentage	Cost
CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-009	77341873	22.32%	\$2,324,136
CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	36.40%	\$3,789,642
CANYON WEST SUB - DAWN SUB 115KV CKT 1	GSECGS	2012-AG3-036	77402184	41.28%	\$4,298,222
				Total:	\$10,412,000

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Posorvation	Allocation	Allocated E & C
CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1		2012-AG3-009	77341873		
CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	10.50%	\$25,196
CARLISLE INTERCHANGE - TUCO INTERCHANGE 230KV CKT 1	GSECGS	2012-AG3-036	77402184	76.99%	\$184,779
				Total:	\$240,000

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

				Allocation	Allocated E & C
Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation		Cost
DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-009	77341873		\$1,426,714
DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	36.40%	\$2,326,342
DAWN SUB - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	GSECGS	2012-AG3-036	77402184	41.28%	\$2,638,544
				Total:	\$6,391,600

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

				Allocation	Allocated E & C
Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Percentage	Cost
DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-009	77341873	22.32%	\$600,543
DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	36.40%	\$979,221
DEAF SMITH COUNTY INTERCHANGE - Panda Energy Substation Hereford 115KV CKT 1	GSECGS	2012-AG3-036	77402184	41.28%	\$1,110,636
				Total:	\$2,690,400

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Allocation Percentage	Allocated E & C Cost
ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion	CRGL	2012-AG3-047	77029025	50.00%	\$32,500,000
ERCOT North HVDC Tie Expansion	CRGL	2012-AG3-048	77029027	50.00%	\$32,500,000
				Total:	\$65,000,000

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

				Allocation	Allocated E & C
Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Percentage	Cost
FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-009	77341873	12.56%	\$28,250
FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	SPSM	2012-AG3-010	77341881	15.34%	\$34,513
FLETCHER - MARLOW JCT 69KV CKT 1	CRGL	2012-AG3-047	77029025	72.11%	\$162,237
		_		Total:	\$225,000

Table 7 - Cost Allocation Per Service Upgrade

Upgrade Name	Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Allocation Percentage	Allocated E & C Cost
OKLAUNION 345KV SVC	CRGL	2012-AG3-047	77029025	50.00%	\$9,250,000
OKLAUNION 345KV SVC	CRGL	2012-AG3-048	77029027	50.00%	\$9,250,000
				Total:	\$18,500,000