

# Aggregate Facility Study SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10 For Transmission Service Requested by Aggregate Transmission Customers

SPP Engineering, SPP Tariff Studies

SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10)

July 14, 2008 Page 1 of 45

## Table of Contents

1.	Executive Summary	3
2.	Introduction	4
A.		
B.		
3.	Study Methodology	10
A.	Description	10
B.		
C.	Transmission Request Modeling	12
D.		13
E.	Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation	
4.	Study Results	14
A.	Study Analysis Results	14
B.		17
5.	Conclusion	18
6.	Appendix A	19

SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10)

July 14, 2008 Page 2 of 45

### 1. <u>Executive Summary</u>

Pursuant to Attachment Z of the Southwest Power Pool Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT), 1,359 MW of long-term transmission service requests have been restudied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS). The first phase of the AFS consisted of a revision of the impact study to reflect the withdrawal of requests for which an Aggregate Facility Study Agreement was not executed. The principal objective of the AFS is to identify system problems and potential modifications necessary to facilitate these transfers while maintaining or improving system reliability as well as summarizing the operating limits and determination of the financial characteristics associated with facility upgrades. Facility upgrade costs are allocated on a prorated basis to all requests positively impacting any individual overloaded facility. Further, Attachment Z provides for facility upgrade cost recovery by stating that "[a]ny charges paid by a customer in excess of the transmission access charges in compensation for the revenue requirements for allocated facility upgrade(s) shall be recovered by such customer from future transmission service revenues until the customer has been fully compensated."

The total assigned facility upgrade Engineering and Construction (E &C) cost determined by the AFS is \$77 Million. Additionally an indeterminate amount of assigned E & C cost for 3<sup>rd</sup> party facility upgrades are assignable to the customer. The total upgrade levelized revenue requirement for all transmission requests is \$210 Million. This is based on full allocation of levelized revenue requirements for upgrades to customers without consideration of base plan funding. AFS data table 3 reflects the allocation of upgrade costs to each request without potential base plan funding based on either the requested reservation period or the deferred reservation period if applicable. Total upgrade levelized revenue requirements for all transmission requests after consideration of potential base plan funding is \$57 Million.

Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined they are constrained in order to accommodate the requested Transmission Service. These include both first-tier neighboring

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 3 of 45

facilities outside SPP and Transmission Owner facilities within SPP that are not under the SPP OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total engineering and construction cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are indeterminate.

The Transmission Provider will tender a Letter of Intent on July 14th, 2008. This will open a 15day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer (Customer) by July 29th, 2008, an executed Letter of Intent. The Letter of Intent will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

At the conclusion of the ATSS, Service Agreements for each request for service will be tendered identifying the terms and conditions of the confirmed service.

If customers withdraw from the ATSS after posting of this AFS, the AFS will be re-performed to determine final cost allocation and Available Transmission Capability (ATC) in consideration of the remaining ATSS participants. All allocated revenue requirements for facility upgrades are assigned to the customer in the AFS data tables. Potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon validation of designated resources meeting Attachment J, Section III B criteria.

### 2. Introduction

On January 21, 2005, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission accepted Southwest Power Pool's proposed aggregate transmission study procedures in Docket ER05-109 to become effective February 1, 2005. In compliance with this Order, the first open season of 2007 commenced on October 1, 2006. All requests for long-term transmission service received prior to February 1, 2007 with a signed study agreement were then included in this first Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS) of 2007.

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 4 of 45

Approximately 1,359 MW of long-term transmission service has been restudied in this Aggregate Facility Study (AFS) with over \$76 Million in transmission upgrades being proposed. The results of the AFS are detailed in Tables 1 through 7. A highly tangible benefit of studying transmission requests aggregately under the SPP OATT Attachment Z is the sharing of costs among customers using the same facility. The detailed results show individual upgrade costs by study as well as potential base plan allowances as determined by Attachments J and Z. The following URL can be used to access the SPP OATT:

(http://www.spp.org/Publications/SPP\_Tariff.pdf). In order to understand the extent to which base plan upgrades may be applied to both point-to-point and network transmission services, it is necessary to highlight the definition of Designated Resource. Per Section 1.9a of the SPP OATT, a Designated Resource is "[a]ny designated generation resource owned, purchased or leased by a Transmission Customer to serve load in the SPP Region. Designated Resources do not include any resource, or any portion thereof, that is committed for sale to third parties or otherwise cannot be called upon to meet the Transmission Customer's load on a non-interruptible basis." Therefore, not only network service, but also point-to-point service has potential for base plan funding if the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as base plan upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J are met.

Pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B of the SPP OATT, the Transmission Customer must provide SPP information necessary to verify that the new or changed Designated Resource meets the following conditions:

- Transmission Customer's commitment to the requested new or changed Designated Resource must have a duration of at least five years.
- During the first year the Designated Resource is planned to be used by the Transmission Customer, the accredited capacity of the Transmission Customer's existing Designated Resources plus the lesser of (a) the planned maximum net

dependable capacity applicable to the Transmission Customer or (b) the requested capacity; shall not exceed 125% of the Transmission Customer's projected system peak responsibility determined pursuant to SPP Criteria 2.

According to Attachment Z Section VI.A, Point-to-Point customers pay the higher of the monthly transmission access charge (base rate) or the monthly revenue requirement associated with the assigned facility upgrades including any prepayments for redispatch required during construction.

Network Integration Service customers pay the total monthly transmission access charges and the monthly revenue requirement associated with the facility upgrades including any prepayments for redispatch during construction.

Transmission Customers paying for a directly assigned network upgrade shall receive credits for new transmission service using the facility as specified in Attachment Z Section VII.

Facilities identified as limiting the requested Transmission Service have been reviewed to determine the required in-service date of each Network Upgrade. The year that each Network Upgrade is required to accommodate a request is determined by interpolating between the applicable model years given the respective loading data. Both previously assigned facilities and the facilities assigned to this request for Transmission Service were evaluated.

In some instances due to lead times for engineering and construction, Network Upgrades may not be available when required to accommodate a request for Transmission Service. When this occurs, the ATC with available Network Upgrades will be less than the capacity requested during either a portion of or all of the requested reservation period. As a result, the lowest seasonal allocated ATC within the requested reservation period will be offered to the Transmission Customer on an applicable annual basis as listed in Table 1. The ATC may be

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 6 of 45

limited by transmission owner planned projects, expansion plan projects, or customer assigned upgrades.

Some constraints identified in the AFS were not assigned to the Customer as the Transmission Provider determined that upgrades are not required due to various reasons or the Transmission Owner has construction plans pending for these upgrades. These facilities are listed by reservation in Table 3. This table also includes constrained facilities in the current planning horizon that limit the rollover rights of the Transmission Customer. Table 6 lists possible redispatch pairs to allow start of service prior to completion of assigned network upgrades. Table 7 (if applicable) lists deferment of expansion plan projects with different upgrades with the new required in service date as a result of this AFS.

## A. Financial Analysis

The AFS utilizes the allocated customer E & C cost in a present worth analysis to determine the monthly levelized revenue requirement of each facility upgrade over the term of the reservation. In some cases, network upgrades cannot be completed within the requested reservation period, thus deferred reservation periods will be utilized in the present worth analysis. If the Customer chose Option 2, Redispatch, in the Letter of Intent sent coincident with the initial AFS, the present worth analysis of revenue requirements will be based on the deferred term with redispatch in the subsequent AFS. The upgrade levelized revenue requirement includes interest, depreciation, and carrying costs.

Each request for Transmission Service is evaluated independently as the cost associated with each Network Upgrade is assigned to a request. When facilities are upgraded throughout the reservation period, the Transmission Customer shall 1) pay the total E & C costs and other annual operating costs associated with the new facilities, and 2) receive credits associated with the depreciated book value of removed usable facilities, salvage value of removed non-usable

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 7 of 45

facilities, and the carrying charges, excluding depreciation, associated with all removed usable facilities based on their respective book values.

In the event that the engineering and construction of a previously assigned Network Upgrade may be expedited, with no additional upgrades, to accommodate a new request for Transmission Service, then the levelized present worth of only the incremental expenses though the reservation period of the new request, excluding depreciation, shall be assigned to the new request. These incremental expenses, excluding depreciation, include 1) the levelized difference in present worth of the engineering and construction expenses given the change in date to complete construction to account for additional interest expense and reduced engineering and construction expense due to inflation, 2) the levelized present worth of all expediting fees, and 3) the levelized present worth of the incremental annual carrying charges, excluding depreciation and interest, during the new reservation period taking into account both a) the reservation in which the project was originally assigned, and b) a reservation, if any, in which the project was previously expedited.

Achievable Base Plan Avoided Revenue Requirements in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.B methodology. A deferred Base Plan upgrade being defined as a different requested network upgrade needed at an earlier date that negates the need for the initial base plan upgrade within the planning horizon. A displaced Base Plan upgrade being defined as the same network upgrade being displaced by a requested upgrade needed at an earlier date. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects unless provided otherwise by the Transmission Owner. A present worth analysis of revenue requirements on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan revenue requirements due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan and upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The difference in present worth between the Base Plan and

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 8 of 45

Requested Upgrades is assigned to the transmission requests impacting this upgrade based on the displacement or deferral.

### **B.** Third Party Facilities

For third-party facilities listed in Table 3 and Table 5, the Transmission Customer is responsible for funding the necessary upgrades of these facilities per Section 21.1 of the Transmission Provider's OATT. In this AFS, third-party facilities were identified. Total engineering and construction cost estimates for required third-party facility upgrades are indeterminate. The Transmission Provider will undertake reasonable efforts to assist the Transmission Customer in making arrangements for necessary engineering, permitting, and construction of the third-party facilities. Third-party facility upgrade engineering and construction cost estimates are not utilized to determine the present worth value of levelized revenue requirements for SPP system network upgrades.

All modeled facilities within the Transmission Provider system were monitored during the development of this Study as well as certain facilities in first-tier neighboring systems. Third-party facilities must be upgraded when it is determined that they are overloaded while accommodating the requested Transmission Service. An agreement between the Customer and 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Owner detailing the mitigation of the 3<sup>rd</sup> party impact must be provided to the Transmission Provider prior to tendering of a Transmission Service Agreement. These facilities also include those owned by members of the Transmission Provider who have not placed their facilities under the Transmission Provider's OATT. Upgrades on the Southwest Power Administration network requires prepayment of the upgrade cost prior to construction of the upgrade.

Third-party facilities are evaluated for only those requests whose load sinks within the SPP footprint. The Customer must arrange for study of 3<sup>rd</sup> party facilities for load that sinks outside the SPP footprint with the applicable Transmission Providers.

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 9 of 45

## 3. <u>Study Methodology</u>

## A. <u>Description</u>

The system impact analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the requested service on the SPP and first tier Non - SPP control area systems. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Reliability Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool conforms to the NERC Reliability Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency. Normal operating ratings and emergency operating ratings monitored are Rate A and B in the SPP MDWG models, respectively. The upper bound and lower bound of the normal voltage range monitored is 110% and 90%. Transmission Owner voltage monitoring criteria is used if more restrictive. The SPS Tuco 230 kV bus voltage is monitored at 92.5% due to pre-determined system stability limitations. The WERE Wolf Creek 345 kV bus voltage is monitored at 98.5% due to transmission operating procedure.

The contingency set includes all SPP control area branches and ties 69kV and above, first tier Non - SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above, any defined contingencies for these control areas, and generation unit outages for the control areas with SPP reserve share program redispatch. The monitor elements include all SPP control area branches, ties, and buses 69 kV and above, and all first tier Non – SPP control area branches and ties 115 kV and above. Voltage monitoring was performed for SPP control area buses 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier Non – SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN, and ENTR and a 2 % TDF cutoff was applied to MEC, NPPD, and OPPD. For voltage monitoring, a

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 10 of 45

0.02 per unit change in voltage must occur due to the transfer or modeling upgrades to be considered a valid limit to the transfer.

### B. Model Development

SPP used eleven seasonal models to study the aggregate transfers of 1359 MW over a variety of requested service periods. The SPP MDWG 2007 Series Cases Update 2 2007/08 2008 April (08AP), 2008 Spring Peak (08G), 2008 Summer Peak (08SP), 2008 Summer Shoulder (08SH), 2008 Fall Peak (08FA), 2008/09 Winter Peak (08WP), 2009 Summer Peak (09SP), 2009/10 Winter Peak (09WP), 2012 Summer Peak (12SP), 2012/13 Winter Peak (12WP), and 2017 Summer Peak (17SP) were used to study the impact of the requested service on the transmission system. The Spring Peak models apply to April and May, the Summer Peak models apply to June through September, the Fall Peak models apply to October and November, and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. Five groups of requests were developed from the aggregate of 1359 MW in order to minimize counter flows among requested service. Each request was included in at least two of the four groups depending on the requested path. All requests were included in group five. From the twelve seasonal models, five system scenarios were developed. Scenario 1 includes SWPP OASIS transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in a West to East direction with ERCOTN HVDC Tie South to North, ERCOTE HVDC Tie East to West, SPS exporting, and SPS importing from the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 2 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in an East to West direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie North to South, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS importing, and SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 3 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in an East to west direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie North to South, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS importing, and SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 3 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in a South to North direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie South to North, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 11 of 45

SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 4 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases flowing in a North to South direction with ERCOTN HVDC tie North to South, ERCOTE HVDC tie East to West, SPS importing, and SPS importing from the Lamar HVDC tie. Scenario 5 include all transmission not already included in the SPP 2007 Series Cases with ERCOTN North to South, ERCOTE East to West, SPS importing and SPS exporting to the Lamar HVDC tie. The system scenarios were developed to minimize counter flows from previously confirmed, higher priority requests not included in the MDWG Base Case.

### C. Transmission Request Modeling

Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation transfers. The Generation to Load modeling is accomplished by developing a pre-transfer case by redispatching the existing designated network resource(s) down by the new designated network resource request amount and scaling down the applicable network load by the same amount proportionally. The post-transfer case for comparison is developed by scaling the network load back to the forecasted amount and dispatching the new designated network resource being requested. Network Integration Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Load transfers in addition to Generation to Generation because the requested Network Integration Transmission Service is a request to serve network load with the new designated network resource and the impacts on transmission system are determined accordingly. If the Network Integration Transmission Service request application clearly documents that the existing designated network resource(s) is being replaced or undesignated by the new designated network resource then MW impact credits will be given to the request as is done for a redirect of existing transmission service. Point-To-Point Transmission Service requests are modeled as Generation to Generation transfers. Generation to Generation transfers are accomplished by developing a post-transfer case for comparison by dispatching the request source and redispatching the request sink.

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 12 of 45

### D. Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfers modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. Transfer distribution factor cutoffs (SPP and 1<sup>st</sup>-Tier) and voltage threshold (0.02 change) were applied to determine the impacted facilities. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

### E. Curtailment and Redispatch Evaluation

During any period when SPP determines that a transmission constraint exists on the Transmission System, and such constraint may impair the reliability of the Transmission System, SPP will take whatever actions that are reasonably necessary to maintain the reliability of the Transmission System. To the extent SPP determines that the reliability of the Transmission System can be maintained by redispatching resources, SPP will evaluate interim curtailment of existing confirmed service or interim redispatch of units to provide service prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades. Any redispatch may not unduly discriminate between the Transmission Owners' use of the Transmission System on behalf of their Native Load Customers and any Transmission Customer's use of the Transmission System to serve its designated load. Redispatch was evaluated to provide only interim service during the time frame prior to completion of any assigned network upgrades. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is evaluated to provide only interim service. Curtailment of existing confirmed service is only evaluated at the request of the transmission customer.

SPP determined potential relief pairs to relieve the incremental MW impact on limiting facilities as identified in Table 6. Using the selected cases where the limiting facilities were identified, potential incremental and decremental units were identified by determining the generation amount available for increasing and decreasing from the units generation amount, maximum generation amount, and minimum generation amount. If the incremental or decremental amount

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 13 of 45

was greater than 1 MW, the unit was considered as a potential incremental or decremental unit. Generation shift factors were calculated for the potential incremental and decremental units using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST). Relief pairs from the generation shift factors for the incremental and decremental units with a greater than 3% TDF on the limiting constraint were determined from the incremental units with the lowest generation shift factors and decremental units with highest generation shift factors. If the aggregate redispatch amount for the potential relief pair was determined to be three times greater than the lower of the increment or decrement then the pair was determined not to be feasible and is not included. If transmission customer would like to see additional relief pairs beyond the relief pairs. The potential relief pairs **were not** evaluated to determine impacts on limiting facilities in the SPP and 1st-Tier systems. The redispatch requirements would be called upon prior to implementing NERC TLR Level 5a.

## 4. <u>Study Results</u>

### A. Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 through 6 contain the steady-state analysis results of the AFS. Table 1 identifies the participating long-term transmission service requests included in the AFS. This table lists deferred start and stop dates both with and without redispatch (based on customer selection of redispatch if available), the minimum annual allocated ATC without upgrades and season of first impact. Table 2 identifies total E & C cost allocated to each Transmission Customer, letter of credit requirements, third party E & C cost assignments, potential base plan E & C funding (lower of allocated E & C or Attachment J Section III B criteria), total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades without consideration of potential base plan funding, point-to-point base rate charge, total revenue requirements for assigned upgrades with consideration of potential base plan funding, and final total cost allocation to the Transmission Customer. Table 3 provides additional details for each request including all assigned facility upgrades required, allocated E & &

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 14 of 45

C costs, allocated revenue requirements for upgrades, upgrades not assigned to customer but required for service to be confirmed, credits to be paid for previously assigned AFS facility upgrades, and any third party upgrades required. Table 4 lists all upgrade requirements with associated solutions needed to provide transmission service for the AFS, Minimum ATC per upgrade with season of impact, Earliest Date Upgrade is required (COD), Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC), and Estimated E & C cost. Table 5 lists identified Third-Party constrained facilities. Table 6 identifies potential redispatch pairs available to relieve the aggregate impacts on identified constraints to prevent deferral of start of service. Table 7 (if applicable) identifies deferred expansion plan projects that were replaced with requested upgrades at earlier dates.

The potential base plan funding allowable is contingent upon meeting each of the conditions for classifying upgrades associated with designated resources as base plan upgrades as defined in Section III.B of Attachment J. If the additional capacity of the new or changed designated resource exceeds the 125% resource to load forecast for the year of start of service, the requested resource is not eligible for base plan funding of required network upgrades and the full cost of the upgrades is assignable to the customer. If the 5 year term and 125% resource to load criteria are met, the lesser of the planned maximum net dependable capacity (NDC) or the requested capacity is multiplied by \$180,000 to determine the potential base plan funding allowable. When calculating Base Plan Funding amounts that include a wind farm, the amount used is 10% of the requested amount of service, or the NDC. The Maximum Potential Base Plan Funding Allowable may be less than the potential base plan funding allowable due to the E & C Cost allocated to the customer being lower than the potential amount allowable to the customer. The customer is responsible for any assigned upgrade costs in excess of Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable.

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 15 of 45

Regarding application of base plan funding for PTP requests, if PTP base rate exceeds upgrade revenue requirements without taking into effect the reduction of revenue requirements by potential base plan funding, then the base rate revenue pays back the Transmission Owner for upgrades and no base plan funding is applicable as the access charge must be paid as it is the higher of "OR" pricing.

However, if initially the upgrade revenue requirements exceed the PTP base rate, then potential base plan funding would be applicable. The test of the higher of "OR" pricing would then be made against the remaining assignable revenue requirements versus PTP base rate. Examples are as follows:

## Example A:

E & C allocated for upgrades is 74 million with revenue requirements of 140 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 47 million with the difference of 27 million E & C assignable to the customer. If the revenue requirements for the assignable portion is 54 million and the PTP base rate is 101 million, the customer will pay the higher "OR" pricing of 101 million base rate of which 54 million revenue requirements will be paid back to the Transmission Owners for the upgrades and the remaining revenue requirements of (140-54) or 86 million will be paid by base plan funding.

### Example B:

E & C allocated for upgrades is 74 million with revenue requirements of 140 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 10 million with the difference of 64 million E & C assignable to the customer. If the revenue requirements for this assignable portion is 128 million and the PTP base rate is 101 million the customer will pay the higher "OR"

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 16 of 45

pricing of 128 million revenue requirements to be paid back to the Transmission Owners and the remaining revenue requirements of (140-128) or 12 million will be paid by base plan funding.

## Example C:

E & C allocated for upgrades is 25 million with revenue requirements of 50 million and PTP base rate of 101 million. Potential base plan funding is 10 million. Base plan funding is not applicable as the higher "OR" pricing of PTP base rate of 101 million must be paid and the 50 million revenue requirements will be paid from this.

The 125% resource to load determination is performed on a per request basis and is not based on a total of designated resource requests per Customer. A footnote will provide the maximum resource designation allowable for base plan funding consideration per Customer basis per year.

Base plan funding verification requires that each Transmission Customer with potential for base plan funding provide SPP attestation statements verifying that the firm capacity of the requested designated resource is committed for a minimum five year duration.

### B. Study Definitions

The Commercial Operation Date (COD) is the earliest date the upgrade is required to alleviate a constraint considering all requests. End of Construction (EOC) is the estimated date the upgrade will be completed and in service. The Total Engineering and Construction Cost (E & C) is the upgrade solution cost as determined by the transmission owner. The Transmission Customer Allocation Cost is the estimated engineering and construction cost based upon the allocation of costs to all Transmission Customers in the AFS who positively impact facilities by at least 3% subsequently overloaded by the AFS. Minimum ATC is the portion of the requested capacity that can be accommodated with out upgrading facilities. Annual ATC allocated to the Transmission

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 17 of 45

Customer is determined by the least amount of allocated seasonal ATC within each year of a reservation period.

## 5. Conclusion

The results of the AFS show that limiting constraints exist in many areas of the regional transmission system. Due to these constraints, transmission service cannot be granted unless noted in Table 3.

The Transmission Provider will tender a Letter of Intent on July 14th, 2008. This will open a 15day window for Customer response. To remain in the Aggregate Transmission Service Study (ATSS), the Transmission Provider must receive from the Transmission Customer (Customer) by July 29th, 2008, an executed Letter of Intent. The Letter of Intent will list options the Customer must choose to clarify their commitment to remain in the ATSS. The only action required on OASIS is to WITHDRAW the request or leave the request in STUDY mode.

The Transmission Provider must receive an unconditional and irrevocable letter of credit in the amount of the total allocated Engineering and Construction costs assigned to the Customer. This letter of credit is not required for those facilities that are base plan funded. This amount is for all assignable Network Upgrades less pre-payment requirements. The amount of the letter of credit will be adjusted down on an annual basis to reflect amortization of these costs. The Transmission Provider will issue letters of authorization to construct facility upgrades to the constructing Transmission Owner. This date is determined by the engineering and construction lead time provided for each facility upgrade.

## SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 18 of 45

## 6. Appendix A

## PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

## BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS) Tap adjustment – Stepping Area interchange control – Tie lines and loads Var limits – Apply immediately Solution options - <u>X</u> Phase shift adjustment \_ Flat start \_ Lock DC taps \_ Lock switched shunts

## ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC) MW mismatch tolerance -0.5Contingency case rating – Rate B Percent of rating – 100 Output code – Summary Min flow change in overload report – 3mw Excld cases w/ no overloads form report - YES Exclude interfaces from report - NO Perform voltage limit check - YES Elements in available capacity table - 60000 Cutoff threshold for available capacity table – 99999.0 Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report -0.02Sorted output - None Newton Solution: Tap adjustment – Stepping Area interchange control – Tie lines and loads Var limits - Apply automatically Solution options - X Phase shift adjustment

- \_ Flat start
- \_ Lock DC taps
- \_Lock switched shunts

SPP AGGREGATE FACILITY STUDY (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10)

July 14, 2008

Page 19 of 45

								Defermed	Defermed			Mimimum	Season of
								Deferred	Deferred			Allocated	Minimum
								Start Date	Stop Date		Stop Date	ATC (MW)	Allocated
					L			without	without	with		within	ATC within
					Requested	•	Requested	interim	interim			reservation	reservation
	Study Number	Reservation		POD			Stop Date				redispatch		period
	AG1-2007-051	1222640		EDE	100								09SP
	AG1-2007-045	1221966	OPPD	INDN	6	6/1/2009	6/1/2034	6/1/2011	6/1/2036	6/1/2011	6/1/2036		09SP
KBPU .	AG1-2007-043D	1221923	SPA	KACY	39	7/1/2010	7/1/2020	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	0	12SP
KBPU .	AG1-2007-044D	1221925	WR	KACY	25	1/1/2008	1/1/2028	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	0	08SP
KCPS	AG1-2007-080	1223159	KCPL	EES	52	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	6/1/2018	9/1/2008	9/1/2013	0	08SP
KPP	AG1-2007-052	1222644	WR	WR	333	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	0	08SP
KPP	AG1-2007-054	1222904	WPEK	WPEK	3	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	9/1/2008	9/1/2018	9/1/2008	9/1/2018	0	08SP
KPP	AG1-2007-055	1222932	WR	WR	45	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	0	08SP
KPP	AG1-2007-056	1222937	WR	WPEK	5	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	12/1/2010	12/1/2030	10/1/2008	10/1/2028	0	08SP
KPP	AG1-2007-058	1222955	WR	WR	20	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	9/1/2008	9/1/2018	9/1/2008	9/1/2018	0	08SP
KPP	AG1-2007-064	1223078	WPEK	WPEK	15	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	12/1/2010	12/1/2020	10/1/2008	10/1/2018	0	08SP
SPRM	AG1-2007-042	1220082	SPA	SPA	275	10/1/2010	10/1/2050					0	12SP
UCU	AG1-2007-025D	1214263	MPS	WR	1	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	6/1/2018	6/1/2010	6/1/2015	0	08SP
UCU	AG1-2007-023D	1214269	MPS	KCPL	2	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	6/1/2018	9/1/2008	9/1/2013		08SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223092	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	10/1/2009	10/1/2029	0	09SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223093	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033		10/1/2029	0	09SP
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223094	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	10/1/2009	10/1/2029		09SP
	AG1-2007-060D	1223095		MPS	75								09SP
	AG1-2007-001D	1197077		WR	32	9/1/2007							08SP
	AG1-2007-047D	1222005		EES	106		10/1/2010		6/1/2014				08SP

1359

## Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Customer	Study Number	Reservation	Engineering and Construction Cost of Upgrades Allocated to Customer for Revenue Requirements	<sup>1</sup> Letter of Credit Amount Required	<sup>2</sup> Potential Base Plan Engineering and Construction Funding Allowable	<sup>4</sup> Additional Engineering and Construction Cost for 3rd Party Upgrades	<sup>3</sup> Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITHOUT Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	<sup>35</sup> Total Revenue Requirements for Assigned Upgrades Over Term of Reservation WITH Potential Base Plan Funding Allocation	Point-to-Point Base Rate Over Reservation Period	<sup>4</sup> Total Cost of Reservation Assignable to Customer Contingent Upon Base Plan Funding
EDE	AG1-2007-051	1222640	\$ 14,752,102		\$ 14,752,102	Indeterminate	\$ 37,479,932		\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
INDP	AG1-2007-045	1221966	\$ 53,931	\$ 53,931	\$-	\$-	\$ 242,792	\$ 242,792	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 1,584,000
KBPU	AG1-2007-043D	1221923	\$ 1,324,166	\$ 1,324,166		\$-	\$ 3,437,838	\$ 3,437,838	\$ 4,118,400	\$ 4,118,400
KBPU	AG1-2007-044D	1221925	\$ 172,525	\$ 172,525	\$-	\$-	\$ 816,371	\$ 816,371	\$ 5,280,000	\$ 5,280,000
KCPS	AG1-2007-080	1223159	\$ 44,345	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 89,516		\$ 2,808,000	\$ 2,808,000
KPP	AG1-2007-052	1222644	\$ 31,853,291	\$-	\$ 31,853,291	\$-	\$ 83,648,273	\$-	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-054	1222904	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-055	1222932	\$ 10,888,233	\$ 2,788,233	\$ 8,100,000	\$-	\$ 38,685,406	\$ 9,906,467	\$-	\$ 9,906,467
KPP	AG1-2007-056	1222937	\$ 552,782	\$-	\$ 552,782	\$-	\$ 1,400,327	\$-	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-058	1222955	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
KPP	AG1-2007-064	1223078	\$ 539,722	\$-	\$ 539,722	\$-	\$ 943,446	\$-	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
SPRM	AG1-2007-042	1220082	\$ 120,000		\$ 120,000	\$-	\$ 555,320	\$-	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
UCU	AG1-2007-025D	1214263	\$ 2,213	\$ 2,213	\$-	\$-	\$ 5,229	\$ 5,229	\$ 109,560	\$ 109,560
UCU	AG1-2007-023D	1214269	\$ 203	\$ 203	\$-	\$-	\$ 433	\$ 433	\$ 105,600	\$ 105,600
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223092	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 2,090,773	\$-	\$-	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 28,998,000
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223093	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 2,090,773	\$-	\$-	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 28,998,000
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223094	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 2,090,773	\$ -	\$-	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 28,998,000
UCU	AG1-2007-060D	1223095	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 2,090,773	\$-	\$-	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 10,534,394	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 28,998,000
WRGS	AG1-2007-001D	1197077	\$ 42,295		\$ 42,295	\$-	\$ 110,386	\$ -	\$-	Schedule 9 Charges
WRGS	AG1-2007-047D	1222005	\$ 218,473	\$ 114,730	\$-	\$-	\$ 479,631	\$ 479,631	\$ 3,434,400	\$ 3,434,400
Grand Total			\$ 76,734,558	-	·		\$ 210,032,475	\$ 57,115,853		

## Table 2 - Total Revenue Requirements Associated with Long-Term Transmission Service Requests

Note 1: Letter of Credit required for financial security for transmission owner for network upgrades is determined by allocated engineering and construction costs less engineering and construction costs for upgrades when network customer is the transmission owner less the E & C allocation of expedited projects. Letter of Credit is not required for base plan funded upgrades. The Letter Of Credit Amount listed is based on meeting OATT Attachment J requirements for base plan funding.

**Note 2**. If potential base plan funding is applicable, this value is the lesser of the Engineering and Construction costs of assignable upgrades or the value of base plan funding calculated pursuant to Attachment J, Section III B criteria. Allocation of base plan funding is contingent upon verification of customer agreements meeting Attachment J, Section II B criteria. Not applicable if Point-to-Point base rate exceeds revenue requirements.

**Note 3**: Revenue Requirements (RR) are based upon deferred end dates if applicable. Deferred dates are based upon customer's choice to pursue redispatch. Achievable Base Plan Avoided RR in the case of a Base Plan upgrade being displaced or deferred by an earlier in service date for a Requested Upgrade shall be determined per Attachment J, Section VII.C methodology. Assumption of a 40 year service life is utilized for Base Plan funded projects. A present worth analysis of RR on a common year basis between the Base Plan and Requested Upgrades was performed to determine avoided Base Plan RR due to the displacement or deferral of the Base Plan upgrade by the Requested Upgrade. The incremental increase in present worth of a Requested Upgrade on a common year basis as a Base Plan upgrade is assigned to the transmission requests impacting the upgrade based on the displacement or deferral. If the displacement analysis results in lower RR due to the shorter amortization period of the requested upgrade when compared to a base plan amortization period, then no direct assignment of the upgrade cost is made due to the displacement to an earlier start date.

**Note 4**. For Point-to-Point requests, total cost is based on the higher of the base rate or assigned upgrade revenue requirements. For Network requests, the total cost is based on the assigned upgrade revenue requirement. Allocation of base plan funding will be determined after verification of designated resource meeting Attachment J, Section II B Criteria. Additionally E & C of 3rd Party upgrades is assignable to Customer. This includes prepayments required for any SWPA upgrades. Revenue requirements for 3rd Party facilities are not calculated. Total cost to customer is based on assumption of Revenue Requirements with confirmation of base plan funding. Customer is responsible for negotiating redispatch costs if applicable. Customer is also responsible to pay credits for previously assigned upgrades that are impacted by their request. Credits can be paid from base plan funding if applicable.

Note 5: RR with base plan funding may increase or decrease even if no base plan funding is applicable to a particular request if another request that shares the upgrade is now full base plan funded resulting in a different amortization period for the upgrade and thus different RR.

Note 6: Base Plan Funding is indeterminate due to lack of information

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 22 of 45

#### Customer EDE Study Number AG1-2007-051

								Deferred Stop				
												Total Revenue
Customer		POR		Amount	Start Date							Requirements
EDE	1222640	WPEK	EDE	100	11/1/2008	11/1/2028	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$ 14,752,102	\$-	\$ 14,752,102	\$ 37,479,932
									\$ 14,752,102	\$-	\$ 14,752,102	\$ 37,479,932

				Earliest	Redispatch	Allocated E &	Total E & C	Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost	Cost	Requirements
1222640	CONCORDIA - JEWELL 3 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$ 6,014,871	\$ 6,447,839	\$ 15,075,746
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 14,436	\$ 50,000	\$ 53,764
	EAST 20MVAR CAPACITOR # 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009		\$ 6,655	\$ 600,000	\$ 18,586
	East Manhattan - SW Manhattan 115kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 331,892	\$ 386,740	\$ 1,018,451
	EAST MANHATTAN (EMANHT3X) 230/115/18.0KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 301,643	\$ 359,219	\$ 925,628
	East Manhattan to Mcdowell 230 kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 100,046	\$ 115,877	
	JEWELL 3 - SMITH CENTER 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$ 7,903,262	\$ 8,472,161	\$ 19,808,832
	SUB 271 - BAXTER SPRINGS WEST - SUB 404 - HOCKERVILLE 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	12/1/2008	4/1/2009		Yes	\$ 20,382	\$ 20,382	\$ 67,448
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 58,915	\$ 238,266	\$ 204,474
					Total	\$ 14,752,102	\$ 16,690,484	\$ 37,479,932

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Uporade Name	COD	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
	ANZIO - FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2009			/ wanabic
	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	BULL SHOALS - BULL SHOALS 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012			
	JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 EMDE	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	JOPLIN 59 - SUB 439 - STATELINE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2013		Yes
	JOPLIN 59 - SUB 59 - JOPLIN 26TH ST. 161/69kV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2012			Yes
	KERR - PENSACOLA 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2012	12/1/2012		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T SUB 152 - MONETT H.T. 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2009			
	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. 161KV	6/1/2013			
	SUB 145 - JOPLIN WEST 7TH - SUB 64 - JOPLIN 10TH ST. 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2010			
	SUB 170 - NICHOLS ST SUB 80 - MARSHFIELD JCT. 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2012			
	SUB 383 - MONETT - SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	SUB 73 - BOLIVAR BURNS 69KV	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		

Credits may be required for the followin	a network upgrades direct	v assigned to transmission customers in	previous aggregate study.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222640	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

#### Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

			Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222640 BATTLEFIELD - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2009		
SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2009		

			Earliest Service Start	Redispatch	Allocated E &	Total E & C
Reservation Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Date	Available	C Cost	Cost
1222640 5ST_JOE 161.00 - EVERTON 161KV CKT 1	10/1/2008	10/1/2008			\$-	\$
EVERTON - HARRISON-EAST 161KV CKT 1	12/1/2008	12/1/2008			\$-	\$
HARRISON-EAST - SUMMIT 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$-	\$
HUBEN (HUBEN) 345/161/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016			\$-	\$
JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 AECI	6/1/2014	6/1/2014			\$-	\$
				Total	s -	\$

#### Customer INDP Study Number AG1-2007-045

		1 · · ·					Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
		,		Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
INDP	1221966	OPPD	INDN	6	6/1/2009	6/1/2034	6/1/2011	6/1/2036	\$-	\$ 1,584,000	\$ 53,931	\$ 242,792

				Earliest	Redispatch	Alloca	ted E &	Total E & C		Total	Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cos	t	Cost		Requ	irements
1221966	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	40,213	\$	4,400,000	\$	172,332
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	750	\$	50,000	\$	4,080
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$	11,763	\$	2,000,000	\$	60,613
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$	1,205	\$	238,266	\$	5,767
					Total	\$	53,931	\$	6,688,266	\$	242,792

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1221966	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	MERRIAM - ROELAND PARK 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2011		
	SHRANK ROAD - SUB I 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUBSTATION M 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 24 of 45

#### Customer KBPU Study Number AG1-2007-043D

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
KBPU	1221923	SPA	KACY	39	7/1/2010	7/1/2020	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	\$	\$ 4,118,400	\$ 1,324,166	\$ 3,437,838
									¢	\$ 4.118.400	\$ 1.324.166	\$ 3.437.838

				Earliest	Redispatch	Alloc	cated E &	Tot	al E & C	Tota	al Revenue
	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Co	ost	Cos	st	Req	uirements
1221923	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011			\$	429,116	\$	8,400,000	\$	1,104,479
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$	669,217	\$	13,100,000	\$	1,701,389
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	147,414	\$	4,400,000	\$	386,344
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	3,324	\$	50,000	\$	10,983
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$	60,252	\$	2,000,000	\$	188,536
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$	14,843	\$	238,266	\$	46,107
		-			Total	\$	1,324,166	\$	28,188,266	\$	3,437,838

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1221923	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. 161KV	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		

#### Customer KBPU Study Number AG1-2007-044D

		1					Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
		1		Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
KDDU	1221925	W/P	KACY	25	1/1/2008	1/1/2028	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$ .	\$ 5.280.000	\$ 172.525	\$ 816.371
KBPU	1221925	VVIN	NAC I	20	1/1/2000	1/1/2020	0/1/2010	0/1/2000	Ψ	φ 0,200,000	φ 112,020	

				Earliest	Redispatch	Allocated E &	Tot	al E & C	Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost	Cos	st	Requirements
1221925	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 98,52	8 \$	4,400,000	\$ 432,361
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 4,44	2 \$	50,000	\$ 24,780
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011			\$ 51,07	7 \$	2,000,000	\$ 269,795
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 18,47	8 \$	238,266	\$ 89,435
					Total	\$ 172,52	5 \$	6,688,266	\$ 816,371

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1221925	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	BELTON SOUTH - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2013		
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	
	MARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2013		
	NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		
	PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2011		
	SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

					Earliest	Redispatch
	Reservation	Upgrade Name		EOC	Service Date	Available
ſ	1221925	PHILLIPSBURG - RHOADES 115 kV	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	

#### Customer KCPS Study Number AG1-2007-080

							Deferred Start					
				Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
KCPS	1223159	KCPL	EES	52	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	6/1/2018	\$-	\$ 2,808,000	\$ 44,345	\$ 89,516

			Earliest	Redispatch	Allocat	ed E &	Total I	E&C	Total Reven
Reservation Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost		Cost		Requirement
1223159 MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$	44,345	\$ 3	2,000,000	\$ 89,5
				Total	\$	44,345	\$ 2	2,000,000	\$ 89,5

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

					Earliest	Redispatch
	Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
- [	1223159	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
- [		MARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2013		Yes
		REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes
- [		STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
[		STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1223159	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

#### Customer KPP Study Number AG1-2007-052

				B	B			Deferred Stop				T. ( ) D. ( )
					•	•						Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
KPP	1222644	WR	WR	333	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	6/1/2011	6/1/2021	\$ 31,853,291	\$-	\$ 31,853,291	\$ 83,648,273
									\$ 31,853,291	\$-	\$ 31,853,291	\$ 83,648,273

				Earliest	Redispatch	Allocated E &	Total E & C	Total Revenu
eservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost	Cost	Requirements
1222644	ALLEN - LEHIGH TAP 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,921,241	\$ 2,363,907	7 \$ 5,011,5
	ALLEN 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008	6/1/2010		No	\$ 407,245	\$ 500,000	) \$ 1,159,3
	ALTOONA EAST 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No	\$ 161,908	\$ 200,000	) \$ 460,9
	ARKANSAS CITY - PARIS 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	6/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	Yes	\$ 7,103	\$ 9,889	9 \$ 21,7
	ASH GROVE JCT2 - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 631,316	\$ 767,000	) \$ 1,703,2
	ATHENS 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008	6/1/2010		No	\$ 407,245		
	Athens to Owl Creek 69 kV	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,030,466	\$ 1,208,769	
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 4,535,098	\$ 8,400,000	) \$ 11,672,6
	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 2,219,020	\$ 2,600,000	
	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - WOLF CREEK 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,034,710	\$ 1,480,000	) \$ 2,791,5
	CHANUTE TAP - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 81,937		
	CITY OF IOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,193,612	\$ 1,440,000	3,220,2
	COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 2,799,380	\$ 3,280,000	) \$ 7,552,3
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 163,414	\$ 200,000	) \$ 465,2
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 7,072,593	\$ 13,100,000	) \$ 17,981,0
	CRESWELL - OAK 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	6/1/2008	6/1/2009		Yes	\$ 28,486	\$ 39,545	5 \$ 86,5
	DEARING 138KV Capacitor Displacement	12/1/2012	12/1/2012			\$ 21,159	\$ 25,630	) \$ 52,5
	Green to Vernon 69 kV	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 2,531,586	\$ 2,966,229	9 \$ 6,603,5
	LEHIGH TAP - OWL CREEK 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 2,978,856	\$ 3,494,292	2 \$ 7,770,2
	LEHIGH TAP - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 201,521	\$ 236,391	\$ 525,6
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 197,803	\$ 250,000	\$ 606,9
	TIOGA 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008	6/1/2010		No	\$ 407,245	\$ 500,000	) \$ 1,159,3
	Vernon to Athens 69 kV	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,820,347	\$ 2,132,879	9 \$ 4,748,3
					Total	\$ 31,853,291	\$ 45,794,531	\$ 83,648,2

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222644	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	ROSE HILL JUNCTION - WEAVER 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2009		Yes

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222644	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes

#### Customer KPP Study Number AG1-2007-054

Customer	Reservation	POR				Requested	Date Without	Date Without	-	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222904	WPEK	WPEK	3	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	9/1/2008	9/1/2018	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
									\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
				Earliest	Redispatch	Allocated E &	Total E & C	Total Revenue	1			
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost	Cost	Requirements				
1222904	None					\$-	\$-	\$-	1			
					Total	\$-	\$-	\$-				

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 29 of 45

#### Customer Study Number

KPP	AG1-2007-055

				Requested	Requested		Deferred Start Date Without		Potential Base Plan Funding		Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
KPP	1222932	WR	WR	45	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	6/1/2011	6/1/2031	\$ 8,100,000	\$-	\$ 10,444,121	\$ 37,138,068
									\$ 8,100,000	s -	\$ 10.444.121	\$ 37,138,068

				Redispatch	Allocated E &		IE&C	Total Revenu
Upgrade Name	COD			Available	C Cost	Cost		Requirements
ALLEN - LEHIGH TAP 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008			Yes	\$ 442,666		2,363,907	
ALLEN 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008			No	\$ 92,755		500,000	
ALTOONA EAST 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008			No	\$ 38,092		200,000	\$ 146,1
ARKANSAS CITY - PARIS 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	6/1/2008			Yes	\$ 2,786		9,889	
ASH GROVE JCT2 - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 135,684	4 \$	767,000	\$ 493,4
ATHENS 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008			No	\$ 92,755		500,000	\$ 355,8
Athens to Owl Creek 69 kV	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 178,303	3 \$	1,208,769	\$ 626,9
BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 867,225	5\$	8,400,000	\$ 3,103,3
BURLINGTON JUNCTION - COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 380,980	)\$	2,600,000	\$ 1,385,5
BURLINGTON JUNCTION - WOLF CREEK 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 445,290	)\$	1,480,000	\$ 1,619,3
CHANUTE TAP - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 18,063	3 \$	100,000	\$ 65,6
CITY OF IOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 246,388	3 \$	1,440,000	\$ 896,0
CITY OF WINFIELD - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		No	\$ 1,645,279	э\$	1,645,279	\$ 5,785,0
COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 480,620	) \$	3,280,000	\$ 1,747,
COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 34,653	3 \$	200,000	\$ 132,
COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,352,458	3 \$	13,100,000	\$ 4,780,
Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 4,785	5 \$	50,000	\$ 22,
CRESWELL - OAK 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	6/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	Yes	\$ 11,059	э\$	39,545	\$ 45,
DEARING 138KV Capacitor Displacement	12/1/2012	12/1/2012			\$ 4,47	1 \$	25,630	\$ 14,9
EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$ 381,729	9 \$	467,569	\$ 1,244,0
East Manhattan - SW Manhattan 115kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 47,846	з\$	386,740	\$ 177,0
EAST MANHATTAN (EMANHT3X) 230/115/18.0KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 43,478	3 \$	359,219	\$ 160,9
East Manhattan to Mcdowell 230 kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$ 15,247	7 \$	115,877	\$ 56,4
EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 42,468	8 \$	54,538	\$ 162,9
Green to Vernon 69 kV	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 434,643	3 \$	2,966,229	\$ 1,528,3
LEHIGH TAP - OWL CREEK 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 515,436	6 \$	3,494,292	\$ 1,812,
LEHIGH TAP - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 34,870	0 \$	236,391	\$ 122,0
NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 49.880	0 \$	250,000	\$ 206,
OAK - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011	10/1/2010		\$ 1,900,000		1,900,000	
OXFORD 138KV Capacitor Displacement	6/1/2008	6/1/2010		No	\$ 78.766	3\$	116.323	\$ 302.
RICHLAND - ROSE HILL JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 444,11	1 \$	444,111	\$ 1,547,3
TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009				\$ 20,159		238,266	
TIOGA 69KV Capacitor	6/1/2008			No	\$ 92,755	5 \$	500,000	\$ 355,
Vernon to Athens 69 kV	6/1/2008			Yes	\$ 312,532		2,132,879	
				Total	\$ 10,444,12	1 \$		

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 30 of 45

Expansion Plan	<ul> <li>The requested service is contin</li> </ul>	gent upon completion of the following	upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission custome	er.

				Earliest	Redispatch
	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222932	95TH & WAVERLY - CAPTAIN JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	ANZIO - FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016			
	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MIDLAND JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
	CHAPMAN - CLAY CENTER JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	CLAY CENTER - GREENLEAF 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	COWSKIN - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - OATVILLE 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2008		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	HOOVER SOUTH - TYLER 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	LAWRENCE HILL - MOCKINGBIRD HILL SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		Yes
	PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	ROSE HILL JUNCTION - WEAVER 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2009		Yes
	SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		Yes
	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	TYLER - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222932	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	9/1/2008	12/1/2008		Yes

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 31 of 45

#### Customer KPP Study Number AG1-2007-056

				Requested	Requested			Deferred Stop Date Without			Allocated F &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD		•							Requirements
KPP	1222937	WR	WPEK	5	6/1/2007	6/1/2027	12/1/2010	12/1/2030	\$ 552,782	\$-	\$ 552,782	\$ 1,400,327

				Earliest	Redispatch	Alloc	ated E &	Tota	al E & C	Total	I Revenue
	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Co	st	Cos	t	Requ	uirements
1222937	CONCORDIA - JEWELL 3 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$	199,721	\$	6,447,839	\$	496,795
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	237	\$	50,000	\$	876
	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2013				\$	74,773	\$	467,569		200,817
	East Manhattan - SW Manhattan 115kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$	3,614	\$	386,740	\$	11,023
	EAST MANHATTAN (EMANHT3X) 230/115/18.0KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$	3,287	\$	359,219	\$	10,025
	East Manhattan to Mcdowell 230 kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$	379	\$	115,877	\$	1,155
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	6,683	\$	54,538	\$	21,131
	JEWELL 3 - SMITH CENTER 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$	262,424	\$	8,472,161	\$	652,765
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$	1,664	\$	238,266	\$	5,740
					Total	\$	552,782	\$	16,592,209	\$	1,400,327

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
	95TH & WAVERLY - CAPTAIN JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	ANZIO - FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2009			
	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016			
	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015			
	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MIDLAND JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	CHAPMAN - CLAY CENTER JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		
	Cimarron Plant Substation Expansion	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	CLAY CENTER - GREENLEAF 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		
	COWSKIN - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
F	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	HOLCOMB - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	HOOVER SOUTH - TYLER 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 MIDW	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 WERE	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	HUNTSVILLE - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	LAWRENCE HILL - MOCKINGBIRD HILL SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	NORTH CIMARRON CAPACITOR	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		Yes
	PIONEER TAP - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	PRATT - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		Yes
	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011	1	
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	TUCO INTERCHANGE 345/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	TYLER - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		

Construction	Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not as	signable to th	e transmissio	n customer.	
				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222937	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	
	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	10/1/2008	6/1/2011	12/1/2010	Yes
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	9/1/2008	12/1/2008		Yes

#### Customer KPP Study Number AG1-2007-058

						Requested	Date Without Redispatch	Date Without Redispatch	Allowable	Point-to-Point		Total Revenue Requirements
KPP	1222955	WR	WR	20	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	9/1/2008	9/1/2018	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-
									\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ -
				Earliest	Redispatch	Allocated E &	Total E & C	Total Revenue	1			
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC					Requirements				
1222955	None					\$	\$-	\$-				
					Total	\$-	\$-	\$-	]			

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 33 of 45

#### Customer KPP Study Number AG1-2007-064

							Deferred Start Deferred Stop P		Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
KPP	1223078	WPEK	WPEK	15	6/1/2007	6/1/2017	12/1/2010	12/1/2020	\$ 539,722	•	\$ 539,722	\$ 943,446
									\$ 539,722	\$ -	\$ 539,722	\$ 943,446

Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD			Alloc C Cc	cated E &	Tota Cos		Revenue rements
1223078	CONCORDIA - JEWELL 3 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		\$	233,247	\$	6,447,839	\$ 407,721
	JEWELL 3 - SMITH CENTER 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		\$	306,475	\$	8,472,161	\$ 535,725
				Total	\$	539,722	\$	14,920,000	\$ 943,446

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
		COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1223078	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	CHAPMAN - CLAY CENTER JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			
	Cimarron Plant Substation Expansion	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	CLAY CENTER - GREENLEAF 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	HOLCOMB - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	NORTH CIMARRON CAPACITOR	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		
	PIONEER TAP - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	12/1/2009	12/1/2009		
	PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	TUCO INTERCHANGE 345/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
122307	BROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	10/1/2008	6/1/2011	12/1/2010	Yes
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	9/1/2008	12/1/2008		

#### Customer SPRM Study Number AG1-2007-042

				Requested	Requested			Deferred Stop Date Without			Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
SPRM	1220082	SPA	SPA	275	10/1/2010	10/1/2050			\$ 120,000	\$-	\$ 120,000	\$ 555,320
									\$ 120.000	s -	\$ 120.000	\$ 555.320

			Earliest	Redispatch	Alloca	ated E &	Total E	& C	Total Rev	enue
Reservation Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Co	st	Cost		Requirem	ents
1220082 BROOKLINE - JUNCTION 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2013			\$	120,000	\$	120,000	\$ 55	55,320
				Total	\$	120,000	\$	120,000	\$ 55	55,320

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1220082	JAMES RIVER - TWIN OAKS 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
	KICKAPOO - SUNSET 69KV CKT 1	6/1/2014	6/1/2014		
	NEERGARD - NORTON 69KV CKT 1	10/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	NIXA 161KV CAP BANK	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
	SPRINGFIELD (SPF X3) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	10/1/2010	6/1/2012		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1220082	BATTLEFIELD - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2009		
	SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2013	6/1/2009		

#### Customer UCU Study Number AG1-2007-023D

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
UCU	1214269	MPS	KCPL	2	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	6/1/2018	\$	\$ 105,600	\$ 203	\$ 433
									\$-	\$ 105,600	\$ 203	\$ 433

					Redispatch	Allocated E	8	Total E & C	Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost		Cost	Requirements
1214269	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	183	\$ 50,000	\$ 390
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$	20	\$ 238,266	\$ 43
					Total	\$	203	\$ 288,266	\$ 433

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
	BELTON SOUTH - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2013		Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	MARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2013		Yes
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

#### Customer UCU Study Number AG1-2007-025D

				Requested	Requested		Deferred Start Date Without		Potential Base Plan Funding		Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
UCU	1214263	MPS	WR	1	6/1/2007	6/1/2012	6/1/2013	6/1/2018	\$-	\$ 109,560	\$ 2,212	\$ 5,229

				Earliest	Redispatch	Allocated E	& 1	Fotal E & C	Total Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost	C	Cost	Requirements
1214263	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 1	53	\$ 200,000	\$ 343
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 73	36	\$ 54,538	\$ 1,653
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 2	68	\$ 250,000	\$ 648
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 1,0	55	\$ 238,266	\$ 2,585
					Total	\$ 2,2	12	\$ 742,804	\$ 5,229

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

			Earliest	Redispatch
	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
ANZIO - FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
BELTON SOUTH - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010			
BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013			
COWSKIN - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010			
FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MCDOWELL CREEK SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008			No
HOOVER SOUTH - TYLER 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
MARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		Yes
PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
TYLER - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1214263	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		
	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	10/1/2008	6/1/2011	12/1/2010	Yes
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	9/1/2008	12/1/2008		Yes

#### Customer UCU Study Number AG1-2007-060D

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
UCU	1223092	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$-	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 10,534,394
UCU	1223093	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$-	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 10,534,394
UCU	1223094	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$-	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 10,534,394
UCU	1223095	EES	MPS	75	3/1/2009	3/1/2029	6/1/2013	6/1/2033	\$	\$ 28,998,000	\$ 4,042,570	\$ 10,534,394
									\$ -	\$ 115,992,000	\$ 16,170,280	\$ 42.137.576

					Redispatch	Allocated E		Total Revenue
	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cost	Cost	Requirements
	5CALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes	\$ 25,00		.000
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 642,14		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012			\$ 750,00		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,001,43		
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 1,028,46		
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010					000 \$ 12,035
	EAST 20MVAR CAPACITOR # 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009		\$ 148,33		000 \$ 448,371
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 431,93		
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 12,28	81 \$ 238,2	266 \$ 45,547
					Total	\$ 4,042,5	0 \$ 31,888,2	266 \$ 10,534,394
	5CALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes	\$ 25,00		. 000
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 642,14	0 \$ 8,400,0	000 \$ 2,003,976
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012			\$ 750,00	0 \$ 3,000,0	. 00
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1,001,43	3 \$ 13,100,0	000 \$ 3,087,014
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 1,028,46	51 \$ 4,400,0	000 \$ 3,286,052
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 2.98	31 \$ 50.0	000 \$ 12.035
	EAST 20MVAR CAPACITOR # 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	No	\$ 148,33	6 \$ 600,0	000 \$ 448,371
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 431,93		
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 12,28		266 \$ 45,547
					Total	\$ 4.042.5	0 \$ 31.888.2	266 \$ 10,534,394
1223094	5CALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes			000 \$ -
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 642,14		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012			\$ 750.00		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$ 1.001.43		
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 1.028.46		
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010					000 \$ 12,035
	EAST 20MVAR CAPACITOR # 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		No	\$ 148.3		
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011	10/112000	Yes	\$ 431,93		
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$ 12.28		266 \$ 45.547
		0/11/2000	0/ 1/2000		Total	\$ 4.042.5		266 \$ 10,534,394
1223095	5CALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes			00 \$ 10,004,004
	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes	\$ 642.14		
	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		163	\$ 750.00		
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2012		Yes		33 \$ 13,100,0	
	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2010	6/1/2011		105	\$ 1,001,4		
	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$ 1,028,46		00 \$ 3,286,052
	EAST 20MVAR CAPACITOR # 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		No	\$ 148.33		00 \$ 12,033
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2010	10/1/2009	Yes	\$ 148,3		
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1 TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		res			
	I ECONISE ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009	1	1	\$ 12,28	31 \$ 238,2	266 \$ 45,547

Expansion Plan - The	e requested service is continge	ent upon completion	of the following upgrades.	. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.	

Reservation L	<ul> <li>The requested service is contingent upon completion or the following upgrades. Cost is not assignal</li> <li>Jpgrade Name</li> </ul>	COD	EOC	Earliest Service Date	Redispatch Available
1223092 F	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
E	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
E	SONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013	6/1/2013		
[	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009			Yes
	DMOND SUB	6/1/2009			Yes
	DWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012			
	ARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010			
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	TRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009			
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST ODINGAN KOAD TO INVICKI I	6/1/2010			163
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013			
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2013			
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEFW DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009			
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009			Vee
					Yes
		6/1/2009			Yes
	DWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012			
	MARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010			
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	TRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009			
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010			
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013			
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009			
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009			
C	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes
E	DMOND SUB	6/1/2009	6/1/2010		Yes
E	DWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
N	ARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2013		Yes
F	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
F	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes
9	TRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	TRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
1223095 F	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
E	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	6/1/2013		İ	1
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009		İ	1
	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009		İ	1
	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	6/1/2009			Yes
	DMOND SUB	6/1/2009			Yes
	DWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012			
	ARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	6/1/2010			
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2010			Yes
	TRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2008	6/1/2011		162
	TRANGER GREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH HORV	0/1/2011	0/1/2011		1

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 39 of 45

	h			Earliest	Redispatch
	Upgrade Name	COD		Service Date	Available
1223092	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009			
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MUSKOGEE - PECAN CREEK 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
1223093	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MUSKOGEE - PECAN CREEK 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
1223094	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MUSKOGEE - PECAN CREEK 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
1223095	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	MUSKOGEE - PECAN CREEK 345KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes
	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	9/1/2008	12/1/2010	10/1/2010	Yes

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

SPP Aggregate Facility Study (SPP-2007-AG1-AFS-10) July 14, 2008 Page 40 of 45

#### Study Number AG1-2007-001D Customer

WRGS

				Requested	Requested		Deferred Start Date Without		Potential Base Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD		•				•		C Cost	Requirements
WRGS	1197077	EDE	WR	32	9/1/2007	9/1/2018	6/1/2013	6/1/2024	\$ 42,295	\$-	\$ 42,295	\$ 110,386
									\$ 42,295	s -	\$ 42,295	\$ 110,386

				Earliest	Redispatch	Alloca	ated E &	Total	E & C	Total R	evenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Co	st	Cost		Require	ements
1197077	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	6/1/2010	6/1/2010	)		\$	1,781	\$	200,000	\$	4,867
	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2013	6/1/2013	3		\$	11,067	\$	467,569	\$	25,689
	East Manhattan - SW Manhattan 115kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$	3,388	\$	386,740	\$	8,931
	EAST MANHATTAN (EMANHT3X) 230/115/18.0KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$	10,812	\$	359,219	\$	28,502
	East Manhattan to Mcdowell 230 kV Displacement	6/1/2011	6/1/2011			\$	205	\$	115,877	\$	541
	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2010	6/1/2010	)		\$	4,650	\$	54,538	\$	12,708
	LITCHFIELD - AQUARIUS - HUDSON JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2014	6/1/2014	ł		\$	1,725	\$	1,725	\$	3,381
	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009	)		\$	2,049	\$	250,000	\$	6,035
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009	)		\$	6,618	\$	238,266	\$	19,733
					Total	\$	42,295	\$	2,073,934	\$	110,386

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

			Earliest	Redispatch
	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
ANZIO - FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		
AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2016	6/1/2016		
BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010			
Centerton - East Rogers - Osage Creek 345 kV	6/1/2017			
COWSKIN - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010			
FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MCDOWELL CREEK SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT	6/1/2009			
Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	6/1/2016			
HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008			No
HOOVER SOUTH - TYLER 69KV	6/1/2010			
JOPLIN 59 - SUB 439 - STATELINE 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2012			Yes
JOPLIN 59 - SUB 59 - JOPLIN 26TH ST. 161/69kV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2012			Yes
NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008			No
SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			Yes
STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009			
SUB 124 - AURORA H.T SUB 383 - MONETT 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2017	6/1/2017		
SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. 161KV	6/1/2013			
SUB 383 - MONETT - SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	6/1/2015	6/1/2015		
SUB 389 - JOPLIN SOUTHWEST - SUB 422 - JOPLIN 24TH & CONNECTICUT 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008		10/1/2008	Yes
SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
TYLER - WESTLINK 69KV	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.									
				Earliest	Redispatch				
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available				
1197077	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2011	6/1/2011						

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1197077	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	9/1/2008	6/1/2010		Yes
	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	10/1/2008	6/1/2011	12/1/2010	Yes
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	9/1/2008	12/1/2008		Yes

#### Customer Study Number

WRGS AG1-2007-047D

							Deferred Start	Deferred Stop	Potential Base			
				Requested	Requested	Requested	Date Without	Date Without	Plan Funding	Point-to-Point	Allocated E &	Total Revenue
Customer	Reservation	POR	POD	Amount	Start Date	Stop Date	Redispatch	Redispatch	Allowable	Base Rate	C Cost	Requirements
WRGS	1222005	WR	EES	106	10/1/2007	10/1/2010	6/1/2011	6/1/2014	\$-	\$ 3,434,400	\$ 218,473	\$ 479,631
											\$ 218,473	\$ 479,631

				Earliest	Redispatch	Alloca	ated E &	Total	E & C	Total F	Revenue
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available	C Cos	st	Cost		Requir	rements
1222005	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	6/1/2010	6/1/2010			\$	9,919	\$	50,000	\$	22,694
	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	6/1/2009	6/1/2011		Yes	\$	104,811	\$	2,000,000	\$	227,049
	OXFORD 138KV Capacitor Displacement	6/1/2008	6/1/2010		No	\$	37,557	\$	116,323	\$	78,653
	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	6/1/2009	6/1/2009			\$	66,186	\$	238,266	\$	151,234
					Total	\$	218,473	\$	2,404,589	\$	479,631

Expansion Plan - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222005	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	6/1/2010	6/1/2010		
	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	6/1/2012	6/1/2012		
	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - OATVILLE 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008			
	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		
	PRATT 138KV Capacitor	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	No
	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2011		Yes
	SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	12/1/2009		
	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	6/1/2011	6/1/2011		
	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	6/1/2009	6/1/2009		

Credits may be required for the following network upgrades directly assigned to transmission customers in previous aggregate study.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222005	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		
	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	7/1/2012	7/1/2012		

Construction Pending - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Earliest	Redispatch
Reservation	Upgrade Name	COD	EOC	Service Date	Available
1222005	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	9/1/2008	6/1/2009	10/1/2008	
	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	9/1/2008	12/1/2008		Yes

Transmission Owner	Upgrade	Solution	Earliest Data Upgrade Required (COD)	Upgrade	Estimated Engineering & Construction Cost
AEPW	BARTLESVILLE SOUTHEAST - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 8.37 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR & reset relays @ BSE	06/01/08	06/01/11	\$ 8,400,000
AEPW	COFFEYVILLE TAP - NORTH BARTLESVILLE 138KV CKT 1	Rebuild 13.11 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR a reserverays @ BSL	06/01/05		
	COTTET VIELE TAP - NORTH BARTELS VIELE 138RV CRT I	Rebuild 13.11 miles of 755 ACSR with 1550 ACSR.	00/01/08	00/01/11	\$ 13,100,000
EMDE	SUB 271 - BAXTER SPRINGS WEST - SUB 404 - HOCKERVILLE 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	Change CT Settings for Rate B 61 MVA	12/01/08	04/01/09	\$ 20,382
KACP	Craig 161kV 20MVar Cap Bank Upgrade	Additional 20 MVAR to make a total of 70 MVAR at Craig 542978	06/01/10		
KACP	MARTIN CITY - REDEL 161KV CKT 1	Reconductor 1192 acss upgrade terminal equip 2000 amp	06/01/09		
MIPU	COOK - ST JOE 161KV CKT 1	Conductor, Switch, Relay	06/01/10		
MIPU	EAST 20MVAR CAPACITOR # 2	Add 20MVAR additional to existing capacitor at East 161kV	06/01/09		
		Brookline: Replace 1,200 amp switches with 2,000 amp units and replace	00/01/00	00/01/10	\$ 000,000
		metering CTs. Junction: Replace 1,200 amp switches with 2,000 amp			
SPRM	BROOKLINE - JUNCTION 161KV CKT 1	units.	06/01/13	06/01/13	\$ 120,000
		dino.	00/01/10	00/01/10	¢ 120,000
		Replace buswork within bay and change metering CT ratio, replace			
SWPA	5CALCR - NORFORK 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	wavetraps. Entergy must also reconductor their line to increase the rating.	06/01/09	06/01/10	\$ 100.000
SWPA	CLARKSVILLE - DARDANELLE 161KV CKT 1	Reconductor Clarksville-Dardanelle line	06/01/12		
WEPL	CONCORDIA - JEWELL 3 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 25.8 mile line	06/01/12		
WEPL	JEWELL 3 - SMITH CENTER 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 33.9 mile line	06/01/13		
WERE	ALLEN - LEHIGH TAP 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 5.69-mile line; 954 kcmil ACSR	06/01/08		
WERE	ALLEN 69KV Capacitor	Allen 69 kV 15 MVAR Capacitor Addition	06/01/08		
WERE	ALTOONA EAST 69KV Capacitor	ALTOONA EAST 69KV 6 MVAR Capacitor Addition	06/01/08		
WEILE	ALTOONA EAST BARY Sapadilor	Replace Disconnect Switches and Bus Jumpers at Paris and Ark City 69	00/01/00	00/01/10	φ 200,000
WERE	ARKANSAS CITY - PARIS 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	kV substations	06/01/08	06/01/09	\$ 9,889
WERE	ASH GROVE JCT2 - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 2.13 miles	06/01/11		
WERE	ATHENS 69KV Capacitor	Athens 69 kV 15 MVAR Capacitor Addition	06/01/08		
WERE	Athens to Owl Creek 69 kV	Rebuild Athens to Owl Creek (138kV/69kV Operation)	06/01/08		
WERE	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 7.2 miles (138kV/69kV Operation)	06/01/08		
WERE	BURLINGTON JUNCTION - WOLF CREEK 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 4.1 miles (138kV/69kV Operation)	06/01/08		
WERE	CHANUTE TAP - TIOGA 69KV CKT 1	Replace Jumpers	06/01/11		
WERE	CITY OF IOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 4-mile 69 kV line: 954 kcmiol ACSR	06/01/08		
WERE	CITY OF IOLA - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 3.99-mile Rainbow-Winfield 69 kV line, 954 ACSR	06/01/08		
WERE	COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 9.22 miles (138kV/69kV Operation)	06/01/08		
WERE	COFFEY COUNTY NO. 3 WESTPHALIA - GREEN 69KV CKT 1 COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	Replace Disconnect Switches, Wavetrap, Breaker, Jumpers	06/01/08		
WERE	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE #2	Replace Disconnect Switches, Wavetrap, Breaker, Jumpers Replace jumpers and bus, and reset CTs and relaying. Rebuild	06/01/10	06/01/10	\$ 200,000
WEDE			00/04/00	00/04/00	e 00.545
WERE	CRESWELL - OAK 69KV CKT 1 #1 Displacement	substations.	06/01/08		
WERE	DEARING 138KV Capacitor Displacement	Dearing 138 kV 20 MVAR Capacitor Addition			
WERE	EAST MANHATTAN - JEFFREY ENERGY CENTER 230KV CKT 1 Displacement	Rebuild 27 miles 345kV construction operated as 230kV Build new 115kV line from East Manhattan to new SW Manhattan	06/01/13	06/01/13	\$ 467,569
WERE	East Marketter OW Marketter 445W Disclosured	Substation	06/01/11	00/04/44	e 000 740
WERE	East Manhattan - SW Manhattan 115kV Displacement	substation	06/01/11	06/01/11	\$ 386,740
WERE	EAST MANHATTAN (EMANHT3X) 230/115/18.0KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2 Displacement	Add second parallel 230-115kV transformer at E Manhattan	06/01/11	06/01/11	\$ 359,219
		The East Manhattan-McDowell 115 kV is built as a 230 kV line, but is			
		operated at 115 kV. Substation work will have to be performed in order to			
WERE	East Manhattan to Mcdowell 230 kV Displacement	convert this line.	06/01/11	06/01/11	
WERE	EVANS ENERGY CENTER SOUTH - LAKERIDGE 138KV CKT 1 Displacement	Replace Disconnect Switches, Wavetrap, Breaker, Jumpers	06/01/10		
WERE	Green to Vernon 69 kV	Rebuild 7.19 miles Green to Vernon 69 kV (138kV/69kV Operation)	06/01/08		
WERE	LEHIGH TAP - OWL CREEK 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 8.47-mile 69 kV line; 954 kcmil ACSR	06/01/08		
WERE	LEHIGH TAP - UNITED NO. 9 CONGER 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 0.91-mile 69 kV line; 954 kcmiol ACSR	06/01/08		
WERE	LITCHFIELD - AQUARIUS - HUDSON JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1 Displacement	Replace 69 kV disconnect switches at Aquarius.	06/01/14		
WERE	NEOSHO - NORTHEAST PARSONS 138KV CKT 1	Replace bus and Jumpers at NE Parsons 138 kV substation	06/01/09	06/01/09	\$ 250,000
WERE	OAK - RAINBOW 69KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 5.10-mile Oak-Rainbow 69 kV using 954 kcmil ACSR	06/01/08		
WERE	OXFORD 138KV Capacitor Displacement	Install 30 MVAR Capacitor Bank at Oxford 138 kV	06/01/08		
WERE	TECUMSEH ENERGY CENTER - MIDLAND 115KV CKT 1 Displacement	Convert 161 kV Line to 115 kV Operation	06/01/09		
WERE	TIOGA 69KV Capacitor	Tioga 69 kV 15 MVAR Capacitor Addition	06/01/08		
WERE	Vernon to Athens 69 kV	Rebuild 5.17 miles Green to Vernon 69 kV (138kV/69kV Operation)	06/01/08	06/01/11	\$ 2,132,879

Construction Pending Projects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Cost is not assignable to the transmission customer.

				Estimated
			Earliest Data	Date of
			Upgrade	Upgrade
Transmission			Required	Completion
Owner	Upgrade	Solution	(COD)	(EOC)
AEPW	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 AEPW	Tie Line, Reconductor 1.09 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR.	09/01/08	06/01/10
AEPW	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	Rebuild 7.43 miles of 250 CWC with 795 ACSR	06/01/09	06/01/09
OKGE	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	Rebuild 7.43 miles of 250 CWC with 795 ACSR	06/01/09	06/01/09
		Increase CT ration at Pecan Creek from 800-5 to 2000-5 to allow a 1500		
OKGE	MUSKOGEE - PECAN CREEK 345KV CKT 1	amp rating of line section.	06/01/09	06/01/09
OKGE	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV OKGE	New 345 kV line from Sooner to Oklahoma/Kansas	09/01/08	12/01/10
		BATTLEFIELD - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1: Reconductor		
		161kV Line 1192 MCM AAC to 954 kcmil ACSS/TW 2.71 miles and		
SPRM	BATTLEFIELD - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	Upgrade Teminal Equipment	06/01/13	06/01/09
		SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1: Reconductor		
		161kV Line 1192 MCM AAC to 954 kcmil ACSS/TW 0.67 miles and		
SPRM	SOUTHWEST - SOUTHWEST DISPOSAL 161KV CKT 1	Upgrade Teminal Equipment	06/01/13	
WEPL	PHILLIPSBURG - RHOADES 115 kV	Install 35 miles 115 kV from Phillipsburgsubstion to Rhoades	09/01/08	06/01/09
		Tear down / Rebuild 7.3-mile Chase - White Junction 69 kV line. Replace		
WERE	CHASE - WHITE JUNCTION 69KV CKT 1	existing 2/0 copper conductor with 795 kcmil ACSR conductor.	09/01/08	
WERE	COFFEYVILLE TAP - DEARING 138KV CKT 1 WERE	Tie Line, Rebuild 3.93 miles of 795 ACSR with 1590 ACSR.	09/01/08	
WERE	ROSE HILL (ROSEHL1X) 345/138/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 3 Displacement	Add third 345-138 kV transformer at Rose Hill	10/01/08	06/01/11
WERE	Sooner to Rose Hill 345 kV WERE	New 345 kV line from Oklahoma/Kansas Stateline to Rose Hill	09/01/08	12/01/10
WERE	WICHITA - RENO 345KV	Build 345kV from Wichita to Reno Co	09/01/08	12/01/08

Expansion Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Pla	rojects - The requested service is contingent upon completion of the following upgrades. Co	ost is not assignable to the transmission customer.		Estimated Date of Upgrade Completion (EOC)
AEPW	BONANZA - NORTH HUNTINGTON 69KV	Convert from 69KV to 161KV	(COD) 06/01/13	
AEPW	Centerton - East Rogers - Osage Creek 345 kV	Install 41 miles of 345 kV line from Centerton to East Rogers to Osage Creek and Install new 345/161 kV transformer at Osage Creek	06/01/17	06/01/17
AEPW	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 AEPW	Rebuild 17.96 miles of 250 Copperweld with 1272 ACSR.	06/01/09	06/01/09
EMDE	JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 EMDE	Replace Jumpers to breaker #6950 at Blackhawk Jct.	06/01/14	
EMDE	JOPLIN 59 - SUB 439 - STATELINE 161KV CKT 1	Install new line from Sub #439 to new Sub Joplin 59. Install 3-wind transformer from 161 kV Joplin 59 bus to Sub #59 Joplin	06/01/12	06/01/13
EMDE	JOPLIN 59 - SUB 59 - JOPLIN 26TH ST. 161/69kV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	26th St.	06/01/12	06/01/13
EMDE	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T SUB 152 - MONETT H.T. 69KV CKT 1	Change CT Ratio on breaker #6936 at Aurora #124	06/01/09	06/01/09
EMDE	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T SUB 383 - MONETT 161KV CKT 1	Change CT Ratio at Sub #383 on Breaker #16186 for 268 MVA Rate B Install 3 - stages of 22 MVAR each for total of 66 MVAR capacitor bank at	06/01/17	06/01/17
EMDE	SUB 124 - AURORA H.T. 161KV	Aurora Sub #124 bus# 547537	06/01/13	06/01/13
		Replace Disconnect Switches and Leads on Breaker #6965 at Sub #64 and		
EMDE	SUB 145 - JOPLIN WEST 7TH - SUB 64 - JOPLIN 10TH ST. 69KV CKT 1	#6932 at Sub #145	06/01/10	06/01/10
EMDE	SUB 170 - NICHOLS ST SUB 80 - MARSHFIELD JCT. 69KV CKT 1	Reconductor line from Sub #80 to Sub #170 from 1/0 CU to 556 ACSR and replace Jumpers in Sub #80	06/01/12	06/01/12
		Install new line from Sub #383 to new Sub MONETT 5. Install 3-wind		
EMDE	SUB 383 - MONETT - SUB 376 - MONETT CITY SOUTH 161/69/12.5KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	transformer from 161 kV new bus to Monett city south 69kV	06/01/15	06/01/15
EMDE	SUB 389 - JOPLIN SOUTHWEST - SUB 422 - JOPLIN 24TH & CONNECTICUT 161KV CKT 1	Change CT Ratio at Sub #389 on Breaker #16170 for 268 MVA Rate B	09/01/08	06/01/09
Embe		Install 3 - stages of 22 MVAR each for a total of 66 MVAR capacitor bank	00/01/00	00/01/00
EMDE	SUB 438 - RIVERSIDE 161KV	at Riverside Sub #438 547497	06/01/11	06/01/11
EMDE	SUB 73 - BOLIVAR BURNS 69KV	Add 14 MVAR cap bank at Bolivar Sub# 73 bus# 547528 Rebuild 22 miles of line from 4/0 Cu to 795 ACSR for 161kV	06/01/15	
GRDA INDN	KERR - PENSACOLA 115KV CKT 1 SHRANK ROAD - SUB I 69KV CKT 1	Reconductor line with 556 ACSR	12/01/12 06/01/12	12/01/12 06/01/12
INDN	SUBSTATION M 161/69KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Add second 100 MVA xfr at Subsation M	06/01/10	06/01/10
KACP	MERRIAM - ROELAND PARK 161KV CKT 1	reconductor with 1192 acsr; upgrade term equip 1200 A	06/01/17	
KACP	REDEL - STILWELL 161KV CKT 1	Reconductor line with 1192 ACSS and upgrade terminal equipment for 2000 amps	00/01/09	06/01/44
NAGP	INCOLE - SHEWELL IDINY ON I	Tear down and rebuild 73.4% Ownership 28.79 mile HEC-Huntsville 115	09/01/08	06/01/11
MIDW	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 MIDW	kV line and replace CT, wavetrap and relays.	06/01/16	06/01/16
		Rebuild 26.5 miles Huntsville - St. John 115 kV line and replace CT,		
MIDW MIPU	HUNTSVILLE - ST_JOHN 115KV CKT 1 BELTON SOUTH - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	wavetrap, breakers, and relays. Reconductor to Bundled Drake	06/01/16	06/01/16
MIPU	BLUE SPRINGS EAST - DUNCAN ROAD 161KV CKT 1	Upgrade to conductor Bundled Drake	09/01/08	06/01/13
MIPU	BLUE SPRINGS EAST CAP BANK	Add 50 MVAR cap bank at Blue Springs East	06/01/10	
		Add a new 161/34.5 kV Sub at Edmond tapping the Cook to Lake Road		
MIPU MIPU	EDMOND SUB MARTIN CITY - TURNER ROAD SUBSTATION 161KV CKT 1	161 kV line Upgrade to bundled 795 26/7 ACSR conductor	06/01/09 09/01/08	06/01/10
MIPU	RALPH GREEN 12MVAR CAPACITOR	12MVAR at Ralph Green	06/01/10	
OKGE	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 OKGE	Rebuild 17.96 miles of 250 Copperweld with 1272 ACSR.	06/01/09	
00004		Reconductor 69kV Line 636 MCM ACSR to 762.8 kcmil ACSS/TW 3.103	00/04/45	00/04/45
SPRM	JAMES RIVER - TWIN OAKS 69KV CKT 1	miles. Reconductor 69kV Line 636 MCM ACSR to 762.8 kcmil ACSS/TW 1.35	06/01/15	06/01/15
SPRM	KICKAPOO - SUNSET 69KV CKT 1	miles.	06/01/14	06/01/14
SPRM	NEERGARD - NORTON 69KV CKT 1	Transfer load & Reconductor 336.4 kcmil ACSR with 477 ACSS/TW	10/01/10	
SPS SUNC	TUCO INTERCHANGE 345/115KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1 HOLCOMB - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	Install 345/115 kV Transformer at Tuco Rebuild Holcomb to Plymell	06/01/17 12/01/09	06/01/17 12/01/09
SUNC	NORTH CIMARRON CAPACITOR	Install 24 MVAR Capacitor bank at North Cimarron	06/01/12	
SUNC	PIONEER TAP - PLYMELL 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild Plymell to Pioneer Tap	12/01/09	12/01/09
SWPA	BULL SHOALS - BULL SHOALS 161KV CKT 1	Replace buswork in Bull Shoals switchyard.	06/01/09	06/01/10
SWPA	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 SWPA	Replace wave trap, disconnect switches, current transformers, and breaker. Bus will limit rating to 1340 amps.	06/01/09	06/01/10
SWPA	NIXA 161KV CAP BANK	25Mvar Cap at Nixa	06/01/03	
SWPA	SPRINGFIELD (SPF X3) 161/69/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Add Third Transformer	10/01/10	
		Integrate SUNC North Cimarron Top into reconfigured WEPL Cimarron		
WEPL WEPL	Cimarron Plant Substation Expansion CLAY CENTER - GREENLEAF 115KV CKT 1	Plant Sub Building a new 115 kV tie with Westar from Greenleaf to Clay Center	06/01/12 09/01/08	06/01/12
WEPL	HARPER 138KV Capacitor	Install 1 - 20 MVar capacitor bank	09/01/08	06/01/09
WEPL	PRATT - ST JOHN 115KV CKT 1	Replace terminal equipment	06/01/17	06/01/17
WEPL WERE	PRATT 138KV Capacitor 95TH & WAVERLY - CAPTAIN JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	Install 1 - 20 MVar capacitor bank Rebuild 7.61 miles from 95th & Waverly-Captain Junction 115 kV line.	09/01/08	06/01/09 06/01/17
WERE	ANZIO - FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Tear down / Rebuild 4.85-mile line, 1192.5 kcmil ACSR	06/01/17	06/01/17
WERE	AUBURN ROAD (AUBRN77X) 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Add second Auburn 230-115 kV transformer.	06/01/16	
WEDE		Debuild 0.0 ml 445 M line Discords to 2020		0.000.000-
WERE	BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP 115KV CKT 1 BISMARK JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MIDLAND JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 2.9 mi 115 kV line Bismark to COOP Rebuild 5.2 miles Bismark to Midland 115 kV line	06/01/15	06/01/15
WERE	CHAPMAN - CLAY CENTER JUNCTION 115KV CKT 1	Reset terminal equipment	09/01/08	
WERE	COWSKIN - WESTLINK 69KV	Rebuild 2.11 miles	06/01/10	06/01/10
WERE	EDWARDSVILLE 115KV Capacitor	Install 30 Mvar cap at Edwardsville 115 kV	06/01/12	06/01/12
WERE	FARMERS CONSUMER CO-OP - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 1.53-mile Co-on-Wakarusa 115 kV line	06/01/17	06/01/17
	FORT JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION - MCDOWELL CREEK SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT I	Rebuild 11-mile McDowell-Ft Junction 115 kV 1&2 with one 2x1192.5 kcmil		
WERE	CKT 1	ACSR circuit	06/01/09	06/01/09
WEDE	East Darma DW/ Darmatica 404 IN/	Tap Litchfield-Marmaton 161 kV with new SW Bourbon Sub to Ft Scott,	00/04/40	00/04/40
WERE	Fort Scott - SW Bourbon 161 kV GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - INTERSTATE 138KV CKT 1	and new 161/69 kV transformer at Ft Scott. Replace wave trap	06/01/10	06/01/10
		Tear down / Rebuild 3.69-mile Gill-Gill Junction-Oatville 69 kV line using	30/01/10	30/01/10
WERE	GILL ENERGY CENTER EAST - OATVILLE 69KV CKT 1	1192.5 kcmil ACSR	09/01/08	06/01/08
WERE	HOOVER SOUTH - TYLER 69KV	Rebuild 1.94 miles	06/01/10	06/01/10
WERE	HUNTSVILLE - HUTCHINSON ENERGY CENTER 115KV CKT 1 WERE	Tear down and rebuild 26.6% Ownership 28.79 mile HEC-Huntsville 115 kV line and replace CT, wavetrap and relays.	06/01/16	06/01/16
WERE	LAWRENCE HILL - MOCKINGBIRD HILL SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild Line	06/01/17	
		Tear down / Rebuild 7.23-mile Summit-Northview 115 kV, 1192.5 kcmil		
WERE	NORTHVIEW - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	ACSR	09/01/08	12/01/09
WERE	ROSE HILL JUNCTION - WEAVER 69KV CKT 1	Rebuild 5.73 mile Weaver-Rose Hill Junction as a 138 kV line but operate at 69 kV.	09/01/08	06/01/09
		Build 6.5-mile Summit-Southgate 115 kV, 1192.5 kcmil ACSR	33/01/00	30/01/03
WERE	SOUTHGATE - SUMMIT 115KV CKT 1	Tear down Northview-South Gate 115 kV	09/01/08	12/01/09
WEDE	SOUTHWEST LAWRENCE - WAKARUSA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Debuild 4.00 mile SM/Leurence Mel 445 UV/I	00/04/10	00/04/10
WERE	DUD ITTIVED LAWKENCE - WAKARUDA JUNCTION SWITCHING STATION 115KV CKT 1	Rebuild 4.09 mile SW Lawrence-Wakarusa 115 kV line Rebuild 11.62-mile Jarbalo-NW Leavenworth 115 kV line and tap in & out	06/01/16	06/01/16
WERE	STRANGER CREEK - NW LEAVENWORTH 115KV	of Stranger 115 kV	06/01/11	06/01/11
WERE	STRANGER CREEK TRANSFORMER CKT 2	Install second Stranger Creek 345-115 transformer	06/01/09	06/01/09
WERE	TYLER - WESTLINK 69KV	Rebuild 2.66 miles and operate line normally closed	06/01/10	06/01/10

Previously Assigned Aggregate Study Upgrades requiring credits to Previous Aggregate Study Customers.

				Estimated
			Earliest Data	Date of
			Upgrade	Upgrade
Transmission			Required	Completion
Owner	Upgrade	Solution	(COD)	(EOC)
AEPW	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV AEPW	Vallient 345 KV line terminal	07/01/12	07/01/12
EMDE	SUB 110 - ORONOGO JCT SUB 167 - RIVERTON 161KV CKT 1	Reconductor Oronogo 59467 to Riverton 59469 with Bundled 556 ACSR	06/01/11	06/01/11
WFEC	HUGO POWER PLANT - VALLIANT 345 KV WFEC	New 345/138 kv Auto, and 19 miles 345 KV	07/01/12	07/01/12

#### Table 5 - Third Party Facility Constraints

Transmission			Upgrade		Estimated Engineering &
Owner	UpgradeName				Construction Cost
ENTR	MAGAZINE REC - NORTH MAGAZINE 161KV CKT 1 ENTR	Rebuild 7.43 miles of 250 CWC with 795 ACSR	06/01/09	06/01/09	Indeterminate
ENTR	DANVILLE (APL) - MAGAZINE REC 161KV CKT 1 ENTR	Rebuild 17.96 miles of 250 Copperweld with 1272 ACSR.	06/01/09	06/01/09	Indeterminate
AECI	HUBEN (HUBEN) 345/161/13.8KV TRANSFORMER CKT 1	Indeterminate	06/01/16	06/01/16	Indeterminate
AECI	JAMESVILLE - SUB 415 - BLACKHAWK JCT. 69KV CKT 1 AECI	Reset CT	06/01/14	06/01/14	Indeterminate
ENTR	5ST_JOE 161.00 - EVERTON 161KV CKT 1	Indeterminate	10/01/08	10/01/08	Indeterminate
ENTR	DARDANELLE - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1 ENTR	Indeterminate	06/01/09	06/01/09	Indeterminate
ENTR	EVERTON - HARRISON-EAST 161KV CKT 1	Indeterminate	12/01/08	12/01/08	Indeterminate
ENTR	HARRISON-EAST - SUMMIT 161KV CKT 1	Indeterminate	06/01/11	06/01/11	Indeterminate
ENTR	RUSSELLVILLE EAST - RUSSELLVILLE SOUTH 161KV CKT 1	Indeterminate	06/01/09	06/01/09	Indeterminate