



**SPP**

*Southwest  
Power Pool*

***System Impact Study  
SPP-2006-077  
For Transmission Service  
Requested By:  
American Electric Power***

***From AEPW to AEPW***

***For a Reserved Amount Of  
45 MW***

***From 05/23/06  
To 05/24/06***

# ***SPP Transmission Planning***

|                                   |           |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>2. INTRODUCTION .....</b>      | <b>6</b>  |
| <b>3. STUDY METHODOLOGY.....</b>  | <b>8</b>  |
| A. DESCRIPTION .....              | 8         |
| B. MODEL UPDATES .....            | 8         |
| C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS .....        | 8         |
| <b>4. STUDY RESULTS .....</b>     | <b>9</b>  |
| <b>5. CONCLUSION.....</b>         | <b>14</b> |

## **1. Executive Summary**

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for daily firm transmission service from AEPW to AEPW. The period of the transaction is from 05/23/06 to 05/24/06. The request is for reservation 1084995 for the amount of 45 MW.

The 45 MW transaction from AEPW to AEPW has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: BRKCLAVALPIT, DANMAGANOFTS, FTSXFR500345, MUSCLAMUSRSS, NWTPATLYDVAL, and PITSEMPITSUN. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using curtailment of reservations and generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

## **2. Introduction**

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for transmission service from AEPW to AEPW.

There are six constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- BRKCLAVALPIT: Broken Bow Dam to Clayton 138 kV line for the loss of Valliant to Pittsburg 345 kV line
- DANMAGANOFTS: Dansville to Magazine Rec161 kV line for the loss of Arkansas Nuclear One to Fort Smith 500 kV line
- FTSXFR500345: Fort Smith 161/168 kV XFR for the loss of Fort Smith 161/138 kV XFR
- MUSCLAMUSRSS: Muskogee to Clarksville 345 kV line for the loss of Muskogee to Riverside Station 345 kV line
- NWTPATLYDVAL: Northwest Texarkana to Patterson 138 kV for the loss of Lydia to Valliant 345 kV line.
- PITSEMPITSUN: Pittsburg to Seminole 345 kV line for the loss of the Pittsburg to Sunnyside 345 kV line.

### **3. Study Methodology**

#### **A. Description**

Southwest Power Pool used Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. MUST calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2006 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### **C. Transfer Analysis**

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by MUST is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

## 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of request 1084995, six flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief is as follows:

**Table 1**

| Flowgates    | Sensitivity (%) | Duration | Required Relief (MW) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|
| BRKCLAVALPIT | 4.0             | May 23   | 2                    |
| DANMAGANOFTS | 3.5             | May 23   | 2                    |
| FTSXFR500345 | 4.9             | May 23   | 2                    |
| MUSCLAMUSRSS | 11.0            | May 23   | 5                    |
| NWTPATLYDVAL | 9.6             | May 23   | 4                    |
| PITSEMPITSUN | 12.4            | May 23   | 6                    |

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for the flowgates in question.

**Table 2**

| Source     | Sink            | BRKVALVALPIT Sensitivity (%) | DANMAGANOFTS Sensitivity (%) | FTSXFR500345 Sensitivity (%) |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SWS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 7.6                          | 4.3                          | 6.5                          |
| SWS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 7.8                          | 4                            | 6                            |
| NES (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 7.6                          | 4.6                          | 7.1                          |
| NES (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 7.4                          | 4.9                          | 7.5                          |
| RSS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 7.8                          | 5.6                          | 7.7                          |
| RSS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 8.1                          | 5.3                          | 8.2                          |
| NES (AEPW) | Knox Lee (AEPW) | 7.1                          | 5                            | 7.7                          |

| Source     | Sink            | MUSCLAMUSRSS Sensitivity (%) | NWTPATLYDVAL Sensitivity (%) | PITSEMPITSUN Sensitivity (%) |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SWS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 6.9                          | 15.3                         | 34.3                         |
| SWS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 7                            | 17.2                         | 35.5                         |
| NES (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 21                           | 15.8                         | 19.4                         |
| NES (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 21                           | 13.8                         | 18.1                         |
| RSS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 23.3                         | 16.1                         | 18.5                         |
| RSS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 23.4                         | 14.1                         | 19.7                         |
| NES (AEPW) | Knox Lee (AEPW) | 20.9                         | 13.7                         | 17.7                         |

Table 3 displays the amount of redispatch capacity necessary for each generator pair.

**Table 3**

| Source     | Sink            | BRKVALVALPIT<br>Relief Amount<br>(MW) | DANMAGANOFTS<br>Relief Amount<br>(MW) | FTSXFR500345<br>Relief Amount<br>(MW) |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| SWS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 26                                    | 47                                    | 31                                    |
| SWS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 26                                    | 50                                    | 33                                    |
| NES (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 26                                    | 43                                    | 28                                    |
| NES (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 27                                    | 41                                    | 27                                    |
| RSS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 26                                    | 36                                    | 26                                    |
| RSS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 25                                    | 38                                    | 24                                    |
| NES (AEPW) | Knox Lee (AEPW) | 28                                    | 40                                    | 26                                    |

| Source     | Sink            | MUSCLAMUSRSS<br>Relief Amount<br>(MW) | NWTPATLYDVAL<br>Relief Amount<br>(MW) | PITSEMPITSUN<br>Relief Amount<br>(MW) |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| SWS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 72                                    | 26                                    | 17                                    |
| SWS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 71                                    | 23                                    | 17                                    |
| NES (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 24                                    | 25                                    | 31                                    |
| NES (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 24                                    | 29                                    | 33                                    |
| RSS (AEPW) | Wilkes (AEPW)   | 21                                    | 25                                    | 32                                    |
| RSS (AEPW) | Welsh (AEPW)    | 21                                    | 28                                    | 30                                    |
| NES (AEPW) | Knox Lee (AEPW) | 24                                    | 29                                    | 34                                    |

## **5. Conclusion**

Generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraints. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of the necessary relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.