



SPP

*Southwest
Power Pool*

***System Impact Study
SPP-2005-115
For Transmission Service
Requested By:
American Electric Power***

From AEPW to AEPW

***For a Reserved Amount Of
200 MW
From 08/11/05
To 08/12/05***

SPP Transmission Planning

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2. INTRODUCTION	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY.....	5
A. DESCRIPTION	5
B. MODEL UPDATES	5
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	5
4. STUDY RESULTS	6
5. CONCLUSION.....	8

1. Executive Summary

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for monthly firm transmission service from AEPW to AEPW. The period of the transaction is from 08/11/05 to 08/12/05. The request is for reservation 943072 for the amount of 200 MW.

The 200 MW transaction from AEPW to AEPW has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: REDARCREDARC, RSSEXGRIVRED, RSSEXGSSPPRA, and RSSTPSRSS116. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using curtailment of reservations and generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

2. Introduction

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for transmission service from AEPW to AEPW.

There are four constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- REDARCREDARC: Redbud to Arcadia 345 kV line for the loss of Redbud to Arcadia 345 kV line
- RSSEXGRIVRED: Riverside Station to Okmulgee City 138 kV line for the loss of Riverside to Redbud 345 kV line
- RSSEXGSSPPRA: Riverside Station to Explorer Glenpool 138 kV line for the loss of Sand Springs to Prattville 138 kV line
- RSSTPSRSS116: Riverside Station to Tulsa Power Station 138 kV line for the loss of Riverside Station to 116th Street and Peoria 138 kV line

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. MUST calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2005 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by MUST is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of request 943072, four flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief is as follows:

Table 1

Flowgates	Sensitivity (%)	Duration	Required Relief (MW)
REDARCREDESC	7.8	August 3	16
RSSEXGRIVRED	6.6	August 3	13
RSSEXGSSPPRA	5.5	August 3	11
RSSTPSRSS116	8.1	August 3	16

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for the flowgates in question.

Table 2

Source	Sink	REDARCREDESC Sensitivity (%)	RSSEXGRIVRED Sensitivity (%)	RSSEXGSSPPRA Sensitivity (%)	RSSTPSRSS116 Sensitivity (%)
SWS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	19.4	3.3	5	-
NES (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-	3
SWS (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	22	5.4	8.9	-
TPS (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-	19.1
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	10.9	-	-	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-	-
Welsh (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	12.1	9.7	8.6	8.5
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	8.7	-	3.3	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	8.4	-	3.2	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	11.8	9.6	8.6	8.5

Table 3 displays the amount of redispatch capacity necessary for each generator pair.

Table 3

Source	Sink	REDARCREDARC Sensitivity (MW)	RSSEXGRIVRED Sensitivity (MW)	RSSEXGSSPPRA Sensitivity (MW)	RSSTPSRSS116 Sensitivity (MW)
SWS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	81	400	220	-
NES (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-	540
SWS (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	71	245	124	-
TPS (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-	85
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	143	-	-	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-	-
Welsh (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	129	136	128	191
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	180	-	334	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	186	-	334	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	132	138	128	191

5. Conclusion

Reservation curtailment and generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of one of these relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.