

System Impact Study
SPP-2005-097
For Transmission Service
Requested By:
American Electric Power

From AEPW to AEPW

For a Reserved Amount Of 200 MW From 07/23/05 To 07/26/05

# SPP Transmission Planning

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
2. INTRODUCTION	6
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	8
A. DESCRIPTION B. MODEL UPDATES C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	8
4. STUDY RESULTS	9
5. CONCLUSION	16

## 1. Executive Summary

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for monthly firm transmission service from AEPW to AEPW. The period of the transaction is from 07/23/05 to 07/26/05. The request is for reservation 929991 and 929992 for the amount of 200 MW.

The 200 MW transaction from AEPW to AEPW has an impact on the following flowgates with no AFC: CRAASHVALLYD, ELDLONVALLYD, HPPVALPITVAL, REDACREDARC, RSSEXGSSPPRA, RSSOKMRSSEXP, and RSSTPSTSS116. To provide the AFC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using curtailment of reservations and generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgate(s) in question.

#### 2. Introduction

American Electric Power has requested a system impact study for transmission service from AEPW to AEPW.

There are seven constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- HPPVALPITVAL: Hugo Power Plant to Valiant 138 kV line for the loss of Pittsburg to Valiant 345 KV line
- RSSEXGSSPPRA: Riverside Station to Explorer Glenpool 138 kV line for the loss of Sand Springs to Prattville 138 kV line
- RSSOKMRSSEXP: Riverside Station to Okmulgee City 138 kV line for the loss of Riverside Station to Explorer Okmulgee 138 kV line
- RSSTPSRSS116: Riverside Station to Tulsa Power Station 138 kV line for the loss of Riverside Station to 116<sup>th</sup> Street and Peoria 138 kV line
- CRAASHVALLYD: Craig Junction to Ashdown 138KV line for the loss of Valiant – Lydia 345KV line
- ELDLONVALLYD: Eldorado to Longwood 345KV line for the loss of Valiant to Lydia 345KV line
- REDARCREDARC: Redbud to Arcadia 345/138 xfmr for the loss of Redbud to Arcadia 345/138 xfmr.

### 3. Study Methodology

#### A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST) to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. MUST calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units within the Southwest Power Pool Footprint. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

#### **B. Model Updates**

The 2005 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

#### C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using Managing and Utilizing System Transmission (MUST), specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by MUST is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

# 4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of request 929991 and 929992, seven flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief is as follows:

Table 1

Flowgates	Sensitivity (%)	Duration	Required Relief (MW)
HPPVALPITVAL	3.5	July 23-26	7
RSSEXGSSPPRA	5.2	July 23-26	11
RSSOKMRSSEXP	3.6	July 23-26	8
RSSTPSRSS116	8.1	July 23-26	17
CRAASHVALLYD	4.1	July 23-26	9
ELDLONVALLYD	11.6	July 23-26	24
REDARCREDARC	7.3	July 23-26	15

Table 2 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for the flowgates in question.

Table 2

Source	Sink	HPPVALPITVAL Sensitivity (%)	RSSEXGSSPPRA Sensitivity (%)	RSSOKMRSSEXP Sensitivity (%)	RSSTPSRSS116 Sensitivity (%)
SWS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-	4.8	4.2	-
NES (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	3	-	-	3
SWS (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	-	9	6.7	-
TPS (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	3	-	-	19.1
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	-	-	-	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	15	-	-	-
Welsh (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	-	8.6	6.9	8.5
Welsh (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	16.1	-	-	-
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-	-	-	-
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	13	3.2	3.4	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	11.8	3.2	3.3	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	-	8.6	6.8	8.5
Anadarko (WFEC)	Hugo (WFEC)				

Source	Sink	CRAASHVALLYD Sensitivity (%)	ELDLONVALLYD Sensitivity (%)	REDARCREDARC Sensitivity (%)
SWS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-	-	18.8
NES (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-
SWS (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	-	-	21.2
TPS (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	•	-	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	16.3	40.6	-
Welsh (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	16.8	41.8	23.4
Welsh (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	16.6	40.4	-
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	16.8	42.1	=
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	-	-	13.1
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	16.2	42.4	12.5
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	16.2	41.1	22.2
Anadarko (WFEC)	Hugo (WFEC)	-		

Table 3 displays the amount of redispatch capacity necessary for each generator pair.

Table 3

Source	Sink	HPPVALPITVAL Sensitivity (MW)	RSSEXGSSPPRA Sensitivity (MW)	RSSOKMRSSEXP Sensitivity (MW)	RSSTPSRSS116 Sensitivity (MW)
SWS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-	217	171	-
NES (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	233	-	-	540
SWS (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	-	116	108	-
TPS (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	233	-	-	85
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	-	-	-	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	47	-	-	-
Welsh (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	-	121	104	191
Welsh (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	44	-	-	-
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-	-	-	-
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	54	325	212	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	60	325	218	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	-	121	106	191
Anadarko (WFEC)	Hugo (WFEC)				

Source	Sink	CRAASHVALLYD Sensitivity (MW)	ELDLONVALLYD Sensitivity (MW)	REDARCREDARC Sensitivity (MW)
SWS (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	-	-	78
NES (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-
SWS (AEPW)	TPS (AEPW)	-	-	69
TPS (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	-	-	-
SWS (AEPW)	Wilkes (AEPW)	-	-	-
Wilkes (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	51	57	-
Welsh (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	49	56	62
Welsh (AEPW)	SWS (AEPW)	49	58	-
Welsh (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	49	55	-
NES (AEPW)	Welsh (AEPW)	-	-	112
Wilkes (AEPW)	NES (AEPW)	51	55	167
Wilkes (AEPW)	RSS (AEPW)	51	57	67
Anadarko (WFEC)	Hugo (WFEC)	-		

#### 5. Conclusion

Reservation curtailment and generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of one of these relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.