



SPP

*Southwest
Power Pool*

System Impact Study

SPP-2004-077

For Transmission Service

Requested By:

***Southwestern Public Service
Company***

From CSWS to SPS

For a Reserved Amount Of

50 MW

From 06/01/04

To 01/01/05

SPP Transmission Planning

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2. INTRODUCTION	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	5
A. DESCRIPTION	5
B. MODEL UPDATES	5
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	5
4. STUDY RESULTS.....	6
5. CONCLUSION	8

1. Executive Summary

Southwestern Public Service Company has requested a system impact study for monthly firm transmission service from CSWS to SPS. The period of the transaction is from 06/01/04 to 01/1/05. The request is for reservation 654610 for the amount of 50 MW.

The 50 MW transaction from CSWS to SPS has an impact on the following flowgates with no ATC: LACWGRLACSTI and SCODEADELNEO. To provide the ATC necessary for this transfer, the impact on these flowgates must be relieved.

After studying many scenarios using curtailment of reservations and generation redispatch, there are several feasible scenarios that will relieve the flowgates in question.

2. Introduction

Southwestern Public Service Company has requested a system impact study for transmission service from CSWS to SPS.

There are two constrained flowgates that require relief in order for this reservation to be accepted. The flowgates and the explanations are as follows:

- LACWGRLACSTI: Lacygne to West Gardner 345 kV line for the loss of the Lacygne to Stillwell 345 kV
- SCODEADELNEO: South Coffeyville to Dearing 138 kV line for the loss of the Neosho to Delaware 345 kV line.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Southwest Power Pool used the NERC Generator Sensitivity Factor (GSF) Viewer to obtain possible unit pairings that would relieve the constraint. The GSF viewer calculates impacts on monitored facilities for all units above 20MW in the Eastern Interconnection. The SPP ATC Calculator is used to determine response factors for the time period of the reservation.

B. Model Updates

The 2004 Southwest Power Pool model was used for the study. This model was updated to reflect the most current information available.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the short-term calculator, the limiting constraints for the transfer are identified. The response factor of the transfer on each constraint is also determined.

The product of the transfer amount and the response factor is the impact of a transfer on a limiting flowgate that must be relieved. With multiple flowgates affected by a transfer, relief of the largest impact may also provide relief of smaller impacts.

Using the NERC Generator Sensitivity Factor (GSF) Viewer, specific generator pairs are chosen to reflect the units available for redispatch. The quotient of the amount of impact that must be relieved and the generation sensitivity factor calculated by the Viewer is the amount of redispatch necessary to relieve the impact on the affected flowgate.

4. Study Results

After studying the impacts of request 654610, two flowgates require relief. The flowgates and associated amount of relief are displayed in Table 1.

Table 1

Flowgates	Sensitivity (%)	Duration	Required Relief (MW)
LACWGRLACSTI	5.9	June 1 – October 1	3
SCODEADELNEO	5.4	June	3

Table 2 displays a list of reservation paths that offer relief for the flowgates in question.

Table 2

Transactions Path	LACWGRLACSTI Sensitivity (%)	SCODEADELNEO Sensitivity (%)
CSWS – AMRN	9.5	-
OKGE – EES	6.6	-
SPA – WR	9.2	-
SPS – AMRN	6.5	-
WR – KCPL	12.7	-

Table 3 displays the amount of capacity required for each reservation path to relieve the flowgates in question.

Table 3

Transactions Path	LACWGR LACSTI Sensitivity (%)	SCODEADELNEO Sensitivity (%)
CSWS – AMRN	32	-
OKGE – EES	46	-
SPA – WR	33	-
SPS – AMRN	47	-
WR – KCPL	24	-

Table 4 displays a list of generator pairs that are possible relief options for the flowgates in question.

Table 4

Source	Sink	LACWGR LACSTI Sensitivity (%)	SCODEADELNEO Sensitivity (%)
Lieberman (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	6.7	8.3
Pirkey (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	6.5	8.2
Welsh (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	6.2	8.0
Wilkes (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	6.4	8.1

Table 5 displays the amount of redispatch capacity necessary for each generator pair.

Table 5

Source	Sink	LACWGR LACSTI (MW)	SCODEADELNEO (MW)
Lieberman (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	45	37
Pirkey (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	45	37
Welsh (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	45	37
Wilkes (CSWS)	Northeastern (CSWS)	45	37

5. Conclusion

Reservation curtailment and generation redispatch options were studied in order to relieve the necessary constraint. The results of this study shows that the constraints on the flowgates in question could be relieved by executing one or more of the options described in the Study Results section of this document. A Redispatch option agreement can be explored further by contacting Richard Ross, American Electric Power, at 918.594.2906. Before the Transmission Provider accepts the reservations, proof of one of these relief options must be presented to Southwest Power Pool. Noncompliance with this guideline will result in the refusal of the reservation.