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## System Impact Study

Southwestern Public Service Company has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from SPS to the Eddy Co. DC tie for 200 MW. The period of the service requested is from $6 / 1 / 2008$ to $6 / 1 / 2028$. The OASIS reservation number is 628572 . The principal objective of this study is to identify system constraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System and potential system facility upgrades that may be necessary to provide the requested service.

This study was performed for the SPS to EDDY request in order to provide preliminary results identifying facility upgrades that may be required for the requested service. The preliminary study is performed with only confirmed reservations included in the models. The models do not include any reservations, even those with a higher priority, that are still in study mode. The results of the transfer analysis are documented in Table 1 of the report. The results given in Table 1 include upgrades that may be assigned to higher priority requests. If a facility identified for the SPS to EDDY study is also identified for a study with higher priority, the facility will be assigned to the request with the highest priority. If the higher priority customer does not take service, the facility would then be assigned to the SPS to EDDY request. The primary purpose of this preliminary study is to provide the customer with an estimated cost of the facility upgrades that may be required in order to accommodate the requested service.

Ten seasonal models were used to study the SPS to EDDY request for the requested service period. The SPP 2004 Series Cases used to study the impact of the request on the SPP system during the requested service period of 6/1/2008 to $6 / 1 / 2028$ were: 2005 April Minimum, 2005 Spring Peak, 2005 Summer Shoulder, 2005 Summer Peak, 2005 Fall Peak, 2005/06 Winter Peak, 2007 Summer Peak, 2007/08 Winter Peak, 2010 Summer Peak, and 2010/11 Winter Peak. The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect firm transfers during the requested service period that were not already included in the January 2004 base case series models. The scenario studied includes confirmed West to East transfers not already included in the January 2004 base case series models, SPS Exporting, and the Lamar HVDC Tie flowing from Lamar to SPS.

PTI's MUST First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capability (FCITC) DC analysis was used to study the request. The MUST options chosen to conduct the System Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A. The MUST option to convert MVA branch ratings to estimated MW ratings was used to partially compensate for reactive loading.

The study results of the SPS to EDDY transfer show that limiting constraints exist. Due to the limiting constraints identified, the Transmission Service Request cannot be granted. Any solutions, upgrades, and costs provided in the preliminary System Impact Study are planning estimates only. The final ATC and upgrades required may vary from these results due to the status of higher priority requests, unknown facility upgrades and proposed transmission plans that will be identified during the facility study process, and the final results of the full AC analysis.

SPP will also review the possibility of curtailment of previously confirmed service and/or the redispatch of units as an option for relieving the additional impacts on the SPP facilities caused by the SPS to EDDY request. It is the responsibility of the customer to reach an agreement with the applicable party concerning the curtailment of confirmed service and the redispatch of units. The curtailment and redispatch requirements would be called upon prior to implementing NERC TLR Level 5a. These options will be evaluated as part of the Facility Study. Execution of a Facility Study Agreement is now required to maintain queue position. The final upgrade solutions, cost assignments and available redispatch and curtailment options will be determined upon the completion of the facility study.

## Table 1-SPP facility overloads identified for the SPS to EDDY transfer.

| Study <br> Case | From Area From Area | Branch Overload | Rating <MW> | Pre <br> Transfer Loading | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | Solution | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05FA | OKGE-OKGE | 54721 IMO 26954722 CLEVETP2 691 | 36 | 38 | 0.0530 | 54730 SO4TH2 269 *B458 SO4TH 111 | Solution Undetermined | TBD |
| 05SH | WFEC-WFEC | 55898 ELMORE 26956087 WALVILL2 691 | 26 | 30 | 0.0080 | 55911 FLETCHR2 6955990 MARLOWJ2 691 | Solution Undetermined | TBD |
| 05SH | WFEC-WFEC | 55979 LNDSYSW2 6956087 WALVILL2 691 | 26 | 27 | 0.0080 | 55911 FLETCHR2 6955990 MARLOWJ2 691 | Lindsay>Wallvile: 4.9 miles, $1 / 0$ to 336 | \$ 1,000,000 |
| 05SH | WERE-WERE | 56851 AUBURN 623056852 JEC 62301 | 565 | 571 | 0.3400 | 56765 HOYT 734556766 JEC N 73451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 400-Outage of the Jeffrey Energy Center to Hoyt 345kV Line | TBD |
| 05SH | AEPW-AEPW | 54023 OKMULGE4 13854049 EC.HEN-4 1381 | 104 | 124 | 0.1130 | 54023 OKMULGE4 13854057 KELCO 41381 | Replace Okmulgee Wavetrap | \$ 40,000 |
| 05SH | AEPW-AEPW | 54028 WELETK4 13854049 EC.HEN-4 1381 | 104 | 120 | 0.1130 | 54023 OKMULGE4 13854057 KELCO 41381 | Replace Weleetka Wavetrap | \$ 40,000 |
| 05SP | WFEC-WFEC | 55897 ELKCITY2 6954122 ELKCTY-2 691 | 39 | 41 | 0.0100 | 56027 PINERDG2 6956088 WASHITA2 691 | Elk(AEPW)>Elk WFEC: Upgrade $4 / 0$ to 795 ACSR | \$ 414,000 |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57151 AUBURN 311557167 KEENE 31151 | 68 | 81 | 0.0550 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57167 KEENE 311557339 S ALMA 31151 | 68 | 77 | 0.0550 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57335 MCDOWEL3 11557340 SMANHAT3 1151 | 68 | 72 | 0.0550 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900-Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230 kV Line | TBD |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57339 S ALMA 311557340 SMANHAT3 1151 | 68 | 72 | 0.0550 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57151 AUBURN 311557167 KEENE 31152 | 92 | 94 | 0.0630 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57372 PHILIPS3 11557374 SPHILPJ3 1151 | 159 | 160 | 0.3150 | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | Rebuild 0.88 miles and reconductor with 1192.5 ACSR. | 417,200 |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57374 SPHILPJ3 11557438 WMCPHER3 1151 | 67 | 74 | 0.1460 | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | Tear down double circuit, build single circuit with 1192.5 ACSR. | \$ 7,800,000 |
| 05WP | WERE-WERE | 57039 ELPASO 413857046 GILL S 41381 | 210 | 216 | 0.1210 | 57040 EVANS N4 13857041 EVANS S4 1381 | Invalid Contingency | TBD |
| 07SP | WFEC-WFEC | 55917 FRNKLNS4 13854946 MIDWEST4 1381 | 188 | 196 | 0.0700 | 55842 CANADNS4 13854947 CANADN-4 1381 | Replace 800 amp wavetrap with 2000 amp wavetrap at Franklin Switch and 795ACSR jumpers with 1590ACSR, connectors | 24,000 |
| 07SP | OMPA-OMPA | 56204 OMDUNCN4 13854157 COMMTAP4 1381 | 117 | 122 | 0.0470 | 54112 CORNVIL4 13854155 RUSHNGT4 1381 | Rebuild 17.81 miles of 266 ACSR with 795 ACSR | \$ 6,100,000 |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57151 AUBURN 311557167 KEENE 31151 | 68 | 98 | 0.0340 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230 kV Line | TBD |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57167 KEENE 311557339 S ALMA 31151 | 68 | 92 | 0.0340 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57335 MCDOWEL3 11557340 SMANHAT3 1151 | 68 | 83 | 0.0340 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57339 S ALMA 311557340 SMANHAT3 1151 | 68 | 84 | 0.0340 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57151 AUBURN 311557167 KEENE 31152 | 92 | 114 | 0.0390 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57167 KEENE 311557339 S ALMA 31152 | 92 | 106 | 0.0390 | 56852 JEC 623056861 EMANHAT6 2301 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 900 - Outage of the JEC to East Manhattan 230kV Line | TBD |
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## Appendix A

## MUST CHOICES IN RUNNING FCITC DC ANALYSIS

## CONSTRAINTS/CONTINGENCY INPUT OPTIONS

1. AC Mismatch Tolerance -2 MW
2. Base Case Rating - Rate A
3. Base Case $\%$ of Rating $-100 \%$
4. Contingency Case Rating - Rate B
5. Contingency Case $\%$ of Rating - $100 \%$
6. Base Case Load Flow - PSS/E
7. Convert branch ratings to estimated MW ratings - Yes
8. Contingency ID ReSPSting - Labels
9. Maximum number of contingencies to process - 50000

## MUST CALCULATION OPTIONS

1. Phase Shifters Model for DC Linear Analysis - Constant flow for Base Case and Contingencies
2. ReSPSt Base Case Violations with FCITC - Yes
3. Maximum number of violations to reSPSt in FCITC table - 50000
4. Distribution Factor (OTDF and PTDF) Cutoff -0.0
5. Maximum times to reSPSt the same elements - 10
6. Apply Distribution Factor to Contingency Analysis - Yes
7. Apply Distribution Factor to FCITC ReSPSts - Yes
8. Minimum Contingency Case flow change - 1 MW
9. Minimum Contingency Case Distribution Factor change - 0.0
10. Minimum Distribution Factor for Transfer Sensitivity Analysis - 0.0
