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## System Impact Study

City Power \& Light Independence has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from OPPD to INDN for 50 MW . The period of the service requested is from $6 / 1 / 2009$ to $6 / 1 / 2049$. The OASIS reservation number is 624705 . The principal objective of this study is to identify system constraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System and adjacent Third Party transmission systems along with potential system facility upgrades that may be necessary to provide the requested service.

This study was performed for the OPPD to INDN request in order to provide preliminary results identifying facility upgrades that may be required for the requested service. The preliminary study is performed with only confirmed reservations included in the models. The models do not include any reservations, even those with a higher priority, that are still in study mode. The results of the transfer analysis are documented in Tables 1 and 2 of the report. The results given in Table 1 include upgrades of SPP facilities that may be assigned to higher priority requests. The results given in Table 2 include upgrades of Third Party facilities that may be assigned to higher priority requests. If a facility identified for the OPPD to INDN study is also identified for a study with higher priority, the facility will be assigned to the request with the highest priority. If the higher priority customer does not take service, the facility would then be assigned to the OPPD to INDN request. The primary purpose of this preliminary study is to provide the customer with an estimated cost of the facility upgrades that may be required in order to accommodate the requested service.

Four seasonal models were used to study the OPPD to INDN request for the requested service period. The SPP 2004 Series Cases 2007 Summer Peak (07SP), 2007/08 Winter Peak (07WP), 2010 Summer Peak (10SP), and 2010/11 Winter Peak (10WP) were used to study the impact of the request on the SPP system during the requested service period of $6 / 1 / 2009$ to $6 / 1 / 2049$. The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect firm transfers during the requested service period that were not already included in the January 2004 base case series models. The scenario studied includes confirmed East to West transfers not already included in the January 2004 base case series models, SPS Importing, and the Lamar HVDC Tie flowing from Lamar to SPS.

PTI's MUST First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capability (FCITC) DC analysis was used to study the request. The MUST options chosen to conduct the System Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A. The MUST option to convert MVA branch ratings to estimated MW ratings was used to partially compensate for reactive loading.

The study results of the OPPD to INDN transfer show that limiting constraints exist. Due to the limiting constraints identified, the Transmission Service Request cannot be granted. Any solutions, upgrades, and costs provided in the preliminary System Impact Study are planning estimates only. The final ATC and upgrades required may vary from these results due to the status of higher priority requests, unknown facility upgrades and proposed transmission plans that will be identified during the facility study process, and the final results of the full AC analysis.

SPP will also review the possibility of curtailment of previously confirmed service and/or the redispatch of units as an option for relieving the additional impacts on the SPP facilities caused by the OPPD to INDN request. It is the responsibility of the customer to reach an agreement with the applicable party concerning the curtailment of confirmed service and the redispatch of units. The curtailment and redispatch requirements would be called upon prior to implementing NERC TLR Level 5a. These options will be evaluated as part of the Facility Study. Execution of a Facility Study Agreement is now required to maintain queue position. The final upgrade solutions, cost assignments and available redispatch and curtailment options will be determined upon the completion of the facility study.

Table 1 - SPP facility overloads identified for the OPPD to INDN transfer.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Study } \\ & \text { Case } \end{aligned}$ | From Area From Area | Branch Overload | Rating <MW> | Pre <br> Transfer Loading | \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | Solution |  | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 57182 TECHILE3 11557187 27CROCO3 1151 | 67 | 72 | 0.1070 | 57182 TECHILE3 11557187 27CROCO3 1152 | Invalid Contingency |  | TBD |
| 07SP | WERE-WERE | 56851 AUBURN 623056852 JEC 62301 | 565 | 605 | 0.7720 | 56765 HOYT 734556766 JEC N 73451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 400 - Outage of the Jeffrey Energy Center to Hoyt 345kV Line |  | TBD |
| 07WP | WERE-WERE | 56851 AUBURN 623056852 JEC 62301 | 565 | 573 | 0.9910 | 56765 HOYT 734556766 JEC N 73451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 400 - Outage of the Jeffrey Energy Center to Hoyt 345 kV Line |  | TBD |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57182 TECHILE3 11557187 27CROCO3 1151 | 68 | 84 | 0.1060 | 57182 TECHILE3 11557187 27CROCO3 1152 | Invalid Contingency |  | TBD |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57160 41CALIF3 11557188 27CROCJ3 1151 | 68 | 68 | 0.1050 | 57160 41CALIF3 11557188 27CROCJ3 1152 | Invalid Contingency |  | TBD |
| 10SP | AEPW-AEPW | 53142 HUNTING2 6953202 MIDLREA2 691 | 36 | 39 | 0.0370 | 55262 AES 516155264 TARBY 51611 | Solution Undetermined |  | TBD |
| 10SP | INDN-INDN | 59808 ECKLES 516196110 5PITTSV 1611 | 227 | 234 | 0.7370 | 96071 5CLINTN 16196124 5HOLDEN 1611 | Solution Undetermined |  | TBD |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57233 166TH 311557244 JARBALO3 1151 | 97 | 111 | 2.0430 | 57977 CRAIG 734556772 STRANGR7 3451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 800 - Outage of the Stranger Creek to Craig 345 kV Line |  | TBD |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57361 AEC 311557365 EABILEN3 1151 | 68 | 75 | 0.1310 | 57326 EMANHAT3 115 *B240 EMANHT3X 11 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 0633-Outage of the East Manhattan 230-115kV Transformer |  | TBD |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57362 CHAPMAN3 11557365 EABILEN3 1151 | 68 | 71 | 0.1310 | 57326 EMANHAT3 115 *B240 EMANHT3X 11 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 0633-Outage of the East Manhattan 230-115kV Transformer |  | TBD |
| 10SP | SWPA-SWPA | 52634 IDALIA 516196056 5ASHRVL 1611 | 206 | 217 | 0.2280 | 96073 5HARVELE 16196114 5STFRAN 1611 | Reconductor line with 954 ACSR | \$ | 6,600,000 |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 56851 AUBURN 623056852 JEC 62301 | 564 | 592 | 0.7770 | 56765 HOYT 734556766 JEC N 73451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 400-Outage of the Jeffrey Energy Center to Hoyt 345kV Line |  | TBD |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57180 TEC E 311557182 TECHILE3 1151 | 233 | 254 | 0.3630 | 56765 HOYT 734556772 STRANGR7 3451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 803 - Outage of the Hoyt to Stranger 345 kV line |  | TBD |
| 10SP | SWPA-SWPA | 52618 JONESBO5 16199755 5JONES 1611 | 211 | 228 | 0.2140 | 52600 N MADRD5 16152610 KENNETT5 1611 | Change the ratio on the metering CTs to 1200/5 and adjust the meters | \$ | 2,000 |
| 10SP | WERE-WERE | 57153 COLINE 311557182 TECHILE3 1151 | 106 | 124 | 0.2720 | 57180 TEC E 311557182 TECHILE3 1151 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 1203-Outage of the Tecumseh <br> Energy Center (TEC) to Tecumseh Hill 115 kV Line |  | TBD |
| 10WP | WERE-WERE | 57233 166TH 311557244 JARBALO3 1151 | 97 | 101 | 2.1520 | 57977 CRAIG 734556772 STRANGR7 3451 | May be relieved due to Westar Operating Procedure 800-Outage of the Stranger Creek to Craig 345 kV Line |  | TBD |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | This cost may be significantly higher due to additional facilities whose solutions will be determined during the Facility Study process. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Cost of Known Solutions | \$ | 6,602,000 |

## Table 2 - Third Party facility overloads identified for the OPPD to INDN transfer.



## Appendix A

## MUST CHOICES IN RUNNING FCITC DC ANALYSIS

## CONSTRAINTS/CONTINGENCY INPUT OPTIONS

1. AC Mismatch Tolerance -2 MW
2. Base Case Rating - Rate A
3. Base Case $\%$ of Rating - $100 \%$
4. Contingency Case Rating - Rate B
5. Contingency Case $\%$ of Rating - $100 \%$
6. Base Case Load Flow - PSS/E
7. Convert branch ratings to estimated MW ratings - Yes
8. Contingency ID Reporting - Labels
9. Maximum number of contingencies to process - 50000

## MUST CALCULATION OPTIONS

1. Phase Shifters Model for DC Linear Analysis - Constant flow for Base Case and Contingencies
2. Report Base Case Violations with FCITC - Yes
3. Maximum number of violations to report in FCITC table - 50000
4. Distribution Factor (OTDF and PTDF) Cutoff -0.0
5. Maximum times to report the same elements - 10
6. Apply Distribution Factor to Contingency Analysis - Yes
7. Apply Distribution Factor to FCITC Reports - Yes
8. Minimum Contingency Case flow change - 1 MW
9. Minimum Contingency Case Distribution Factor change - 0.0
10. Minimum Distribution Factor for Transfer Sensitivity Analysis - 0.0
