## Southwest

 Power PoolSystem Impact Study SPP-2003-182-1
For Transmission Service Requested By Tenaska Power Services Company

From OPPD To EES

For a Redirected Amount Of 50MW From 1/1/2004 To 1/1/2005

SPP Engineering, Tariff Studies

## System Impact Study

Tenaska Power Services Company has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-toPoint transmission service from OPPD to EES for 50 MW . The period of the service requested is from $1 / 1 / 2004$ to $1 / 1 / 2005$. The OASIS reservation number is 542893 . This is a request to redirect the previously confirmed OASIS reservation 260693. Oasis Reservation 260693 is a 50MW transfer from ERCOTE to EES. The principal objective of this study is to identify system constraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System and potential system facility upgrades that may be necessary to provide the requested service.

The OPPD to EES request was studied to determine the facility upgrades required based on the actual queue position of the request. Only the higher priority requests in Facility Study mode were considered in developing the study models. The results of the transfer analysis are documented in Table 1. The results given in Table 1 include upgrades that may be assigned to higher priority requests. The results of this study gives the customer an estimated cost of the facility upgrades that may be required in order to accommodate the OPPD to EES request for redirected service.

Six seasonal models were used to study the OPPD to EES request for the requested service period. The SPP 2003 Series Cases 2003/04 Winter Peak (03WP), 2004 April Min (04AP), 2004 Spring Peak (04G), 2004 Summer Peak ( 04 SP ), 2004 Fall Peak ( 04 FA ) and 2004/05 Winter Peak (04WP) were used to study the impact of the request on the SPP system during the requested service period of $1 / 1 / 2004$ to $1 / 1 / 2005$. The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect firm transfers during the requested service period that were not already included in the January 2003 base case series models.

PTI's MUST First Contingency Incremental Transfer Capability (FCITC) DC analysis was used to study the request. The MUST options chosen to conduct the System Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A. The MUST option to convert MVA branch ratings to estimated MW ratings was used to partially compensate for reactive loading.

The study results of the OPPD to EES transfer show that limiting constraints exist. Due to the limiting constraints identified, the Transmission Service Request cannot be granted. Any solutions, upgrades, and costs provided in the System Impact Study are planning estimates only. The final ATC and upgrades required may vary from these results due to the status of higher priority requests, unknown facility upgrades and proposed transmission plans that will be identified during the facility study process, and the final results of the full AC analysis. Evaluation of the right to renew for future years was not performed. Renewal rights will be evaluated as part of the facility study. Execution of a Facility Study Agreement is now required to maintain queue position. The final upgrade solutions and cost assignments will be determined upon the completion of the facility study.

Table 1 - SPP facility overloads identified for the OPPD to EES transfer as a redirect of ERCOTE to EES service

| Study <br> Case | From Area To Area | Branch Overload | Rating <MW> | Pre Transfer Loading | OPPD to EES \%TDF | ERCOTE to EES \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | $\begin{gathered} \text { ATC } \\ \text { <MW> } \end{gathered}$ | Solution | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03WP | WERE-WERE | 57372 PHILIPS3 11557374 SPHILPJ3 1151 | 159 | 159 | 0.217 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | Rebuild 0.88 miles and reconductor with 1192.5 ACSR. | \$ 417,200 |
| 03WP | WERE-WERE | 57374 SPHILPJ3 11557438 WMCPHER3 1151 | 67 | 73 | 0.101 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | Tear down double circuit, build single circuit with 1192.5 ACSR. | \$ 7,800,000 |
| 04G | WERE-WERE | 57342 WJCCTY 311557343 WJCCTYE3 1151 | 141 | 152 | 0.086 | N/A | 56873 SUMMIT 6230 *B168 SUMMIT1X 11 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 612 - Outage of Summit 230/115kV Transformer |  |
| 04G | WERE-WERE | 57623 ATHENS 26957631 CC4VERN2 691 | 43 | 43 | 0.376 | N/A | 56791 BENTON 734556797 WOLFCRK7 3451 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 300-Outage of Benton - Wolf Creek 345 kV Line |  |
| 04G | WERE-WERE | 57631 CC4VERN2 6957636 GREEN 2691 | 43 | 44 | 0.383 | N/A | 56794 ROSEHIL7 34556797 WOLFCRK7 3451 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 301- Outage of Rose Hill - Wolf Creek 345kV Line |  |
| 04SP | AEPW-AEPW | 53133 ECNTRTN5 16153187 GENTRYR5 1611 | 353 | 360 | 0.399 | 0.347 | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153170 TONTITN5 1611 | 0 | Rebuild 19.16 miles of 2-397.5 ACSR with 2156 ACSR. Replace East Centerton Wavetrap \& jumpers | \$ 8,000,000 |
| 04SP | AEPW-AEPW | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153170 TONTITN5 1611 | 311 | 408 | 0.704 | 0.104 | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153187 GENTRYR5 1611 | 0 | Rebuild 16.3 miles of 2-297 ACSR with 2156 ACSR. Replace Flint Creek wavetrap \& jumpers. Replace Flint Creek switch \# 1K75 | \$ 8,200,000 |
| 04SP | AEPW-AEPW | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153187 GENTRYR5 1611 | 354 | 376 | 0.399 | 0.347 | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153170 TONTITN5 1611 | 0 | Rebuild 1.09 miles of 2-397.5 ACSR with 2156 ACSR. Replace Flint Creek wavetrap \& jumpers | \$ 450,000 |
| 04SP | AEPW-AEPW | 53849 TERNITP4 13853869 VERDIGS4 1381 | 149 | 155 | 0.530 | N/A | 53857 OWASSOS4 13853945 N.E.S.-4 1381 | 0 | Solution Undetermined |  |
| 04SP | AEPW-AEPW | 54023 OKMULGE4 13854049 EC.HEN-4 1381 | 105 | 115 | 0.758 | N/A | 54023 OKMULGE4 13854057 KELCO 41381 | 0 | Replace Okmulgee Wavetrap | \$ 40,000 |
| 04SP | AEPW-AEPW | 54028 WELETK4 13854049 EC.HEN-4 1381 | 105 | 110 | 0.758 | N/A | 54023 OKMULGE4 13854057 KELCO 41381 | 0 | Replace Weleetka Wavetrap | \$ 40,000 |
| 04SP | OKGE-OKGE | 55177 PARKLN 26955187 AHLOSTP2 691 | 72 | 77 | 0.272 | N/A | 55177 PARKLN 26955182 VALLYVU2 691 | 0 | Solution Undetermined |  |
| 04SP | OKGE-OKGE | 55221 MUSKOGE2 6955222 MUSKOGE5 1612 | 41 | 42 | 0.073 | 0.038 | 55221 MUSKOGE2 6955222 MUSKOGE5 1613 | 0 | Replace the existing 2-41MVA 161/69 kV transformers with 1-100MVA in approximately 2005 at OKGE expense. |  |
| 04SP | WERE-WERE | 57153 COLINE 3115 *B034 COLINE5X 11 | 66 | 72 | 0.119 | 0.012 | 56772 STRANGR7 345 *B166 STRNGR1X 11 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 612 - Outage of Stranger Creek 345/115kV Transformer |  |
| 04SP | WERE-WERE | 57182 TECHILE3 11557187 27CROCO3 1151 | 67 | 70 | 0.175 | N/A | 57182 TECHILE3 11557187 27CROCO3 1152 | 0 | Solution Undetermined |  |
| 04SP | WERE-WERE | 57588 CHASE 26957605 WHITE J2 691 | 43 | 50 | 0.184 | N/A | 56991 WEAVER 4138 *B183 WEAVER2X 11 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 634 - Outage of Weaver 138/69kV Transformer |  |
| 04SP | WERE-WERE | 57604 WEAVER 26957837 RH JCT 2691 | 43 | 44 | 0.414 | N/A | 57039 ELPASO 413857042 FARBER 41381 | 0 | Move Rose Hill Jct. 69 kV load to Rose Hill 345/138 kV substation. Requires new transformer bay and a new 25 MVA $138-12 \mathrm{kV}$ transformer. | \$ 1,400,000 |
| 04SP | OKGE-OKGE | 54852 SLVRLAK4 13854854 PANTHER4 1381 | 286 | 292 | 0.369 | N/A | 54873 LONEOAK4 13854879 NORTWST4 1381 | 50 | Upgrade completed by OKGE. Rate A/B $=478 / 478 \mathrm{MVA}$ |  |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57301 EAST ST3 11557309 WEMPORI3 1151 | 92 | 97 | 0.174 | N/A | 57305 MORRIS 311557309 WEMPORI3 1151 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 1209-Outage of Morris - West Emporia 115kV Line |  |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57342 WJCCTY 311557343 WJCCTYE3 1151 | 141 | 163 | 0.083 | N/A | 56873 SUMMIT 6230 *B168 SUMMIT1X 11 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 612 - Outage of Summit 230/115kV Transformer |  |
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Table 1 - continued - SPP facility overloads identified for the OPPD to EES transfer as a redirect of ERCOTE to EES service

| Study <br> Case | From Area To Area | Branch Overload | Rating <MW> | Pre Transfer Loading | OPPD to EES \%TDF | ERCOTE to EES \%TDF | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ATC } \\ & \text { <MW > } \end{aligned}$ | Solution | Estimated Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57368 EXIDE J3 11557372 PHILIPS3 1151 | 196 | 198 | 0.139 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | Rebuild and reconductor 0.34 miles with 1192 ACSR. | \$ 95,200 |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57368 EXIDE J3 11557381 SUMMIT 31151 | 196 | 209 | 0.139 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Rebuild and reconductor } 4.94 \text { miles with } \\ 1192 \text { ACSR. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,100,000 |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57372 PHILIPS3 11557374 SPHILPJ3 1151 | 156 | 180 | 0.214 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility |  |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57374 SPHILPJ3 11557438 WMCPHER3 1151 | 66 | 83 | 0.100 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility |  |
| 04FA | WERE-WERE | 57374 SPHILPJ3 11557438 WMCPHER3 1152 | 90 | 97 | 0.115 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility |  |
| 04FA | AEPW-AEPW | 53824 SHEFFD-4 13853827 S.S.---4 1381 | 139 | 139 | 0.848 | N/A | 53769 WEKIWA-4 13853835 WED-TAP4 1381 | 30 | Replace Sand Springs switch 1306, $1307, \& 1308$ | 75,000 |
| 04FA | AEPW-AEPW | 53783 LLAN ET4 13853802 CATOOSA4 1381 | 234 | 244 | 1.352 | N/A | 53819 ONETA--7 34553955 N.E.S.-7 3451 | 50 | Incorrect rating in the non-summer cases. Rate $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}=237 / 265 \mathrm{MVA}$ |  |
| 04FA | OKGE-OKGE | 54852 SLVRLAK4 13854854 PANTHER4 1381 | 286 | 300 | 0.378 | N/A | 54873 LONEOAK4 13854879 NORTWST4 1381 | 50 | Upgrade completed by OKGE. Rate A/B $=478 / 478 \mathrm{MVA}$ |  |
| 04WP | AEPW-AEPW | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153170 TONTITN5 1611 | 334 | 340 | 0.714 | 0.118 | 53139 FLINTCR5 16153187 GENTRYR5 1611 | 0 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility |  |
| 04WP | WERE-WERE | 57342 WJCCTY 311557343 WJCCTYE3 1151 | 141 | 144 | 0.085 | N/A | 56873 SUMMIT 6230 *B167 SUMMIT1X 11 | 0 | May be relieved due to WERE Operating Guide 612 - Outage of Summit 230/115kV Transformer |  |
| 04WP | WERE-WERE | 57372 PHILIPS3 11557374 SPHILPJ3 1151 | 156 | 160 | 0.218 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility |  |
| 04WP | WERE-WERE | 57374 SPHILPJ3 11557438 WMCPHER3 1151 | 66 | 74 | 0.101 | N/A | 56872 EMCPHER6 23056873 SUMMIT 62301 | 0 | See Previous Upgrade Specified for Facility |  |
| 04WP | AEPW-AEPW | 53783 LLAN ET4 13853802 CATOOSA4 1381 | 234 | 238 | 1.353 | N/A | 53819 ONETA--7 34553955 N.E.S.-7 3451 | 50 | Incorrect rating in the non-summer cases. Rate $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}=237 / 265 \mathrm{MVA}$ |  |
| 04WP | OKGE-OKGE | 54852 SLVRLAK4 13854854 PANTHER4 1381 | 287 | 292 | 0.377 | N/A | 54873 LONEOAK4 13854879 NORTWST4 1381 | 50 | Upgrade completed by OKGE. Rate A/B $=478 / 478 \mathrm{MVA}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Estimated Cost | \$ 27,617,400 |

## MUST CHOICES IN RUNNING FCITC DC ANALYSIS

## CONSTRAINTS/CONTINGENCY INPUT OPTIONS

1. AC Mismatch Tolerance -2 MW
2. Base Case Rating - Rate A
3. Base Case $\%$ of Rating - $100 \%$
4. Contingency Case Rating - Rate B
5. Contingency Case \% of Rating - $100 \%$
6. Base Case Load Flow - PSS/E
7. Convert branch ratings to estimated MW ratings - Yes
8. Contingency ID Reporting - Labels
9. Maximum number of contingencies to process - 50000

## MUST CALCULATION OPTIONS

1. Phase Shifters Model for DC Linear Analysis - Constant flow for Base Case and Contingencies
2. Report Base Case Violations with FCITC - Yes
3. Maximum number of violations to report in FCITC table - 50000
4. Distribution Factor (OTDF and PTDF) Cutoff -0.0
5. Maximum times to report the same elements - 10
6. Apply Distribution Factor to Contingency Analysis - Yes
7. Apply Distribution Factor to FCITC Reports - Yes
8. Minimum Contingency Case flow change - 1 MW
9. Minimum Contingency Case Distribution Factor change -0.0
10. Minimum Distribution Factor for Transfer Sensitivity Analysis - 0.0
