

System Impact Study SPP-2003-049
For Transmission Service
Requested By
The Energy Authority

From MPS To KACY

For a Reserved Amount Of 5 MW From 10/01/2003 To 10/01/2004

SPP Tariff Studies

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2003-049) October 1, 2003 Page 1 of 10

# **Table of Contents**

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2. INTRODUCTION	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	5
A. DESCRIPTION	5
B. MODEL UPDATES	5
C. Transfer Analysis	5
D. UPGRADE ANALYSIS	5
4. STUDY RESULTS	6
	6
A. STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS	7
A. STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS  TABLE 1 – SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE MPS TO KACY 5 MW TRANSFER	/
TABLE 1 – SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE MPS TO KACY 5 MW TRANSFER	7
TABLE 1 – SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE MPS TO KACY 5 MW TRANSFER  TABLE 2 – NON-SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE MPS TO KACY 5 MW TRANSFER	

## 1. Executive Summary

The Energy Authority (TEA) has requested a system impact study for Point-to-Point Service from MPS to KACY for 5 MW. The requested period of service is from 10/1/03 to 10/1/04. The SWPP OASIS Reservation number is 485220.

The principal objective of this study is to identify current system limitations using AC analyses and to determine the system upgrades necessary to provide the requested service.

Table 1 lists the SPP Facility Overloads caused or impacted by the requested service and includes solutions with engineering and construction costs to alleviate the limiting facilities. Table 2 includes Non - SPP Facility Overloads caused or impacted by the requested service.

The MPS to KACY 5 MW transfer does not create any new overloads or additional impacts on facilities requiring upgrades. Therefore, the service will be accepted.

## 2. Introduction

The Energy Authority (TEA) has requested a system impact study for Point-to-Point Service from MPA to KACY for 5 MW. The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the requested service and determine the least cost solutions required to alleviate the limiting facilities.

This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses. The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the 5 MW transfer and the impact of the required upgrades for service on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP systems and first tier Non - SPP systems.

## 3. Study Methodology

## A. Description

The system impact analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the 5 MW transfer on the SPP and first tier Non - SPP systems. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

#### **B.** Model Updates

SPP used nine seasonal models to study the MPS to KACY 5 MW transfer for the requested service period. The SPP 2003 Series Cases 2003 Fall Peak (03FA), 2003 Winter Peak (03WP), 2004 April Minimum (04AP), 2004 Spring Peak (04G), 2004 Summer Peak (04SP), 2004 Fall Peak (04FA), 2004 Winter Peak (04WP), 2009 Summer Peak (09SP), and 2009/10 Winter Peak (09WP) were used to study the impact of the 5 MW transfer on the SPP system during the requested service period of 10/1/03 to 10/1/2004 and the extended service period to determine possible limitations on renewal of service.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The base case models include confirmed East to West transfers not already included in the January 2003 base case series models, SPS Importing, and the Lamar HVDC Tie flowing from Lamar to SPS.

#### C. Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the requested transfer modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfer. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A.

#### D. Upgrade Analysis

An upgrade analysis was not required for the 5 MW MPS to KACY transfer.

# 4. Study Results

### A. Study Analysis Results

Tables 1 and 2 contain the steady-state analysis results of the System Impact Study. The tables identify the seasonal case in which the event occurred, the facility control area location, applicable ratings of the overloaded facility, the loading percentage with and without the studied transfer, and the estimated ATC value using interpolation if calculated. Comments are provided in the tables to document any SPP or Non - SPP identification or assignment of the event, existing mitigations plans or criteria to disregard the event as a limiting constraint, upgrades and costs to mitigate a limiting constraint, or any specific study procedures associated with modeling an event.

Table 1 lists the SPP Facility Overloads caused or impacted by the 5 MW transfer. Solutions with engineering and construction costs are provided in the tables.

Table 2 lists overloads on fist tier Non - SPP Regional Tariff participants' transmission systems caused or impacted by the 5 MW transfer.

Table 1a documents the modeling representation of the events identified in Table 1 to include bus numbers and bus names.

 $\underline{\textbf{Table 1}} - SPP \ Facilities \ Impacted \ by \ the \ MPS \ to \ KACY \ 5 \ MW \ Transfer$ 

Study Year		To Area	Monitored Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC % Loading	TC % Loading	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	ATC (MW)	Solution	Cost
03FA			NONE				NONE			
03WP			NONE				NONE			
04AP			NONE				NONE			
04G			NONE				NONE			
04SP	WERE	WERE	LAWRENCE HILL 230/115/13.8KV TRANSFORMER	308	106.5	106.6	LAWRENCE HILL - MIDLAND JUNCTION 230KV	0	WR Transmission Operating Directive 901, Outage of the Lawrence Hill - Midland Junction 230KV Line	\$ -
04FA			NONE				NONE			
04WP			NONE				NONE			
09SP			NONE				NONE			
09WP			NONE				NONE			

# <u>Table 2</u> – Non-SPP Facilities Impacted by the MPS to KACY 5 MW Transfer

Study Year	From Area	Monitored Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC % Loading	TC % Loading	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	ATC (MW)	Solution	Cost
03FA		NONE				NONE			
03WP		NONE				NONE			
04AP		NONE				NONE			
04G		NONE				NONE			
04SP		NONE				NONE			\$ -
04FA		NONE				NONE			
04WP		NONE				NONE			
09SP		NONE				NONE			
09WP		NONE				NONE			

# 5. Conclusion

The MPS to KACY 5 MW transfer does not create any new overloads or additional impacts on facilities requiring upgrades. Therefore, the service will be accepted.

## Appendix A

#### PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

#### **BASE CASES:**

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply immediately
- 4. Solution options  $\underline{X}$  Phase shift adjustment

\_ Flat start

\_ Lock DC taps

\_ Lock switched shunts

### ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

- 1. MW mismatch tolerance 0.5
- 2. Contingency case rating Rate B
- 3. Percent of rating 100
- 4. Output code Summary
- 5. Min flow change in overload report 1mw
- 6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report YES
- 7. Exclude interfaces from report NO
- 8. Perform voltage limit check YES
- 9. Elements in available capacity table 60000
- 10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table 99999.0
- 11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report -0.02
- 12. Sorted output None

#### **Newton Solution:**

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options X Phase shift adjustment

\_ Flat start

\_ Lock DC taps

Lock switched shunts

# <u>Table 1a</u> – SPP Facilities Impacted by the MPS to KACY 5 MW Transfer

Study Year	From Area	To Area	Monitored Branch Over 100% Rate B	Rate B	BC % Loading	TC % Loading	Outaged Branch Causing Overload	ATC (MW)	Solution	Cost
03FA			NONE				NONE			
03WP			NONE				NONE			
04AP			NONE				NONE			
04G			NONE				NONE			
04SP	WERE	WERE	56853*LAWHILL6 230 WND 1 LAWHL29X 1	308	106.5	106.6	56853 LAWHILL6 230 to 56855 MIDLAND6 230 CKT 1	0	WR Transmission Operating Directive 901, Outage of the Lawrence Hill - Midland Junction 230KV Line	\$ -
04FA			NONE				NONE			
04WP			NONE				NONE			
09SP			NONE				NONE			
09WP			NONE				NONE			