

System Impact Study SPP-2002-217
For Transmission Service
Requested By
Southwestern Public Service
Company

From SPS To EDDY

For a Reserved Amount Of 17MW
From 1/1/03
To 1/1/04

SPP Coordinated Planning

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#SPP-2002-217) December 19, 2002 Page 1 of 13

Table of Contents

| 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
|---|----|
| 2. INTRODUCTION | 4 |
| 3. STUDY METHODOLOGY | 5 |
| A. DESCRIPTIONB. MODEL UPDATES | |
| C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS | |
| 4. STUDY RESULTS | 6 |
| A. STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS TABLE 1 – SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE SPS TO EDDY 17 MW TRANSFER TABLE 2 – NON - SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE SPS TO EDDY 17 MW TRANSFER TABLE 3 – PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE SPS TO EDDY 17 MW TRANSFER 5. CONCLUSION | |
| APPENDIX A | |
| APPENDIX B | 12 |
| TABLE 1A – SPP FACILITY OVERLOADS CAUSED BY THE SPS TO EDDY 17 MW TRANSFER IN THE EXTENDED PLANNING HORIZON | |
| TABLE 3A – PREVIOUSLY IDENTIFIED SPP FACILITIES IMPACTED BY THE SPS TO EDDY 17 MW | 13 |

1. Executive Summary

Southwestern Public Service Company has requested a system impact study for long-term Firm Point-to-Point transmission service from SPS to EDDY. The period of the transaction is from 1/1/03 to 1/1/04. The request is for OASIS reservation 441969 for an amount of 17 MW.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the additional 17 MW transfer while maintaining system reliability. Analysis was conducted for the requested service period above and for the remaining planning horizon from 1/1/04 to 4/1/09. The additional evaluation of the planning horizon was conducted to determine any future constraints that may limit the renewal of service.

Any new overloads caused by the 17 MW transfer were identified along with determining the impact of the transfer on any previously assigned and identified facilities.

Oasis request 441969 is a redirect of the previously confirmed Oasis reservation 381171. The redirected service from SPS to EDDY does not create any new overloads or additional impacts on facilities. Therefore, the service will be accepted.

2. Introduction

Southwestern Public Service Company has requested an impact study for transmission service from SPS to EDDY.

The principal objective of this study is to identify the restraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the transfer to less than 17 MW. This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses for the requested service period and the remaining planning horizon.

The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the 17 MW transfer on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP system.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

Two analyses were conducted to determine the impact of the 17 MW transfer on the system. The first analysis was conducted to identify any new overloads caused by the 17 MW transfer. The second analysis was done to ensure that available capacity exists on previously identified circuits. Both analyses were performed on the models available for the requested service period and all remaining models available from the 2002-planning horizon.

The first analysis was to study the steady-state analysis impact of the 17 MW transfer on the SPP system. The second step was to study Available Transfer Capability (ATC) of the facilities identified in the steady-state analysis. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool (SPP) conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to thermal overloads with a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within emergency ratings after a contingency.

The second analysis was done to determine the impact of the transfer on previously assigned and identified facilities.

B. Model Updates

SPP used eleven seasonal models to study the SPS to EDDY 17 MW transfer for the requested service period and the remaining planning horizon. The SPP 2002 Series Cases 2002/03 Winter Peak, 2003 April Minimum, 2003 Spring Peak, 2003 Summer Peak, 2003 Fall Peak, and 2003/04 Winter Peak were used to study the impact of the 17 MW transfer on the SPP system during the requested service period of 1/1/03 to 1/1/04. The SPP 2002 Series 2004 Spring Peak, 2005 Summer Peak, 2005/06 Winter Peak, 2008 Summer Peak and 2008/09 Winter Peak were used to study the impact of the 17 MW transfer on the SPP system during the remaining planning horizon from 1/1/04 to 4/1/09. The Spring Peak models apply to April and May, the Summer Peak models apply to June through September, the Fall Peak models apply to October and November, and the Winter Peak models apply to December through March.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. The cases were modified to reflect future firm transfers during the requested service period that were not already included in the January 2002 base case series models.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the created models and the ACCC function of PSS\E, single and select double contingency outages were analyzed. Then full AC solution was used to obtain the most accurate results possible. Any facility overloaded, using MVA ratings, in the transfer case and not overloaded in the base case was flagged. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the Impact Study analysis can be found in Appendix A.

4. Study Results

A. Study Analysis Results

<u>Tables 1, 2,</u> and <u>3</u> contain the analysis results of the System Impact Study. The tables identify the seasonal case in which the event occurred; the emergency rating of the overloaded circuit (Rate B), the contingent loading percentage of circuit with and without the studied transfer, the estimated ATC value using interpolation if calculated, any SPP identification or assignment of the event, and any solutions received from the transmission owners.

<u>Table 1</u> shows the new SPP facility overloads caused by the 17 MW. Available solutions are given in the table.

<u>Table 2</u> documents overloads on Non SPP Regional Tariff participants' transmission systems caused by the 17 MW.

<u>Table 3</u> documents the 17 MW transfer impact on previously assigned and identified SPP facilities. Available solutions are given in the table.

<u>Table 1a</u> in Appendix B documents the SPP facility overloads identified in the extended planning horizon.

<u>Table 3a</u> in Appendix B documents the impact on previously assigned and identified SPP facilities in the extended planning horizon.

 $\underline{\textbf{Table 1}}$ – SPP Facility Overloads caused by the SPS to EDDY 17 MW Transfer

| Study Year | From Area - To Area | Branch Over 100% Rate B | Rate B | BC % Loading | TC % Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | ATC (MW) |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 02WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03AP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03G | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03SP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03FA | | None | | · | | None | 17 |
| 03WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |

 $\underline{\textbf{Table 2}} - Non \text{ - SPP Facility Overloads caused by the SPS to EDDY 17 MW Transfer}$

| Study Year | From Area - To Area | Branch Over 100% Rate B | Rate B | BC % Loading | TC % Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| 02WP | | None | | | | None |
| 03AP | | None | | | | None |
| 03G | | None | | | | None |
| 03SP | | None | | | | None |
| 03FA | | None | | | | None |
| 03WP | | None | | | | None |

<u>**Table 3**</u> – Previously Identified SPP Facilities Impacted by the SPS to EDDY 17 MW Transfer

| Study Year | From Area - To Area | Branch Over 100% Rate B | Rate B | BC % Loading | TC % Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | ATC (MW) |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 02WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03AP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03G | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03SP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03FA | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 03WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |

5. Conclusion

Oasis request 441969 is a redirect of the previously confirmed Oasis reservation 381171. The redirected service from SPS to EDDY does not create any new overloads or additional impacts on facilities. Therefore, the service will be accepted.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply immediately
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _ Lock DC taps
 - _ Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

- 1. MW mismatch tolerance -0.5
- 2. Contingency case rating Rate B
- 3. Percent of rating -100
- 4. Output code Summary
- 5. Min flow change in overload report 1mw
- 6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report YES
- 7. Exclude interfaces from report NO
- 8. Perform voltage limit check YES
- 9. Elements in available capacity table 60000
- 10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table 99999.0
- 11. Min. contng. case Vltg chng for report 0.02
- 12. Sorted output None

Newton Solution:

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options X Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _ Lock DC taps
 - Lock switched shunts

Appendix B

<u>Table 1a</u> – SPP Facility Overloads caused by the SPS to EDDY 17 MW Transfer in the Extended Planning Horizon

| Study Year | From Area - To Area | Branch Over 100% Rate B | Rate B | BC % Loading | TC % Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | ATC (MW) |
|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| 04G | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 05SP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 05WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 08SP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 08WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |

<u>Table 3a</u> – Previously Identified SPP Facilities Impacted by the SPS to EDDY 17 MW Transfer in the Extended Planning Horizon

| Study | | Branch Ower 1000/ Bata B | Data D | BC % | TC % | Outered Brench Cousing Outerland | ATC |
|-------|---------|--|--------|---------|---------|---|------|
| Year | To Area | Branch Over 100% Rate B | Rate B | Loading | Loading | Outaged Branch Causing Overload | (MW) |
| 04G | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 05SP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 05WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |
| 08SP | SPS-SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 541 | 102.2 | 103.4 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 0 |
| 08SP | SPS-SPS | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51435 TOLKE6 230 CKT2 | 541 | 102.1 | 103.3 | 51203 ROOSEVL6 230 to 51437 TOLKW6 230 CKT 1 | 0 |
| 08SP | SPS-SPS | 52310 CARLSBD3 115 to 52309 CARLSBD269.0 CKT 1 | 541 | 101.5 | 101.7 | 52309 CARLSBD269.0 to 52310 CARLSBD3 115 CKT2 | 0 |
| 08WP | | None | | | | None | 17 |